County of Summit · The High Point of Ohio



Summit County Planning Commission (SCPC) Thursday, July 28, 2022 - 3:00 p.m. County of Summit, County Council Chambers 175 South Main Street, 7th Floor, Akron, Ohio <u>Meeting Agenda</u>

- A. Call to Order
- B. Roll Call
- C. Approval of the May 23, 2022, SCPC Minutes
- D. Business Items

Old Business

- Item #1 Riparian Variance Kings Creek Richfield Township The applicant is requesting a variance to allow for up to 44 feet of encroachment, (less than 0.25 acres) for the construction of a house.
- Item # 2 Springfield Township Text Amendment From O-R to I-1 this will allow for offices or research facilities in the I-1 district. The change will eliminate the need for variances for the existing businesses as they expand. This will allow the Zoning Department to require more stringent enforcement of screening and landscaping requirements.

New Business

- Item # 1 Sagamore Hills Township Zoning Text Amendment To revise section 3.6 garages on page 3-14 (Residential District) of our zoning resolution
- Item # 2 Sagamore Hills Township Zoning Text Amendment To revise Section Fourteen (Planned Unit Development) PUD Boundary Setback .
- Item # 3 Coventry Township Rezoning PN 1909823 S. Main Street request to rezone from R-1 and B-2 to "B-2".
- Item # 4 Coventry Township Rezoning PN 1909395 3445 S. Main Street request to rezone from R-1 and B-2 to C/I.

Chair Mavrides Tubbs Chair Mavrides Knittel

E.	Report from Assistant Director	Tubbs
F.	Comments from Public	Chair Mavrides
G.	Comments from Commission Members	Chair Mavrides
H.	Other 1. Legal Update	Matz
I.	Adjournment	Chair Mavrides

County of Summit · The High Point of Ohio



Summit County Planning Commission (SCPC) Thursday, May 26, 2022 - 3:00 p.m. County of Summit, County Council Chambers 175 South Main Street, 7th Floor, Akron, Ohio Meeting Agenda

A. Call to Order

Chair Mavrides

Allen Mavrides called the meeting to order on Thursday, May 26, 2022 at 3:00 pm in the County of Summit Council Chambers, 175 South Main Street, 7th Floor, Akron Ohio 44308. A roll call was conducted by *Dennis Tubbs* the attending members constituted a quorum.
B. Roll Call

SCPC Member	Present
Beckham, George	Х
Kline, David	Х
Mavrides, Allen	Х
Reville, Rich	Х
Segedy, Jason	Х
Snell, Jeff	Х
Stoiber, Dennis	Х
Terry, Robert	Х
Open Seat	
Open Seat	
Open Seat	

C. Approval of the March 31, 2022 SCPC Minutes SCPC Action: Approval

Chair Mavrides

SCPC Member	Motion	Second	Yea	Nay	Abstain
Beckham, George		Х	Х		
Kline, David			Х		
Mavrides, Allen			Х		
Reville, Rich			Х		
Segedy, Jason			Х		
Snell, Jeff	X		X		
Stoiber, Dennis			X		
Terry, Robert			Х		
Open Seat					
Open Seat					
Open Seat					

<u>Motion</u>

Jeff Snell made a motion to approve the March 31, 2022 SCPC meeting minutes and it was seconded by *George Beckham*, the motion passed with no abstentions. Meeting minutes for March 31, 2022 are approved as submitted.

D. **Business Items**

Knittel

Item #8 Lugging

Email was sent out to all members, though that one of the items were table initially when agenda was sent out then thought that both items were tabled when the agenda was sent.

<u>Motion</u>

Motion made by Chair Mavrides to amend the agenda and add item #8 Section 29 Lighting to the agenda for May 26, 2022 SCPC meeting minutes

Motion to amend and approve by *Allen Mavrides* and it was seconded by *Jason Segedy*, the motion passed with no abstentions.

SCPC Member	Motion	Second	Yea	Nay	Abstain
Beckham, George			Х		
Kline, David			Х		
Mavrides, Allen	Х		Х		
Reville, Rich			Х		
Segedy, Jason		X	Х		
Snell, Jeff			Х		
Stoiber, Dennis			Х		
Terry, Robert			Х		
Open Seat					

<u>Old Business</u>

1. Item #1 Riparian Variance – 3649 W. Galloway Dr – Richfield township – A variance from

the Riparian Ordinance proposed the construction of a home pool in the backyard for health reasons.

Riparian set back present as it was previously heard at the March 31, 2022 meeting and was tabled for more discussion.

Staff recommendations follows the Summit County small water conservation which is the disapproval of Riparian request.

Lighthouse Pools Jeff Krist was present representing homeowners Mr. and Mrs. Key 3649 W. Galloway Dr – Richfield township as they could not be present.

States that Sasha was not present and did not see the location of where the pool was to be placed. They stated that they were not at the site when the first discussion of construction of pool is supposed to be.

What changes have become present from last discussion to today?

Jeff Krist stated that the contractor was not present to see the location of the pool.

SCPC member stated that the slope of the location of where the pool is to be located is stated to be at 33% slope which is a steeper slope which was discussed.

Jeff Krist stated that the slope of the pool was going to be 20 feet away from where the installation of the pool would be and it would not be on the edge of the slope.

States that the installation and location of the pool will require a small retaining wall about 2 feet tall as the pool has to be dug in slightly, the pool will need to be dug into higher ground per Jeff Krist-Lighthouse Pools. The contractor was asked by SCPC members to do more site inspection as they were questions about the steeply sloped area.

SCPC Chair Mavrides asked for more time to review new findings before decision made.

Finding by Soil and Water inspector:

Determined its not a discardment at the site it was found in the area it wasn't a stone wall it was a regular slope, the client had a pretty big ravin. What was also found was that the trees are at a pistol grip meaning that the trees are not coming out straight they are coming out in an angle which is an indicator that the trees are slipping and they are correcting by bending, its not severe but it is happening, meaning that the creek is eating away at the toe of the slope.

Soil and Water states that if the clients install the pool it may be ok for about 15-20 years but the weight of the pool and the slope will be very costly and there will be no resolution for whomever owns the property in the future and there will be no solution to the future issues. The Soil and Water department states that on the ordinances this is not a permissible use and they do not recommend installation.

Soil and Water recommends that the SCPC has a hold harmless clause as they feel as though the client will be looking for someone to blame. The other issues are sun and the trees are they are going to cut the trees down to get sun as this is also not permissible under the Riparian Variance ordinance.

Soil and Water reports water measurements of eight (8) pounds per gallon of water, the location of the pool area where the homeowner would like to build is 20 to 30 feet from the slope and treelines.

It is asked if the homeowner would do a geographical report, they will ask the homeowners.

It is asked that if the SCPC would like to table to build until the contractor talks to the homeowner about doing a geographical reports, but if it found that the build would be a liability to the homeowners then the contractor would like to take to variance off the table and speak to the homeowners about the findings.

SCPC Member	Motion	Second	Yea	Nay	Abstain
Beckham, George		Х	Х		
Kline, David			Х		
Mavrides, Allen			Х		
Reville, Rich			Х		
Segedy, Jason			Х		
Snell, Jeff	Х		Х		
Stoiber, Dennis			Х		
Terry, Robert			Х		
Open Seat					
Open Seat					
Open Seat					

Motion

Jeff Snell made a motion to take Old Business Item#1 off the table for the purpose of conducting a geological survey and it was seconded by *George Beckham* the motion passed with _0_ abstentions.

Open Discussion from Engineer and the Public:

Zoning Inspector Patricia Ryan Richfield Township states that they would like to table this item as there are a lot of ravines and slippage.

County Engineer Joe Paradise would also like to request that the geotechnical report detail solutions as the ravine goes down and out. This is requested so there are no future issues should the build receive approval.

If a geotechnical reports is completed they would like a real-person report from whom someone actually identifies and prepares solutions.

The motion for Item#1 Old Business to table for the purpose of conducting a geological survey was approved with no abstentions.

2. Rezoning – Springfield Township – From O-R to I-1 this will allow for offices or research facilities in the I-1 district.

The change will eliminate the need for variances for the existing businesses as they expand. This will allow the Zoning Department to require more stringent enforcement of screening and landscaping requirements.

SCPC Member	Motion	Second	Yea	Nay	Abstain
Beckham, George			Х		
Kline, David			Х		
Mavrides, Allen	Х		Х		
Reville, Rich			Х		
Segedy, Jason		Х	Х		
Snell, Jeff			Х		
Stoiber, Dennis			Х		
Terry, Robert			Х		
Open Seat					
Open Seat					
Open Seat					

The applicant nor Springfield township were present in reference to this item, Chair Mavrides moves to table the item as this is the third (2^{nd}) time that the applicant nor Springfield township were not present.

<u>Motion</u>

Allen Mavrides made a motion to move the motion for Item#2 Rezoning to end of new business to give the applicant and Springfield township time to appear at meeting motioned that the item be moved to the end of the agenda and also if the applicant or Springfield township not appear to the meeting to table it so that there will be no vote for the second time at end of meeting it was seconded by Jason Segedy the motion passed with _0_ abstentions.

New Business

1. Item #1 - Pamer Estate – Lot Split and Variance – Coventry Township

- a. Frontage Variance Coventry Township Applicant is requesting a variance from Subdivision Regulation 1105.05 (e) Access to Public Streets "Unless otherwise permitted herein, the subdividing of land whether as a Major or Minor Subdivisions, shall provide each lot with a minimum of thirty (30) feet of continuous frontage on a dedicated Street. Access to public streets shall comply with the Access Management Manuel." The applicant is proposing to split a parcel into three parcels, with two parcels having no frontage on a dedicated street.
- b. Lot Split Coventry Township The Applicant is requesting to split parcel 1909349 into three lots, to split a 7.3 parcel to 2.85 acres, 2.48 acres and 1.94 acres.

The variance request is that the two parcels to be exempt from subdividing of land (set plans included in packet).

Questions in reference to variance:

On the one public street, Hilltop Drive is the north to south

This street is a huge flaglot to the lot.

Coventry Township was present at the meeting to explain that there is a home that shares the driveway of this lot.

Open Discussion from the Public:

Bishop Rod Pamer of Apostolic Church of Barberton was present in reference to the variance of the property in question. States that the church bought the property in 1967 and built the first building in 1972 by his father who was the Senior Pastor at the time and then built a house in 1996 which is the home adjoining the property in question.

The reasoning for the variance was that the property owner passed in October 2021, and it was inherited by the executors (the children). They decided as a family to divided the 7.3 acres into 3 with the center lot for original home that is on the property (sold to neice and her husband) a granddaughter who would like to build a home as well on that lot and the other two lots they would like to build two homes on each lot for other family members. While it is a private drive it is well maintained, \$44K was paid by the family to repave the drive which makes it look like a street.

The drive that is in question and the lots in question are current being used by community which make it look like a public street, but it is a private drive that are to be used by persons in that private sector.

Letters of recommendation on file and received to the Chair Mavrides from:

- Board of Zoning of Coventry
- Coventry Police Department
- Summit County Sheriff's Department

County Engineer had no comment at this time.

Steve Pernesky representative from Buckingham, Doolittle & Burroughs lawfirm, states would like to discuss legal issues of this variance. Went over the details of the estate and who utilizes the properties.

Atty Pernesky provided an Agreement to Provide Reciprocal Easement which means that in case of an emergency the police/fire/emergency response would not have any issues finding all parties. Should this be approved all parties will go into a provide easement agreement where all parties are liable for the cost of repair and will have access to that drive.

He spoke in reference to a familiar parcel property in Green that has the same type of easement agreement in place with Don Schultz #54237590 (Driveway easement) at this time there was a stream that the drive had to run over and there was no issue with splitting the lot. He states that there is already a family member living on the this parcel and any future use should not be an issue.

The documents provided by the planning department was with the burden fire and safety which is stated to not pose an issue.

Atty Pernesky is asking on behalf of the applicant request to approve the variance and allow the lot splits and allow the lots to be created without the frontage and will welcome conditioning on the easement of access.

Questions:

Q: If the 2 homes are built how many total parties would there be?

A: Atty Pernesky states, All parties of the Pamer family has signed the Agreement to Provide Reciprocal Easement

Q: Does the conditions of the easement link to the property deed, if the house changed hands in the future or would it be a change of hand for the next owner?

A: Atty Pernesky states, It would be recorded at any time ownership changes hand.

Q: Could you please explain the 30 feet of drive? Does the neighbor on this lot still utilize this enterance?

A: Atty Pernesky stated, Neighbors Mr. Martin and Mr. Babbich both have frontage and access to the drive currently as well as the owners of the parcel. Mr. Babbich currently does not use the drive as he has his own frontage.

Concerns:

If this variance is approved, one of the conditions that the planning commission would like to see, if more house pop up in years time on this parcel, that the variance becomes a public road as access does not remain a private road any longer.

Chair Mavrides addressed the Commission states that the township has already approved this and as long as we as the Commission has nothing to do with this. If it is approved he would like to see any subcontract included in there as we are just looking at a plan what the family decides is strictly up to them.

The family has been in close contact with Craig Davis Summit County Health Department in reference to the septic system, also has a report from Todd Houser.

Joe Paradise County Engineer, agreed with Mr. Snell, states that he also has concerns as he feels as though if the church was offered funds they would sell as then this parcel would populate and the building of more homes would become an issue.

He states that he would prefer to see a public roadway instead of the splitting of the lots.

Stephen Knittle states, it was stated that there is also other Riparian setbacks due to slope on this property as there is an additional 25 to 75 feet in the rear of the home by the septic that is located on the property (Stpehanie Diebold reported).

There would be no issues with the development of the lot as long as they stay well away from the Riparian setback.

At this time the Riparian setback does not come into play until a site plan of development is in place for the variance.

Q: @ SKnittle: From a strictly planning theory standpoint, what are the problems that having a provision in our development code that prevents having isolated lots that do not open on a public street? What problems are we trying to avoid by having that provision?

A: Stephen Knittle reports, the main issue is ease of access for property owners, neighbors, and first responders. It would have to come to the owners and the neighbors agreeing to the provision set in place.

If the current variance be granted and a future lot split take place it would have to be brought upon the commission for approval, but at this time the variance is about *Item # 1 - Pamer Estate – Lot Split and Variance – Coventry Township.*

1a. SCPC Action:

SCPC Member	Motion	Second	Yea	Nay	Abstain
Beckham, George					Х
Kline, David	Х		Х		
Mavrides, Allen			Х		
Reville, Rich				Х	
Segedy, Jason		Х	Х		
Snell, Jeff				Х	
Stoiber, Dennis				Х	
Terry, Robert			Х		
Open Seat					
Open Seat					
Open Seat					

Motion

David Kline made a motion to approve the **Pamer Estate** – **Lot Split and Variance** – **Coventry Township** and it was seconded by *Jason Segedy* the motion passed with _1_ abstentions.

1b.

SCPC Action:

SCPC Member	Motion	Second	Yea	Nay	Abstain
Beckham, George					Х
Kline, David	Х		Х		
Mavrides, Allen		Х	Х		
Reville, Rich			Х		
Segedy, Jason			Х		
Snell, Jeff			Х		
Stoiber, Dennis			Х		
Terry, Robert			Х		
Open Seat					
Open Seat					
Open Seat					

Motion

David Kline made a motion to approve the **Pamer Estate – Lot Split and Variance** and it was seconded by *Allen Mavrides* the motion passed with _1_ abstentions.

Item # 2 – Heritage Centre Replat – Copley Township – Creating Sublot A-R3 (2.3716 acres) and A-R4 (1.1632

acres) from Sublot A-R2.

SCPC ACTION:

SCPC Member	Motion	Second	Yea	Nay	Abstain
Beckham, George			Х		
Kline, David			Х		
Mavrides, Allen			Х		
Reville, Rich			Х		
Segedy, Jason	Х		Х		
Snell, Jeff			Х		
Stoiber, Dennis			Х		
Terry, Robert		X	Х		
Open Seat					
Open Seat					
Open Seat					

<u>Motion</u>

Jason Segedy made a motion to approve the **Heritage Centre Replat – Copley Township** and it was seconded by *Robert Terry* the motion passed with _0_ abstentions.

Item # 3 – Map Amendment – Heritage Centre - Copley Township - Rezone 2.3716 Acres of Parcel 1702658 Land Area: 3.53 Acres Current Zoning: PDD-Business/Office/Community, Regional, Convenience Retail, Personal Services Proposed Zoning: PDD-Residential High Density 22 Units Per Acres

SCPC Action:

SCPC Member	Motion	Second	Yea	Nay	Abstain
Beckham, George			Х		
Kline, David		Х	Х		
Mavrides, Allen			Х		
Reville, Rich			Х		
Segedy, Jason			Х		
Snell, Jeff			Х		
Stoiber, Dennis	Х		Х		
Terry, Robert			Х		
Open Seat					
Open Seat					
Open Seat					

Motion

Dennis Stoiber made a motion to approve the **Map Amendment – Heritage Centre- Copley Township** and it was seconded by *David Kline* the motion passed with __0_ abstentions. **Item # 4 – Text Amendment – Northfield Center Township** – Performance Bonds Chapter 530 – Proposal to add new definition of Performance Bond, and to add language to Chapter 530 "Board of Zoning Appeals" about Performance Bonds.

SCPC Action:

SCPC Member	Motion	Second	Yea	Nay	Abstain
Beckham, George			Х		
Kline, David	Х		Х		
Mavrides, Allen			Х		
Reville, Rich					Х
Segedy, Jason		Х	Х		
Snell, Jeff			Х		
Stoiber, Dennis			Х		
Terry, Robert			Х		
Open Seat					
Open Seat					
Open Seat					

Motion

David Kline made a motion to approve the **Text Amendment – Northfield Center Township** and it was seconded by *Jason Segedy* the motion passed with <u>1</u> abstentions.

Item # 5 – Text Amendment – Northfield Center Township – Chapter 351 Business-Residential District –

Proposal to add new chapter, Chapter 351 Business-Residential District, to the Northfield Center Township Zoning Resolution. To provide a Business-Residential District (B-R) that allows professional, administrative, and executive offices that are compatible with residential uses, and which serve as transitional areas between more intensive land uses such as major thoroughfares and/or commercial districts, and less intensive uses such as single-family residential developments.

SCPC Action:

SCPC Member	Motion	Second	Yea	Nay	Abstain
Beckham, George			Х		
Kline, David			Х		
Mavrides, Allen			Х		
Reville, Rich					Х
Segedy, Jason		Х	Х		
Snell, Jeff			Х		
Stoiber, Dennis	Х		Х		
Terry, Robert			Х		
Open Seat					
Open Seat					
Open Seat					

Motion

Dennis Stoiber made a motion to approve the **Text Amendment – Northfield Center Township** and it was seconded by *Jason Segedy* the motion passed with _1_ abstentions.

Item # 6 – Text Amendment – Twinsburg Township – Chapter 12 Interchange Mixed Use Districtproposal to add certain single family residential uses as permitted uses in the Interchange Mixed Use (IMU) District.

SCPC Action:

SCPC Member	Motion	Second	Yea	Nay	Abstain
Beckham, George			Х	~	
Kline, David			Х		
Mavrides, Allen			Х		
Reville, Rich			Х		
Segedy, Jason			Х		
Snell, Jeff		Х	Х		
Stoiber, Dennis	X		Х		
Terry, Robert			Х		
Open Seat					
Open Seat					
Open Seat					

Motion

Dennis Stoiber made a motion to approve the **Text Amendment – Twinsburg Township – Chapter 12 Interchange Mixed Use District** and it was seconded by *Jeff Snell* the motion passed with _0_ abstentions.

Item # 7 – Kings Creek Riparian Variance – Richfield Township – The applicant is requesting a variance to allow for up to 44 feet of encroachment, (less than 0.25 acres) for the construction of a house.

SCPC Action:

SCPC Member	Motion	Second	Yea	Nay	Abstain
Beckham, George			Х		
Kline, David			Х		
Mavrides, Allen			Х		
Reville, Rich			Х		
Segedy, Jason	X		Х		
Snell, Jeff			Х		
Stoiber, Dennis		X	Х		
Terry, Robert			Х		
Open Seat					
Open Seat					
Open Seat					

Motion

Jason Segedy made a motion to table upon applicants request the Kings Creek Riparian Variance – Richfield Township and it was seconded by *Dennis Stoiber* the motion passed with _0_ abstentions.

****Comments:**

Applicant made a request for table, to then look at wetlands on the site in question upon returning to the planning commission.

Item #8 – Text Amendment – Section 29 Lighting– Coventry Township

Proposal to amendment of Section 29 Lighting to regulate outdoor lighting in order to reduce or prevent light pollution and to minimize lighting impacts on surrounding properties.

SCPC Action:

SCPC Member	Motion	Second	Yea	Nay	Abstain
Beckham, George					Х
Kline, David			Х		
Mavrides, Allen			Х		
Reville, Rich			Х		
Segedy, Jason			Х		
Snell, Jeff			Х		
Stoiber, Dennis		Х	Х		
Terry, Robert	X		Х		
Open Seat					
Open Seat					
Open Seat					

Motion

Robert Terry made a motion to approve the **Text Amendment – Section 29 Lighting– Coventry Township** with due consideration to Summit County Engineers comments and it was seconded by *Dennis Stoiber* the motion passed with _1__ abstentions.

**Comments:

SCE Joe Paradise, to add language that the section is for business/commercial business only.

E.	Repo	rt from Assistant Director	Dennis Tubbs
	F.	Comments from Public	Chair Mavrides
		-No comments from the Public	
	G.	Comments from Commission Members	Chair Mavrides
		-No comments from Commission Members	
	Η.	Other	
		1. Legal Update	Matz
		-No Legal Update	
	I.	Adjournment	Chair Mavrides

SCPC Action: Approval to Adjourn

SCPC Member	Motion	Second	Yea	Nay	Abstain
Beckham, George			Х		
Kline, David			Х		
Mavrides, Allen			Х		
Reville, Rich			Х		
Segedy, Jason			Х		
Snell, Jeff		X	Х		
Stoiber, Dennis	Х		Х		
Terry, Robert			Х		
Open Seat					
Open Seat					
Open Seat					

<u>Motion</u> Dennis Stoiber made a motion to adjourn, and it was seconded by Jeff Snell the motion passed to adjourn meeting with _0_ abstentions.

These minutes were prepared by Stephen Knittle and represent the writer's best recollection of the items discussed.

Recorded by: Tazena Long, Administrative Assistant June 23, 2022

County of Summit - The High Point of Ohio

Planning Commission Riparian Variance Lot 21 Kings Ridge Dr. Richfield Township

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The site is located in Richfield Township along Kings Ridge Rd, PN 4802421. The applicant is proposing to build a house which would encroach upon the riparian setback. Per the applicant: There is a stream with a 50 foot Riparian Setback that takes up 82% of the allowed buildable area.

Staff recommends **DISAPPROVAL**.

Item No.:	Old Business Item #1	Parcel No.: 4802421
Meeting:	July 28, 2022	Area: 2.349 acres
Owner:	Daniel and Mindy Delfino	Council District: District 1
		Processor: Stephen Knittel

Proposal: The applicant is proposing to build a house which would encroach upon the riparian setback. Per the applicant: There is a stream with a 50 foot Riparian Setback that takes up 82% of the allowed buildable area.

Agency Comments: Italicized text indicates quotations from submitted agency comments.

SWCD: Sasha Mikheidze, 5/10/2022:

We cannot support a variance being granted in this case. They are proposing significant impacts to the riparian setback and it also appears as though they wish to place the septic system within the setback as well. This office does not support the granting of a variance for this project as it is proposed.

Per the applicant:

- There is a stream with a 50 foot Riparian Setback that takes up 82% of the allowed buildable area.
- When applying the front yard setback and riparian setback, less that 30' buildable depth remains to construct a house, which makes the lot unbuildable. Additionally, over 82% of the area within the building setbacks is taken up by the Riparian Setback area.
- Affect on stream and riparian area will be minimal. A small percentage of the total stream riparian area will be affected (Less than 0.25 acres) by new construction. Additional area east of the stream outside the riparian setback be undisturbed (0.35 acres). Sediment controls will be used during construction.

• This parcel has been on the market for a long time, so a number of options have been explored with no success. It should be noted that a 15' front yard setback variance is also being proposed to minimize the impact to the riparian setback.

Recommendation: SCPC Staff defers to Summit SWCD Staff's recommendation for the Variance to be **DISAPRROVED.**

StreamStats Report



Basin Characterist	ics		
Parameter Code	Parameter Description	Value	Unit
DRNAREA	Area that drains to a point on a stream	0.0668	square miles





County of Summit, Ilene Shapiro, Executive

APPENDIX E

Variance Application

Department of Community and Economic Development Ohio Building - Suite 207 - 175 S. Main St. - Akron, OH 44308

APPLICANT INFORMATION

Applicant Neff and Associates (Brian Uhlenbrock)

Address 6405 York Rd. Parma Heights, Ohio 44130

Phone 440-884-3100

Email buhlen@neff-assoc.com

OWNER INFORMATION

Owner Daniel Delfino & Mindy E. Delfino

Address____

Phone

Email dandelfino@icloud.com

SITE INFORMATION

Name of Subdivision

or Address Lot 21 Kings Ridge Dr.

Location 41.259334, -81.676382

Parcel No.'s 4802421

Creating Sublots

Acreage 2.349 Acres

Water Provider Well

Variance Fees

Septic or Central Sewer Provider Septic

FILING FEES

\$300.00 per Variance Request

VARIANCE INFORMATION

Nature of Subdivision regulation Variance required: (Describe generally the nature of the variance.)

A 50' riparian setback is required, where an encroachment of up to 44' is being requested.

Provide the specific Subdivision Regulation from which a variance is requested:

Article:

Title 7

Section:

Section 937.05 (C)

JUSTIFICATION OF VARIANCE:

Applicant shall provide written justification for the requested variance by responding to the following questions.

1. Are there exceptional topographic of other physical conditions peculiar to this particular parcel or land? If so, please explain.

There is a stream with a 50 foot Riparian Setback that takes up 82% of the allowed buildable area.

2. What is the unnecessary hardship which will result from a literal enforcement of the Subdivision Regulation owing to the special conditions set for in subparagraph (1.) herein?

When applying the front yard setback and riparian setback, less that 30' buildable depth remains to

construct a house, which makes the lot unbuildable. Additionally, over 82% of the area within the

building setbacks is taken up by the Riparian Setback area.

3. Did the special conditions specified in subparagraph (1.) result from previous actions by the applicant? Please explain.

No, the subdivision Plat was recorded in 2002 close to the same time the riparian setback ordinance was adopted

4. Explain whether the variance requested is substantial.

The variance will be minimal considering the entire stream watershed. Additionally, the proposed

encroachment is only 18% of the total preserved riparian area on the property.

5. Explain whether the essential character of the neighborhood would be substantially altered or whether adjoining properties would suffer a substantial detriment as a result of the variance.

The essential character of the neighborhood will not substantially altered, and the adjoining property will be buffered by existing trees on and off site.

6. Will the variance adversely affect the delivery of governmental services, including but not limited to, access by fire fighting apparatus, law enforcement vehicles, ambulance and emergency vehicles and similar services relative to ingress and egress to the affected site and adjacent land? The variance will not impact governmental services.

7. Explain whether the Subdivision Regulation was in effect at time of acquisition of the property by the applicant and whether the applicant purchased the property with the knowledge of the Regulation.

Neff & Associates is familiar with the County Zoning Code and made the owner aware after initial code review was completed and recommended the variance request. This parcel has been on the market for a long time, so a number of options have been explored with no success.

8. Explain whether the applicant's predicament can be feasibly solved through some method other than a variance.

Other methods and variances have been explored, and this variance was determined to be the best solution.

9. Explain how the variance from the Subdivision Regulations will not be contrary to the public interest.

The intent is to assure the streams and their banks are maintained and protected from erosion. Considering

the entire watershed, this encroachment is minimal and proper erosions control measures will be employed

during and post construction to assure stream is protected.

10. Explain how the spirit and intent behind the Subdivision Regulations will be observed if the variance is granted.

This variance will minimally diverge from the spirit and intent behind the Subdivision Regulations, and

will mostly go unnoticed as a divation form the Subdivision Regulations.

11. Explain how the requested variance is the minimum variance to the Subdivision Regulations that will allow for a reasonable division of land.

N/A, lot is already created, no division of land being proposed.

ACTION OF THE SUMMIT COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION SHOULD BE SENT TO:

Name Neff and Associates, attn: Brian Uhlenbrock

Address 6405 York Rd. Parma Heights, Ohio 44130

Phone 440-884-3100

Email buhlen@neff-assoc.com

Respectfully submitted this	26	day of	April	
-----------------------------	----	--------	-------	--

I certify that all information contained in this application and its supplements are true and correct.

2022

B. M. When 2		04/26/2022
Applicant's or Authorized Represer	ntative's Signature	Date
Fee Amount Paid:	Date Application Received:	
Number of Lots:	Staff:	

APPLICATION FOR RESIDENTIAL VARIANCE WITHIN RIPARIAN SETBACK SUMMIT COUNTY, OHIO

This form shall be completed by the applicant and submitted at least fifteen (15) days prior to a regularly scheduled Summit County Planning Commission meeting. A variance review fee of \$350.00 (made payable to the **Summit SWCD**) must accompany application. If you have questions or need assistance while filling out this application, please call the **Summit SWCD** at 330-929-2871. (Type or print)

 Applicant:
 Neff & Associates (Brian Uhlenbrock)

 Street Address:
 6405 York Rd

 City, Village, or Township:
 Parma Heights
 , Ohio
 Zip Code: 44130

 Phone:
 440-884-3100
 FAX:
 N/A
 Email:
 buhlen@neff-assoc.com

Location of property: <u>41.259334</u>, -81.676382

Parcel number (s): 4802421

Stream name (if unnamed, nearest named stream it flows into): Kings Creek

Owner of property: Daniel Delfino & Mindy E. Delfino

Street Address: 1051 River Woods Drive

City, Village, or Township: Hinkley _____, Ohio Zip Code: 44233

Phone: _____ FAX: _____ Email: _dandelfino@icloud.com

Give a brief description of the nature of the variance:

Section 937.05 (C) requires a 50' riparian setback, where an encroachment of up to 44' is being

requested.

JUSTIFICATION OF VARIANCE:

Written justification for the requested variance shall be made. Responses to the following questions shall be provided.

1. How far is the proposed project (i.e., construction of any buildings, decks, roads or utilities) from the stream? ______10 _____ (feet)

be affected (Less than 0.25 acres) by new construction. Additional area east of the stream outside the

riparian setback be undistured (0.35 acres). Sediment controls will be used during construction.

3. Explain how the properties upstream and downstream from you may be affected: <u>There will be minimal to no affect to upstream and downstream properties.</u>

4. Explain how the variance from the Riparian Setback Ordinance will not be contrary to the public interest:

The variance will predominantly go unnoticed by the public, therefore will not impact public interest. Additionally proper erosion control practices employed during and after construction will mitigate any potential negative environmental affects.

5. Explain whether the variance requested is substantial. <u>The variance will be minimal considering the entire stream watershed. Additionally, the proposed</u>

encroachment is only 18% of the total preserved riparian area on the property.

6. Are there exceptional topographic or other physical conditions peculiar to this particular parcel or land?

Yes	No	Land feature
	<u></u>	Steep slopes (ravines with slopes too steep to build upon)
	<u> </u>	Wetlands (characterized by soils that remain wet, support typical "wetland" vegetation)
	<u> </u>	Floodplain (areas adjacent to stream or river where floodwaters leave deposits.)

If answer is "yes" to any above, please explain.

7. Please explain the practical difficulties or unnecessary hardship which will result from a literal enforcement of the Riparian Setback Ordinance?

When applying the front yard setback and riparian setback, less that 30' buildable depth remains

to construct a house, which makes the lot unbuildable. Additionally, over 82% of the area within

the building setbacks is taken up by the Riparian Setback area.

8. What alternatives to the variance have been explored? This parcel has been on the market for a long time, so a number of options have been explored

with no success. It should be noted that a 15' front yard setback variance is also being

proposed to minimize the impact to the riparian setback.

9. Did you acquire the property before or after May 29, 2002, when the Riparian Setback Ordinance was enacted? <u>Subdivision Plat was recorded in 2002</u>. The lot appeared to work at that time. How were you made aware of the Riparian Setback Ordinance? Neff & Associates is familiar with the County Zoning Code and made the owner aware after

initial code review was completed and recommended the variance request.

10. Explain how the spirit and intent behind the Riparian Setback Ordinance will be observed if the variance is granted:

The intent is to assure the streams and their banks are maintained and protected from erosion. As

mentioned previously, considering the entire watershed, this encroachment is minimal and proper

erosions control measures will be employed during and post construction to assure stream is protected.

11. Explain how the requested variance is the minimum variance to the Riparian Ordinance that will allow for a reasonable division of land. (This question pertains only to the creation of new lots).

N/A, lot is already created.

Action of the Summit County Planning Commission should be sent to:

Applicant: Neff and Associates

Address: 6405 York Rd. Parma Heights, Ohio 44130

Respectfully submitted this <u>26</u> day of <u>April</u>, <u>2022</u>

I certify that all information contained in this application and its supplements are true and correct.

Signature of Applicant or Authorized Representative

04/26/2022 Date

For Office Use Only

Fee Amount Paid: _____

Date Application Received:

Staff: _____

Comments:











WETLAND AND WATER RESOURCE DELINEATION REPORT

2.55 Acre (Approximate) Project Area East of Kings Ridge Drive Richfield Township, Summit County, Ohio

Prepared For:

Mr. Daniel Delfino c/o Neff and Associates 6405 York Road Parma Heights, Ohio 44130

June 20, 2022

Prepared by:

Alexander Kozak

Alexander Kozak Project Scientist

Prepared by:

Melia DeJongh Staff Scientist

Prepared and reviewed by:

Cynthia a Paschke

Cynthia Paschke, M.Ed., Senior PWS Principal



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INT	RODUCTION	.1
2.0	SITE	E DESCRIPTION	.1
	2.1	Purpose	.1
3.0	MET	HODS	.1
	3.1	Field Practices and Global Positioning System	.2
4.0	REV	IEW OF EXISTING DOCUMENTATION	.3
	4.1	National Wetlands Inventory Map	.3
	4.2	Topography and Drainage	.3
	4.3	Soil Survey for Summit County, Ohio	.3
	4.4	Aerial Imagery	.3
5.0	RES	ULTS AND DISCUSSION	.4
	5.1	Findings of Field Investigation	.4
	5.2	Wetlands	.4
	5.3	Uplands	.5
	5.4	Streams and Other Waters	.5
6.0	CON	ICLUSIONS	.6
7.0	DIS	CLAIMER	.6
8.0	LITE	RATURE CITED	.7

TABLES

Table 1: Data P Table 2: Summa	oint Summary ary of Wetlands	4 6
	APPENDICES	
APPENDIX A:	Figures	
	Figure 1: Project Location Map	

	Figure 1: Project Location Map
	Figure 2: National Wetland Inventory Map
	Figure 3: Topographical Map
	Figure 4: Soil Survey Map
	Figure 5: Aerial Imagery
	Figure 6: Field Data Location Map
APPENDIX B:	Wetland Determination Data Form
APPENDIX C:	Site Photographs

i

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This wetland and water resource delineation report provides documentation regarding the habitat characteristics and the associated locations at a 2.55-acre (approximate) Property which is located east of Kings Ridge Drive, Richfield Township, Summit County, Ohio (herein referred to as the "Project Area"). The study and report were conducted by Land Solutions, LLC (herein referred to as "Consultant") on behalf of Mr. Daniel Delfino, herein referred to as the "Client". The data collected includes non-wetland areas, as well as wetlands, streams and open water (pond) habitats. The following information outlines the review of the background and existing resource materials, existing site conditions, and results of the field investigation.

2.0 SITE DESCRIPTION

The Project Area is undeveloped and is zoned for residential land use in Richfield Township, Summit County, Ohio. There was previously agricultural fields on the Property. A site location map is included in **Appendix A** as **Figure 1**. The surrounding land use is residential in all directions with large maintained lawns and forested areas.

2.1 Purpose

The purpose of this report is to present the results of a wetland and water resource delineation of areas considered "Waters of the United States (US)" or "Waters of the State of Ohio". Qualified wetland scientists conducted a site visit in order to determine if any wetland areas were present and to mark the boundaries. Additionally, any water resources such as streams or open water areas (ponds) were identified and located.

3.0 METHODS

The on-site routine criteria were utilized as outlined in the U.S. Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual (Environmental Laboratory 1987) in conjunction with the United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Northcentral and Northeast Region (Version 2.0) (April 2012). This approach recognizes the three parameters of vegetation, soils, and hydrology to identify and delineate wetlands. Data on soils, vegetation, and hydrology were collected on June 10, 2022, during an on-site investigation conducted by qualified wetland scientists. Additionally, any other water resource features such as streams and open water (pond) areas were identified.

Hydrology was considered present if a minimum of one (1) primary indicator or two (2) secondary indicators were identified. Indicators of wetland hydrology (saturated or inundated soils) along with signs of previous prolonged inundation in the upper 12 inches were measured from the ground surface. Consistent with the 1987 Manual and appropriate the Regional Supplement, the primary and secondary indicators of hydrology during the growing season were also noted at each sampling location.

Dominant species were determined by visually estimating the percent cover of each species within a plot of an approximately 30-foot (ft) radius for trees, 15-ft radius for saplings/shrubs, 5-ft radius for herbs, and a 30-ft radius for woody vines. Species nomenclature and wetland indicator status follows that of the USACE *National Wetland Plant List* (November 2021). Hydrophytic species are those wetland plants with an indicator status of OBL (obligate wetland), FACW (facultative wetland), or FAC (facultative). Species listed as FACU (facultative upland) or UPL (upland) are more indicative of upland areas and generally do not occur in wetlands. All wetland and water resource habitats were classified according to definitions provided by the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), and *Classification of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats of the United States* (Cowardin et al. 1979).

Soils were examined by using a sharp-shooter shovel to excavate to a depth of approximately 12 to 20 inches or to refusal based on methods outlined in the National Technical Committee for Hydric Soils (1991). Soil colors were determined using a 2010 Munsell® Soil Color Chart and hydric soils were determined using the Hydric Soils Technical Manual Version 8.2 (2018) when soils were moist or wetted. Redoximorphic concentrations, the apparent accumulation of iron and manganese oxides within the soil profile were noted if observed. Redox depletions where Fe-Mn oxides have been stripped and consist of a low chroma of two (2) or less and a value of four (4) or higher were also noted if observed. These features are usually an indication of periodically, seasonally, or permanently saturated soil conditions (Vepraskas 1994). Indicators of hydric soils characteristics were based on the USDA textures. Hydric soils were considered present if one or more indicators were identified. It should be noted that based on the Hydric Soils Technical Manual Version 8.2 (2018); General Guidance for Using the Indicators, hydric soils criteria are not met when the upper portion of the profile contains a layer of a chroma of 2 or more that is more than 6 inches thick.

3.1 Field Practices and Global Positioning System

At each sample point, data pertaining to vegetation, soils and hydrology were recorded on separate United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) wetland determination data forms. Data points were documented via photographs and marked in the field with flagging. If any data point met all three (3) criteria, the wetland was designated with a letter, and the boundaries were delineated using consecutively numbered flagging.

During the site visits, the upland or non-wetland data points, wetland/upland boundaries, and other features within the Project Area were geolocated using Trimble® Global Positioning System (GPS) Geo 7x receiver. GPS Pathfinder Office software was used to improve the accuracy of the collected positions via differential correction. Corrected files were obtained from a local dedicated base station. The acquired data taken with the GPS receiver and post-processed provides locations within sub-meter accuracy. AutoCAD software was used to prepare the field data mapping.

4.0 REVIEW OF BACKROUND RESOURCES AND EXISTING DOCUMENTATION

4.1 National Wetlands Inventory Map

A review of the USFWS National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) map of Broadview Heights, Ohio, shows no wetlands, streams, or other aquatic resources identified within the Project Area. (**Appendix A, Figure 2**). Note that NWI maps were derived from aerial photo interpretation and are designed for general planning purposes only.

4.2 Topography and Drainage

The Project Area is comprised of sloping topography. The site slopes towards its center and southwards. Review of the Broadview Heights, Ohio USGS 7.5-minute Topographic Quadrangle map and the Summit County, Ohio Geographic Information System (GIS) mapping indicate that the existing topography on the site ranges between 1222 to 1210 feet in elevation above National Geodetic Vertical Datum (NGVD). The Project Area generally slopes from north to the south. The portion of the USGS Topographic map showing the Project Area is included in **Appendix A** as **Figure 3**.

The majority of the surficial drainage on-site is generally conveyed in a southerly direction towards unnamed tributaries of the East Branch of the Rocky River, located south of the Project Area. Before draining into the East Branch of the Rocky, the watershed of the unnamed tributaries watershed drains a total of 1.87 square miles of Summit and Medina Counties. The East Branch of the Rocky originates in Cuyahoga County and is designated by the 8-digit Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC) 04110001.

4.3 Soil Survey

The Soil Survey of Summit County, Ohio (<u>http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/WebSoilSurvey.aspx</u>) and Summit County GIS indicates four (4) soils mapped within the Project Area and includes: Orrville silt loam (Or); Rittman silt loam, 6 to 12% slopes, eroded slopes (RsC2); Rittman silt loam, 2 to 6% slopes (RsB); and Wadsworth silt loam, 2 to 6% slopes (WaB). The Summit County GIS Map showing the soils is provided as **Appendix A, Figure 4**. RsB and RsC2 are moderately well drained. Summit County Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) considers these soils to be non-hydric. WaB and Or are considered somewhat poorly drained soils. The Summit County NRCS designates these soils as non-hydric with hydric inclusions. No water resources are indicated on the NRCS mapping.

4.4 Aerial Imagery

A review of aerial imagery from Summit GIS (2017) and the Ohio Department of Administration (2020) shows the Project Area land use has remained mostly undeveloped and unchanged since 1970. The 1952 aerial imagery shows the site as being mostly forested. This habitat appears to be early successional and is surrounded by farm fields during this time period, suggesting it was previously farmed, but practices were abandoned.

A farm field persisted in the northwestern corner until 1970. One (1) stream is visible in the 1982 and 1994 aerial imagery. Kings Ridge Road was constructed between 2004 and 2006. There are no wetlands or other water features visible from review of the aerial imagery. This may be due to the tree cover obstruction in the imagery. Aerial imagery from OSIP is provided in **Appendix A** as **Figure 5**.

5.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The Project Area is located within the physiographic region of the Glaciated Allegheny Plateaus, Killbuck-Glaciated Pittsburgh Plateau (Brockman 1998), and the Erie/Ontario Drift Plain, Low Lime Drift Plain Level IV Ecoregion (Woods et. al. Woods 1998). The field investigation was conducted on June 10, 2022. The weather at the time of the investigation was clear with an average temperature of 66° Fahrenheit (F). There was 1.08" of recorded rainfall precipitation in the five days prior to the field visit.

The background resources consistently gindicated evidence of a stream and the potential for wetlands with the soil types.

5.1 Findings of the Field Investigation

Seven (7) data points (designated as "DP1" to "DP7") were collected within the Project Area. The Field Data Location Map depicting the surveyed data point location, and photograph locations and directions along with wetlands locations is provided in **Appendix A, Figure 6**. Seven (7) data points collected within the Project Area were recorded on a Wetland Determination Data Form provided in **Appendix B**. Site photographs are located in **Appendix C**. Two (2) areas, designated as Wetland A and Wetland B, met all three (3) wetlands criteria. The following descriptions provide a summary of the data points, including the location and characteristics.

Table 1. Data Point Summary						
Data Point	Hydrology	Hydrophytic Vegetation	Hydric Soils	Wetland Designation	Photo Number	
DP1					1	
DP2					2	
DP3	Х	Х	Х	Wetland A	3	
DP4					4	
DP5	Х	X	Х	Wetland B	5	
DP6					6	
DP7					7	

5.2 Wetlands

Two (2) data points met all three (3) criteria of a wetland and the characteristics are discussed below.

Wetland A

Wetland A was designated as Palustrine Emergent which is consistent with the Cowardin (1979) classification of PEM. This wetland totals 0.31 acres in size. This wetland is located at DP3 in the central and southern portions of the Project Area in the floodplain of Stream 1. The tree stratum consists of *Acer saccharum* (sugar maple). The shrub stratum consists of *Lindera benzoin* (northern spicebush) *and Ligustrum vulgare* (European privet). The dominant herbaceous stratum consists of *Glyceria striata* (fowl mannagrass) and *Impatiens capensis* (jewelweed). Positive primary hydrology indicators of water-stained leaves and hydrogen sulfide odor were present. The hydric soil indicator was met as a depleted matrix (F3).

Wetland B

Wetland B was designated as Palustrine Emergent which is consistent with the Cowardin (1979) classification of PEM. This wetland totals 0.007 acres in size on-site. This wetland is located at DP5 in the northern portion of the Project Area. The tree stratum consists of no plants. The shrub stratum consists of *Rosa multiflora* (rambler rose). The dominant herbaceous stratum consists of *Glyceria striata* (fowl mannagrass), *Impatiens capensis* (jewelweed), and *Persicaria virginiana* (jumpseed). A positive primary hydrology indicator of water-stained leaves was present. The hydric soil indicator was met as a depleted below dark surface (A11).

5.3 Uplands

The remaining portions Project Area consisted of forested uplands. Five (5) data points had no indicators of wetland hydrology, hydrophytic vegetation, or hydric soils observed.

5.4 Streams and Other Waters

One (1) intermittent stream, designated as Stream 1, and one (1) ephemeral stream, designated as Stream 2, were observed in the northeastern portion of the Project Area. Both streams had a defined bed and bank, as well as an Ordinary High-Water Mark (OHWM). The streams generally flowed from north to south.

One (1) drainageway and a roadside ditch were also identified. This investigation determined that drainageway which flowed from Wetland A in a westerly direction lacked a defined bed and bank, and a continuous OHWM. The roadside ditch flowing north to south appeared to be excavated and a maintained feature; and also lacked a defined bed and bank, and a continuous OHWM.
6.0 CONCLUSIONS

There was a total of two (2) wetlands which are PEM wetlands identified within the Project Area. The data points and delineated boundary are shown on the Field Data Location Map (**Appendix A, Figure 6**). A summary of the wetland features and the preliminary jurisdictional status is provided in **Table 2**.

Table 2. Summary of Wetlands						
Wetland Designation	d Type Jurisdictional		Size (Acres)			
Wetland A	PEM	Jurisdictional	0.31			
Wetland B	PEM	Jurisdictional	0.007*			
		Total	0.317			

*On-Site

Data on which this report is based are on file with the Consultant. The wetland resources may be regulated under federal or state jurisdiction. No filling or disturbance may occur in jurisdictional areas without verification by the USACE and obtaining a permit prior to activity. The USACE, Buffalo District should be contacted by either the Consultant or the Client before working in any wetlands.

Based on the findings of the field investigation, the Consultant presents the following recommendations for consideration at the Project Area.

- 1) Submit a copy of this report to the USACE, Buffalo District to have the wetland boundaries and water resources verified and to determine jurisdiction of all of the features. It should be noted that wetlands and streams can be regulated by the federal or state agencies.
- 2) If the regulated features such as wetlands or streams cannot be avoided, submit and obtain a federal and/or state permit application prior to conducting any impacts.

7.0 DISCLAIMER

The terms "wetlands" and "waters of the United States" and "waters of the State of Ohio" as used in this report are the Consultant's interpretation of state and federal laws concerning wetlands and water resource identification.

The definition and delineation of wetlands on any specific site are subject to interpretation by various regulatory agencies. The Consultant has, to the best of its ability, accurately delineated any jurisdictional limits based on current regulations and the experience with the regulatory agencies. There is no guarantee that the regulatory agencies involved will agree with those limits. All jurisdictional boundaries are based on the accuracy of the GPS equipment that was used to collect the data.

All mention of regulations and laws are the Consultant's interpretation of state and federal regulations and/or laws, and should not be taken as legal advice.

8.0 LITERATURE CITED

- Brockman, CS. 1998. *Physiographic Regions of Ohio*. Department of Natural Resources. Division of Geologic Survey, Columbus, Ohio.
- Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. *Classification of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats of the United States.* United States Department of Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Biological Services Program FWS/OBS-79/31, 103 pp.
- Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual, Technical Report Y-87-1, U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, Mississippi 39180-0631.
- Munsell Color. 2010. Munsell Color Charts. Kollmorgen Corporation, Baltimore, MD.
- National Technical Committee for Hydric Soils. 1991. *Hydric Soils of the United States*. United States Department of Agriculture. Soil Conservation Service. Washington, DC
- Ohio Department of Administrative Services. 2020 OSIP III Imagery. Columbus, Ohio: Ohio Office of Information Technology, 2022.
- Summit County Geographical Information System. Viewed June 2022. <u>https://arcgis.summitcountyohio.gov/summitnavigator3/</u>
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 2020.National Wetland Plant List, version 3.5 http://wetland-plants.usace.army.mil/ U.S. Army Corps of Engineer, Engineer Research and Development Center, Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory, Hanover, NH
- United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2018. *Field Indicators of Hydric Soils in the United States, Version 8.2.* L.M. Vasilas, G.W. Hurt, and J.F. Berkowitz (eds.). USDA, NRCS, in cooperation with the National Technical Committee for Hydric Soils.
- United States Department of Agriculture: Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS). *"List of Hydric Soils of Summit County, Ohio."* Viewed June 2022. <u>http://www.nrcs.usda.gov</u>
- United States Department of Agriculture: NRCS. *Web Soil Survey*. Viewed June, 2022. <u>http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/WebSoilSurvey.aspx</u>.
- United States Army Corps of Engineers. 2012. Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Northcentral and Northeast Region Version 2.0, ed. J.F. Berkowitz, J.S. Wakeley, R. W. Lichvar, C. V. Noble. ERDC/EL TR-12-9. Vicksburg, MS: U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center.

- United States Department of Interior. 2022. National Wetland Inventory Mapper. Broadview Heights, Ohio.
- United States Geologic Survey. 2022. 7.5-Minute Topographic Map. Broadview Heights, Ohio Quadrangle.
- Vepraskas, M. J. 1994. *Redoximorphic Features for Identifying Aquic Conditions*. North Carolina Agricultural Research Service. North Carolina State University. Raleigh, North Carolina. Technical Bulletin 301. 33 pp.
- Woods A.J., Omernick JM, Brockman CS, Gerber TD, Hoster WD, and Azevedo SH. 1998. *Ecological Regions of Indiana and Ohio*. United States Geologic Survey, Denver, CO.

APPENDIX A

FIGURES















APPENDIX B

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORMS

Project/Site: 22028 Delfino	City/County: Richfield, Summit Sampling Date: 2022-06-10				
Applicant/Owner: Daniel Delfino	State: Ohio Sampling Point: DP1				
Investigator(a), Alexander Kozak, Melia De Jongh	Section Tourshin Dange:				
	Section, rownship, Range.				
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Lo	cal relief (concave, convex, none): <u>Convex</u> Slope (%):				
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): R 139 Lat: 41.259635	Long: -81.676764 Datum: WGS 84				
Soil Map Unit Name: WaB Wadsworth silt loam, 2 to 6 percei	nt slopes NWI classification:				
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year	ar? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.)				
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology significantly	disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No				
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally pro	oblematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)				
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing	sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.				
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No	Is the Sampled Area				
Hydric Soil Present? Yes No 🗸	within a Wetland? Yes <u>No Y</u>				
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No	If yes, optional Wetland Site ID:				
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate repo	rt.)				
portion of the Project Area.					
HYDROLOGY					
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)				
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)				
Surface Water (A1) Water-Stained	Leaves (B9) Drainage Patterns (B10)				
High Water Table (A2) Aquatic Fauna	(B13) Moss Trim Lines (B16)				
Saturation (A3) Marl Deposits ((B15) Dry-Season Water Table (C2)				
Water Marks (B1) Hydrogen Sulfi Sediment Depesite (B2) Ovidized Bhire	de Odor (C1) Crayfish Burrows (C8)				
Drift Deposite (B3)	spheres on Living Roots (C3) Saturation visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)				
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	eduction in Tilled Soils (C6) Geomorphic Position (D2)				
Iron Deposits (B5)	face (C7) Shallow Aquitard (D3)				
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain	in Remarks) Microtopographic Relief (D4)				
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)	FAC-Neutral Test (D5)				
Field Observations:					
Surface Water Present? Yes No Depth (inches):				
Water Table Present? Yes No Depth (inches):				
Saturation Present? Yes No Depth (inches): Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No				
(includes capillary fringe)	os previous inspections) if available:				
beenberteenber					
Remarks:					
No primary or secondary indicators were p	resent; therefore, the hydrology criterion has not				
been met	. , , , , , , ,				

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft r)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Populus grandidentata	<u>5</u>		FACU	Number of Dominant Species
				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)
2				Total Number of Dominant
3				Species Across All Strata: $\underline{2}$ (B)
4				Percent of Dominant Species
5				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
6				Prevalence Index worksheet:
7				Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
	5%	= Total Cov	ver	OBL species 0 x 1 = _0
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft r)				FACW species $0 x^2 = 0$
1.				FAC species 0 x 3 = 0
2				FACU species 53 x 4 = 212
2				UPL species $0 \times 5 = 0$
3				Column Totals: <u>53</u> (A) <u>212</u> (B)
4				Prevalence Index = $B/\Delta = 4.00$
5				
6				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
7				1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		= Total Cov	ver	2 - Dominance Test is >50%
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft r)				3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0°
_{1.} Festuca rubra	45	\checkmark	FACU	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
2. Taraxacum officinale	3		FACU	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
3				
				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
4				be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
5				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
6				Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter
7				at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
8				Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH
9				and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
10				Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless
11				of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
12.				Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
	48%	= Total Cov	ver	height.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: $30 \text{ ft } r$)		rotar oo		
l				
2				
3				Hydrophytic
4				Present? Yes No _√
		= Total Cov	ver	
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate s	sheet.)			
The hydrophytic vegetation criterior	has no	ot been	met.	

I

SOIL

Profile Desc	ription: (Describe	to the dep	oth needed to docum	nent the	indicator	or confirm	n the absence of in	dicators.)	
Depth	Matrix		Redo	x Feature	S1	. 2			
(inches)	Color (moist)	<u>%</u>	Color (moist)		Type'		Texture	Remarks	
0 - 14	10YR 4/3	90	10YR 5/8	10	C	Μ	Silt Loam		
14 - 20	10YR 5/4	70	10YR 5/8	30	С	М	Clay Loam		
-									
-									
		·							
		·		·					
-		·		·					<u> </u>
		·							
_									
-									
		·		·					
		·							
-		·		·					
¹ Type: C=Co	oncentration, D=Dep	letion, RM	=Reduced Matrix, MS	S=Maske	d Sand Gr	ains.	² Location: PL=	Pore Lining, M=Matrix	
Hydric Soil I	Indicators:			v Surface			Indicators for P	roblematic Hydric So	
Histosof Histic Er	(AT) bipedon (A2)		Polyvalue Belov MLRA 149B)		(30) (LR	к к ,	Coast Prairi	e Redox (A16) (LRR K	. L. R)
Black Hi	stic (A3)		Thin Dark Surfa	ice (S9) (I	LRR R, M	LRA 149B	5) 5 cm Mucky	Peat or Peat (S3) (LR	R K, L, R)
Hydroge	n Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Mucky M	/lineral (F	1) (LRR K	(, L)	Dark Surfac	e (S7) (LRR K, L)	
Stratified	l Layers (A5) I Below Dark Surfac	ο (Δ11)	Loamy Gleyed I	Matrix (F2	2)		Polyvalue B	elow Surface (S8) (LRI Surface (S9) (LRR K L)	R K, L)
Thick Da	ark Surface (A12)	6 (ATT)	Redox Dark Su	rface (F6))		Iron-Mangai	nese Masses (F12) (LF	R K, L, R)
Sandy M	lucky Mineral (S1)		Depleted Dark \$	Surface (I	=7)		Piedmont Fl	loodplain Soils (F19) (N	ILRA 149B)
Sandy G	leyed Matrix (S4)		Redox Depress	ions (F8)			Mesic Spod	ic (TA6) (MLRA 144A ,	145, 149B)
Sandy R	edox (S5) Matrix (S6)						Red Parent	Material (F21) w Dark Surface (TE12)	
Dark Su	rface (S7) (LRR R, N	/LRA 149I	3)				Other (Expla	ain in Remarks)	
			,					,	
³ Indicators of	f hydrophytic vegetat	tion and we	etland hydrology mus	t be pres	ent, unles	s disturbed	d or problematic.		
Restrictive L	_ayer (if observed):								
Type:							Hudric Soil Pros	ont? Vos	
Depth (inc	ches):						Hydric Soli Fres		
Remarks:									
No posit	ive indicatio	n of hy	dric soils was	s obse	erved.				

WEILAND DETERMIN		North central and Northeas	St Region				
Project/Site: 22028 Delfino	City/County:	Richfield, Summit	Sampling Date: 2022-06-10				
Applicant/Owner: Daniel Delfino		State: Ohio	Sampling Point: DP2				
Investigator(s): Alexander Kozak, Melia DeJong	gh Section, Tow	nship, Range:					
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Terrace	Local relief (cond	cave, convex, none): None	Slope (%):				
Subregion (I RR or MI RA): R 139 Lat: 41.259659 Long: -81.676557 Datum: WGS 84							
Soil Map Unit Name: WaB Wadsworth silt loam	, 2 to 6 percent slopes	NWI classific	ation:				
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical t	for this time of year? Yes \checkmark	No (If no explain in R	emarks)				
Are Vegetation Soil or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances" r	present? Ves 🗸 No				
Are Vegetation, con, or Hydrology significantly disturbed: Are Normal Orealing any answers in Remarka)							
Are vegetation, Soli, or Hydrology		(ii needed, explain any answe	IS IN Remarks.)				
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site r	nap showing sampling	point locations, transects	, important features, etc.				
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes Hydric Soil Present? Yes Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes Permatks: (Explain alternative precedures here or in		Sampled Area a Wetland? Yes optional Wetland Site ID:	No✓				
A non-wetland point, located in a	a forested habitat a	nd near the north-cer	ntral portion of the				
Project Area.							
		Secondary Indias	tors (minimum of two required)				
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required: cher	al that apply)	Secondary Indica	Creeke (P6)				
Surface Water (A1)	Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Surface Soli	tterns (B10)				
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Moss Trim L	ines (B16)				
Saturation (A3)	Marl Deposits (B15)	 Dry-Season	Water Table (C2)				
Water Marks (B1)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Crayfish Bur	rows (C8)				
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Li	ving Roots (C3) Saturation V	s ble on Aerial Imagery (C9)				
Drift Deposits (B3)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C	(4) Stunted or S	tressed Plants (D1)				
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tille	ed Soils (C6) Geomorphic	Position (D2)				
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Shallow Aqu	itard (D3)				
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)	Microtopogra	aphic Relief (D4)				
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		FAC-Neutral	Test (D5)				
Field Observations:							
Surface Water Present? Yes No _✓	_ Depth (inches):						
Water Table Present? Yes No _✓	_ Depth (inches):						
Saturation Present? Yes No 🗸	_ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Preser	nt? Yes No _∕				
(includes capillary fringe)	well aerial photos previous in	spections) if available:					
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring	well, aerial priotos, previous in	spectons), il available.					
Remarks:							
No primary or secondary indicate	ors were present: th	nerefore, the hydrolog	v criterion has not				
been met		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					

The Other (Distained 20 ft r	Absolute	Dominan	t Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Acer saccharum	<u>% Cover</u> 40	<u>Species</u>	FACU	Number of Dominant Species
	10	•		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)
2. Prunus seronna	<u> </u>			Total Number of Dominant
3. Prunus virginiana	5		FACU	Species Across All Strata: <u>5</u> (B)
4		·		Percent of Dominant Species
5				That are OBL, FACW, of FAC: \bigcirc (A/B)
6		·		Prevalence Index worksheet:
7				Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
	55%	= Total Co	over	OBL species 0 x 1 = 0
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft r)				FACW species 0 $x 2 = 0$
1. Acer saccharum	10	✓	FACU	FAC species 0 $x_3 = 0$
2				FACU species $\frac{85}{2}$ x 4 = $\frac{340}{2}$
3.				UPL species $0 \times 5 = 0$
۵				Column Totals: <u>65</u> (A) <u>540</u> (B)
5				Prevalence Index = $B/A = 4.00$
5		·		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
б		·		1 - Ranid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
7	100/	·		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
	10%	= Total Co	over	$3 - Prevalence Index is \leq 3.0^{1}$
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft r)				4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
1. Podophyllum peltatum	5		FACU	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
2. Vitis aestivalis	5		FACU	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
3				The discovery of the efficiency is an efficient to be device to many sector
4				be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
5.				Definitions of Vagatation Strata:
6.				Demitions of Vegetation Strata.
7		·		Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter
P				at bleast height (bbh), regardless of height.
0		·		Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall
9		·		
10		·		Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3 28 ft tall
11		·		
12				Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.
	10%	= Total Co	over	5
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft r)				
1. Vitis aestivalis	10	√	FACU	
2				
3				Hydrophytic
4.				Vegetation
	10%	= Total Co	over	Present? Yes No V
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate	sheet.)			
The hydrophytic vegetation eriteries		at boor	mot	
	11185110	JI Deel	i met.	

Profile Desc	ription: (Describe	to the dept	h needed to docur	ment the i	ndicator	or confirn	n the absence of indic	ators.)	
Depth (inches)	<u>Matrix</u>	%	Color (moist)	x Features			Texture	Romarka	
<u>(inclies)</u> 0 - 4	10YR 4/3	100		/0	_туре		Silt Loam		<u> </u>
4 - 18	10YR 5/6	100					Clay Loam		
	10111 0/0								
-									
-									
-									
-									
							·		
$\frac{-}{1}$			Poducod Matrix M				² l contion: DI -Da	no Lipipa M-M	lotrix
Hydric Soil	Indicators:		Reduced Matrix, Mi	S-Maskeu	Sanu Gia		Indicators for Prot	blematic Hydri	c Soils ³ :
Histosol	(A1)	-	Polyvalue Belo	w Surface	(S8) (LRF	RR,	2 cm Muck (A1	0) (LRR K, L, N	/ILRA 149B)
Histic Ep	pipedon (A2)		MLRA 149B) 200 (SO) (I		DA 1400	Coast Prairie R	edox (A16) (LR	RRK,L,R)
Hydroge	en Sulfide (A4)	-	Loamy Mucky N	Mineral (F1		LKA 1490 , L)	Dark Surface (S7) (LRR K, L)	(LKK,K,L,K)
Stratified	d Layers (A5)	-	Loamy Gleyed	Matrix (F2)	. ,	Polyvalue Belo	w Surface (S8)	(LRR K, L)
Depleted	d Below Dark Surfac	e (A11)	Depleted Matrix Rodex Dark Su	k (F3) urfaco (E6)			Thin Dark Surfa	ace (S9) (LRR I	
Sandy M	lucky Mineral (S1)	-	Depleted Dark	Surface (F0)	7)		Piedmont Floor	dplain Soils (F1	9) (MLRA 149B)
Sandy G	Bleyed Matrix (S4)	-	Redox Depress	sions (F8)	,		Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 14	4A, 145, 149B)
Sandy R	Redox (S5)						Red Parent Ma	terial (F21)	-10)
Dark Su	rface (S7) (LRR R. I	MLRA 149B)				Other (Explain	in Remarks)	-12)
			'					,	
³ Indicators of Bestrictive	f hydrophytic vegeta	tion and wet	land hydrology mus	st be prese	ent, unless	s disturbed	l or problematic.		
Type.	Layer (II observed)	•							
Depth (inc	ches).						Hydric Soil Present	? Yes	No_√_
Remarks:							-		
No posit	ivo indicatio	n of byc	trio coile wa		rund				
NO POSI		II OI IIyc		s obse	iveu.				

Project/Site: 22028 Delfino	City/County: Summit Coun	ty	Sampling Date: 2022-06-10
Applicant/Owner: Daniel Delfino		_{State:} Ohio	Sampling Point: DP3
Investigator(s): Alexander Kozak, Melia DeJongh	Section Township Range		
Landform (billslope terrace etc.) Floodplain	ocal relief (concave, convex, no	ne). Concave	Slope (%)
Subragion (LBB or MLBA): R 139	Long: -81	.676270	010p0 (<u>,0)</u>
Call Mars Unit Names, RSB Rittman silt loam 2 to 6 percent sl	Long		Datum
Soli Map Onit Name. Rob Recent of the Robert of Person of			auon.
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of y	ear? Yes <u>*</u> No	(If no, explain in R	emarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology significantly	/ disturbed? Are "Norma	l Circumstances" p	resent? Yes <u>✓</u> No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally pr	oblematic? (If needed, e	explain any answei	rs in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing	g sampling point locatio	ons, transects	important features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ✓ No Hydric Soil Present? Yes ✓ No Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ✓ No Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate reported along) Wetland A, a PEM wetland is located along)	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? If yes, optional Wetland ort.) Stream 1 in the sou	Yes _ ✓ I Site ID: Wetland	No d A central portions of
the Project Area.			
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		Secondary Indica	tors (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)		Surface Soil	Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1) Water-Stained	Leaves (B9)	Drainage Pat	terns (B10)
High Water Table (A2) Aquatic Fauna	i (B13)	Moss Trim Li	nes (B16)
Saturation (A3) Marl Deposits	(B15)	Dry-Season \	Vater Table (C2)
Water Marks (B1) Hydrogen Sulf	ide Odor (C1)	Crayfish Burr	ows (C8)
Sediment Deposits (B2) Oxidized Rhize	ospheres on Living Roots (C3)	Saturation Vi	s ble on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Drift Deposits (B3) Presence of R	educed Iron (C4)	Stunted or St	ressed Plants (D1)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Recent Iron Re	eduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	Geomorphic	Position (D2)
Iron Deposits (B5) Inin Muck Sur	Tace (C7)	Shallow Aqui	lard (D3)
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)	in iteniaits)	✓ FAC-Neutral	Test (D5)
Field Observations:			
Surface Water Present? Yes No ✓ Depth (inches	s).		
Water Table Present? Yes No 🗸 Depth (inches	s):		
Saturation Present? Yes No 🗸 Depth (inches	s): Wetland H	lydrology Presen	t? Yes_✓ No
(includes capillary fringe)	ros previous inspections) if ava	ilable:	
bescher Recorded Bata (creatin gadge, montening weil, dena prot			
Remarks:			
A positive indication of wetland hydrology	was observed (at le	east one pri	mary indicator).

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft r)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
Acer saccharum	<u>10</u>	<u>opecies:</u>	FACU	Number of Dominant Species
	·			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)
2	·			Total Number of Dominant
3				Species Across All Strata: (B)
4				Percent of Dominant Species
5				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>60</u> (A/B)
6				Prevalence Index worksheet:
7				Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
	10%	= Total Cov	/er	$\overline{OBL \text{ species}}$ 30 $\overline{x_{1}}$ 30
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft r)				FACW species 30 x 2 = 60
Lindera benzoin	10	1	FACW	FAC species $10 \times 3 = 30$
	5		EACU	FACU species 15 $x_4 = 60$
	5	v	FACO	UPL species 0 $x = 0$
3				Column Totals: 85 (A) 180 (B)
4				0.10
5				Prevalence Index = $B/A = 2.12$
6				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
7				1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
	15%	- Total Cov		✓ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
Had Obstance (Distributed 5 ft r		- 10(a) 00		\checkmark 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 0101)	20	,		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
	30		OBL	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
2. Impatiens capensis	15		FACW	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation' (Explain)
3. Persicaria virginiana	10		FAC	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
4. Onoclea sensibilis	5		FACW	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
5				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
6.				
7				Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter
Q	- <u> </u>			at breast height (bbh), regardless of height.
0				Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH
9	·			
10	·			Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless
11	·			
12				Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
	60%	= Total Cov	/er	neight.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft r)				
1				
2				
2	·			
3	·	·		Hydrophytic Vegetation
4	·			Present? Yes / No
		= Total Cov	/er	
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate s	sheet.)			
The hydrophytic vegetation criterion	has be	en met	t.	

Profile Desc	ription: (Describe	to the dep	th needed to docur	nent the	indicator	or confirm	n the absence of	indicators.)
Depth (inches)	<u>Matrix</u>	0/	Redo	x Feature	S Tupo ¹		Toxture	Pomarka
		80		20	<u>iype</u>	LUC		remarks
	101K 3/1	00	JTK 3/4	20	·			
-		<u> </u>		. <u> </u>	<u> </u>			
-								
-								
·		·		· ·	·			
		·			·			
-		·						
-								
-								
		·			·			
		<u> </u>						
-		·			·			
-		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
-								
¹ Type: C=Ce	oncentration. D=Dep	letion. RM	Reduced Matrix. M	S=Maske	d Sand Gra	ains.	² Location: F	PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil	Indicators:		,				Indicators fo	r Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol	(A1)		Polyvalue Belov	w Surface	(S8) (LRF	RR,	2 cm Muc	ck (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
Histic Ep	pipedon (A2)		MLRA 149B)			Coast Pra	airie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R)
Black HI Hydroge	stic (A3) en Sulfide (A4)		I nin Dark Surra	ice (59) (I /lineral (F	1) (I RR K	_RA 1498) 5 cm Muc Dark Surf	(LRR K, L, R)
Stratified	d Layers (A5)		Loamy Gleyed	Matrix (F2	2)	, _/	Polyvalue	Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L)
Depleted	d Below Dark Surfac	e (A11)	✓ Depleted Matrix	(F3)	,		Thin Dark	Surface (S9) (LRR K, L)
Thick Da	ark Surface (A12)		Redox Dark Su	rface (F6))		Iron-Man	ganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R)
Sandy M	lucky Mineral (S1)		Depleted Dark	Surface (F	-7)		Piedmont	t Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B)
Sandy G	Redox (S5)		Redox Depless				Red Pare	ent Material (F21)
Stripped	Matrix (S6)						Very Sha	llow Dark Surface (TF12)
Dark Su	rface (S7) (LRR R, N	/LRA 1498	3)				Other (Ex	plain in Remarks)
³ Indicators of	f hydrophytic yogotol	tion and we	stland hydrology mus	t ho pros	ont unloca	dicturbod	or problematic	
Restrictive I	aver (if observed):	lion and we	aliand hydrology mus	st be pres	ent, uniess	aisturbed	or problematic.	
Type:								
Depth (in	ches):						Hydric Soil Pr	resent? Yes ✓ No
Remarks:								
		<i>.</i>						
A positiv	e indication	of hyd	ric soil was o	bserv	ed.			

Project/Site: 22028 Delfino	City/County: Summit County	/s	Sampling Date: 2022-06-10
Applicant/Owner: Daniel Delfino		State: Ohio	Sampling Point: DP4
Investigator(s): Alexander Kozak, Melia DeJongh	Section, Township, Range:		
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Terrace	ocal relief (concave, convex, none	_{e):} Convex	Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): R 139 Lat: 41.259401	Long: -81.6	676199	Datum: WGS 84
Soil Map Unit Name: WaB - Wadsworth silt loam, 2 to 6 perc	ent slopes	NWI classificat	ion:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of y	ear? Yes <u>✓</u> No (I	f no, explain in Ren	narks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology significantly	y disturbed? Are "Normal (Circumstances" pre	esent? Yes 🖌 No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally pr	oblematic? (If needed, ex	plain any answers	in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing	g sampling point location	ns, transects, i	mportant features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No _✓ Hydric Soil Present? Yes No _✓ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No _✓ Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report A non-wetland point, located in a forested Area.	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? If yes, optional Wetland ort.) habitat and near the	Yes Site ID: e central por	No _✓ tion of the Project
HYDROLOGY Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		Secondary Indicato	rs (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)		Surface Soil Cr	acks (B6)
Surface Water (A1) Water-Stained	Leaves (B9)	 Drainage Patte	rns (B10)
High Water Table (A2) Aquatic Fauna	a (B13)	Moss Trim Line	es (B16)
Saturation (A3) Marl Deposits	(B15)	Dry-Season Wa	ater Table (C2)
Water Marks (B1) Hydrogen Sulf	ide Odor (C1)	Crayfish Burrov	ws (C8)
Sediment Deposits (B2) Oxidized Rhize	ospheres on Living Roots (C3)	Saturation Vis I	ble on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Drift Deposits (B3) Presence of R	educed Iron (C4)	Stunted or Stre	essed Plants (D1)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Recent Iron Re	eduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	Geomorphic Po	osition (D2)

Iron Deposits (B5)		Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)		
Inundation Visible on Aeri	al Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)	Microtopographic Relief (D4)		
Sparsely Vegetated Conc	ave Surface (B8)		FAC-Neutral Test (D5)		
Field Observations:					
Surface Water Present?	Yes No _	✓ Depth (inches):			
Water Table Present?	Yes No _	✓ Depth (inches):			
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes No _	✓ Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No		
Describe Recorded Data (strea	am gauge, monito	ring well, aerial photos, previous inspec	xtions), if available:		
Remarks:					
No primary or seco been met.	ndary indic	ators were present; ther	efore, the hydrology criterion has not		

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft r)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
Acer saccharum	20	<u>opecies:</u> √	FACU	Number of Dominant Species
2 Nyssa sylvatica	10		FAC	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)
3 Prunus serotina	10		FACU	Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 5 (B)
4				
4				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 40 (A/B)
5	·			
0				Prevalence Index worksheet:
<i>I</i>	40%			Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
6 1 (0) 1 0; (1) (0) 15 ft r	40%	= Total Cov	er	OBL species 0 $x_1 = 0$
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 13111)	10	/	EACU	FACW species 15 $x_2 = 45$
1. Acer saccharum	<u> </u>		FACO	FACU species 40 $x 4 = 160$
2. Nyssa sylvatica	5		FAC	UPL species 0 $x_5 = 0$
3	·			Column Totals: 55 (A) 205 (B)
4				Developed Index D/A 3.73
5	·			Prevalence index = $B/A = \frac{0.73}{2}$
6				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
7	- <u> </u>			1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
	15%	= Total Cov	rer	2 - Dominance Lest is >50%
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft r)				$3 - \text{Prevalence index is } \geq 3.0$
1	<u> </u>			data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
2	·			Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
3	<u> </u>			
4				be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
5				Definitions of Vegetation Strata
6				
7.				Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
8.				Senting/sharth Weady plants loss than 2 in DBU
9.	·			and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
10	·			Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless
11	·			of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
12	·			Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
12.	·	- Total Cav		height.
Weady Vine Stratum (Plat aize: 30 ft r		- 10tal C0v	CI	
1	- <u> </u>			
2	·			
3	·			Hydrophytic Vegetation
4				Present? Yes No _√
Demarka: (Include abote numbers bere er en e concrete s		= Total Cov	rer	
Remarks. (include photo numbers here of on a separate s	neel.)			
The hydrophytic vegetation criterion	has no	ot been	met.	

Profile Desc	ription: (Describe	to the dept	h needed to docur	nent the i	ndicator	or confirm	n the absence of indic	ators.)
Depth	Matrix		Redo	x Features	6	2		
(inches)	Color (moist)	<u>%</u>	Color (moist)	%	Type	Loc	Texture	Remarks
0 - 18	10YR 3/3	100					Silt Loam	
18 - 22	10YR 3/	100					Loam	
					·			
				·				
							·	
-								
-								
				·	·			
-					. <u> </u>			
-								
					·		·	
-								
-								
¹ Type: C=Co	oncentration, D=Dep	etion, RM=	Reduced Matrix, M	S=Masked	Sand Gra	ains.	² Location: PL=Po	re Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil I	Indicators:	,	,				Indicators for Prob	ematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol	(A1)		Polyvalue Belov	<i>N</i> Surface	(S8) (LRF	RR,	2 cm Muck (A1	0) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
Histic Ep	pipedon (A2)		MLRA 149B))			Coast Prairie R	edox (A16) (LRR K, L, R)
Black Hi	stic (A3) n Sulfido (A4)		Thin Dark Surfa	ice (S9) (L Jiporal (E1	RR R, MI	LRA 149B) 5 cm Mucky Pe	at or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R)
Stratified	l avers (A5)		Loamy Gleved	Matrix (F2) (LKK K	, ⊑)	Polyvalue Belov	w Surface (S8) (LRR K. L)
Depleted	d Below Dark Surface	e (A11)	Depleted Matrix	(F3)	/		Thin Dark Surfa	ace (S9) (LRR K, L)
Thick Da	ark Surface (A12)		Redox Dark Su	rface (F6)			Iron-Manganes	e Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R)
Sandy M	lucky Mineral (S1)		Depleted Dark	Surface (F	7)		Piedmont Floor	Iplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B)
Sandy G	Bleyed Matrix (S4)		Redox Depress	ions (F8)			Mesic Spodic (ΓΑ6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
Sandy R	edox (S5) Matrix (S6)						Red Parent Ma	terial (F21) lark Surface (TE12)
Dark Su	rface (S7) (LRR R. N	ILRA 149B)				Other (Explain i	in Remarks)
			/					,
³ Indicators of	f hydrophytic vegetat	ion and we	tland hydrology mus	t be prese	ent, unless	s disturbed	l or problematic.	
Restrictive L	_ayer (if observed):							
Туре:								
Depth (ind	ches):						Hydric Soil Present	? Yes No∕
Remarks:								
No posit	ive indication	of by	dric soils way	s obso	rvod			
		loi liyo		5 0030	iveu.			

Project/Site: 22028 Delfino	City/County: Summit	County	Sampling Date: 2022-06-10			
Applicant/Owner: Daniel Delfino	, <u> </u>	_{State:} Ohio	Sampling Point: DP5			
Investigator(s). Alexander Kozak, Melia DeJongh	Section Township Rar	nde.				
Landform (billslope, terrace, etc.): Floodplain	ocal relief (concave, conv	(ex none). Concave	Slope (%)			
2. Subardian (ILDD as All DA), P 139		-81 676278	Stope (%)			
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): <u>Nobel</u> Lat: <u>41.200022</u>	Lone	g: 01.070270				
Soil Map Unit Name: Wab - Wadsworth Silt Ioani, 2 to 6 perce		NWI classific	ation:			
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of ye	ear? Yes 🔽 No	(If no, explain in R	emarks.)			
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology significantly	/ disturbed? Are "	Normal Circumstances" p	resent? Yes 🧹 No			
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally pr	oblematic? (If ne	eded, explain any answei	rs in Remarks.)			
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing	g sampling point lo	ocations, transects	, important features, etc.			
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ✓ No	Is the Sampled within a Wetlan	Area d? Yes✓	No			
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ✓ No	If ves ontional V	Vetland Site ID. Wetland	d B			
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate repo	ort.)					
	norment porte					
HYDROLOGY						
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		Secondary Indica	tors (minimum of two required)			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)		Surface Soil	Cracks (B6)			
Surface Water (A1) Water-Stained	Leaves (B9)	Drainage Pat	Drainage Patterns (B10)			
High Water Table (A2) Aquatic Fauna	(B13)	Moss Trim Li	Moss Trim Lines (B16)			
Saturation (A3) Marl Deposits	(B15)	Dry-Season \	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)			
Water Marks (B1) Hydrogen Suit	ide Odor (C1)	Crayfish Burr	ows (C8)			
Drift Deposits (B3)	educed from (C4)	Stunted or St	s ble on Aenal Imagery (C9)			
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	educed from (C4)	(6) Geomorphic	Position (D2)			
Iron Deposits (B5) Thin Muck Sur	face (C7)	Shallow Aqui	tard (D3)			
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain	in Remarks)	Microtopogra	phic Relief (D4)			
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)	,	✓ FAC-Neutral	Test (D5)			
Field Observations:						
Surface Water Present? Yes No _ ✓ Depth (inches	3):					
Water Table Present? Yes No Depth (inches	s):					
Saturation Present? Yes No ✓ Depth (inches	s): We	tland Hydrology Presen	t? Yes No			
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photo	os, previous inspections)), if available:				
Demonster						
A positive indication of wetland hydrology	was observed (at least one prii	mary indicator).			

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft r)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
1			Oluluo	Number of Dominant Species That Are OBLEACIVL or EAC: 2 (A)
2				
3				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)
аа				
T				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 66.7 (A/B)
0	- <u> </u>			Prevalence Index worksheet:
1	- <u> </u>	Tatal Oa		Total % Cover of:Multiply by:
2 15 ft r		= Total Cov	/er	OBL species $\frac{7}{2}$ $x_1 = \frac{7}{2}$
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 13111)	15	/	EACU	FAC species 20 $x_2 = 60$
	15	v	TACO	FACU species 15 $x_4 = 60$
2	- <u> </u>			UPL species $0 \times 5 = 0$
3				Column Totals: <u>72</u> (A) <u>187</u> (B)
4				$B_{revelence}$ index = $B/A = 2.60$
5				
6				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
7				1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
	15%	= Total Cov	/er	\checkmark 2 - Dominance Lest is >50%
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft r)				4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
1. Impatiens capensis	30	✓	FACW	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
2. Persicaria virginiana	15		FAC	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
3. Glyceria striata	7		OBL	The discount of the data and the data data to the second
4. Geum canadense	5		FAC	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
5				Definitions of Vegetation Strata
6				
7				Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
8.	-			Sanling/abruh Weady plants loss than 2 in DPH
9.				and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
10.				Herb – All berbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless
11				of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
12				Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
12.	57%	- Total Cov		height.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft r)		- 10(a) 001		
1				
2	- <u> </u>			
3	·			Hydrophytic Vegetation
4				Present? Yes / No
Pomarke: (Include photo numbers here or on a congrate s	shoot)	= Total Cov	/er	
The hydrophytic vegetation criterion	has be	en met	t.	

SOIL

Profile Desc	cription: (Describe	to the dep	oth needed to docu	ment the	indicator	or confirm	n the absence	of indicators.)
Depth	Matrix		Redo	ox Feature	s	0		
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type'	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
0 - 10	10YR 4/2	100			·	. <u> </u>	Clay Loam	
10 - 20	10YR 4/1	60	10YR 5/4	40	С	Μ	Sandy Clay	
_					·			
		·			·			
					·			
					·			
-				_				
-								
		·			·			
					·			
					·			
-				_				
-								
		·						
-							2,	
Hydric Soil	oncentration, D=Dep	letion, RM	=Reduced Matrix, M	S=Masked	d Sand Gra	ains.		: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Histosol	(A1)		Polyvalue Belo	w Surface	(S8) (I RE	R	2 cm M	Auck (A10) (I RR K MI RA 149B)
Histic Ep	oipedon (A2)		MLRA 149B)	(00) (111	,	Coast	Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R)
Black Hi	istic (A3)		Thin Dark Surf	ace (S9) (I	LRR R, MI	LRA 149B	3) 5 cm M	Aucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R)
Hydroge	en Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Mucky	Mineral (F	1) (LRR K	, L)	Dark S	Surface (S7) (LRR K, L)
Stratified	d Layers (A5) d Bolow Dark Surfac	0 (111)	Loamy Gleyed	Matrix (F2	2)		Polyva	lue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L)
Depleted	ark Surface (A12)	e (ATT)	Redox Dark Su	x (F3) urface (F6)	1		Iron-M	anganese Masses (F12) (LRR K. L. R)
Sandy M	/lucky Mineral (S1)		Depleted Dark	Surface (F	-7)		Piedmo	ont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B)
Sandy G	Bleyed Matrix (S4)		Redox Depress	sions (F8)			Mesic	Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
Sandy R	Redox (S5)						Red Pa	arent Material (F21)
Stripped	l Matrix (S6)		•				Very S	hallow Dark Surface (TF12)
		/ILKA 1491	>)					Explain in Remarks)
³ Indicators of	f hydrophytic vegeta	tion and we	etland hydrology mu	st be pres	ent, unless	s disturbed	d or problematio).
Restrictive I	Layer (if observed):							
Туре:								
Depth (ind	ches):						Hydric Soil	Present? Yes _ ✓ No
Remarks:								
	coil							
Alluvial		<i>.</i>						
A positiv	e indication	of hyd	ric soil was c	bserv	ed.			

	City/County Richfield Summit
Project/Site: 22020 Dennio	City/County: Merinela, Samina Sampling Date: 2022 00 10
Applicant/Owner: Daniel Dennio	State: Onio Sampling Point: DPO
Investigator(s): Alexander Kozak, Mella DeJongn	_ Section, Township, Range:
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Terrace	ocal relief (concave, convex, none): <u>Convex</u> Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): R 139 Lat: 41.259669	Long: -81.676169 Datum: WGS 84
Soil Map Unit Name: RsB Rittman silt Ioam, 2 to 6 percent si	opes NWI classification:
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of y	/ear? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology significantle	y disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes <u>✓</u> No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally p	roblematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showin	g sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No Hydric Soil Present? Yes No Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate rep A non-wetland point, located in a forested Description	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes No If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: ort.) habitat and near the northeastern portion of the
Project Area.	
HYDROLOGY	
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1) Water-Stained	Leaves (B9) Drainage Patterns (B10)
High Water Table (A2) Aquatic Fauna	a (B13) Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Saturation (A3) Marl Deposits	(B15) Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Water Marks (B1) Hydrogen Sul	fide Odor (C1) Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Sediment Deposits (B2) Oxidized Rhiz	ospheres on Living Roots (C3) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Drift Deposits (B3) Presence of F	Reduced Iron (C4) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Iron Doposite (B5)	rface (C7) September Aguitard (D3)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain	nace (C7) Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)	FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:	
Surface Water Present? Yes No ✓ Depth (inche	s).
Water Table Present? Yes No V Depth (inche	s):
Saturation Present? Yes No V Depth (inche	s): Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No
(includes capillary fringe)	
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial pho	tos, previous inspections), if available:
Remarks:	
No primary or secondary indicators were r	present: therefore, the hydrology criterion has not
he are most	resent, mererere, me nyarology enterior nas not
peen met.	

The Obstation (Distation 20 ft r	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Acer saccharum	<u>% Cover</u>	Species?		Number of Dominant Species
	10	•		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)
2. Lindendron tuipirera	10		FACU	Total Number of Dominant
3. Prunus serotina	10		FACU	Species Across All Strata: _/ (B)
4				Percent of Dominant Species
5				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 20.0 (A/B)
6				Prevalence Index worksheet:
7				Total % Cover of:Multiply by:
	40%	= Total Co	ver	OBL species 0 x 1 = 0
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft r)				FACW species 5 x 2 = 10
1. Lindera benzoin	5	✓	FACW	FAC species 5 $x_3 = 15$
2				FACU species 55 $x 4 = 220$
3.				UPL species 0 $x_5 = 0$
4				Column Totals: $\underline{03}$ (A) $\underline{243}$ (B)
5			·	Prevalence Index = $B/A = \frac{3.77}{100000000000000000000000000000000000$
5			·	Hydronhytic Vegetation Indicators:
o				1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
/	E 9/		·	2 - Dominance Test is >50%
F t r	5%	= Total Co	ver	3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 Tt r)	_			4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
1. <u>Carya cordiformis</u>	5		FAC	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
2. Parthenocissus quinquefolia	5		FACU	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
3				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
4				be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
5	<u> </u>		<u></u>	Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
6				
7.				Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH) regardless of height
8.				
9				and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
10			·	Harb All borbassours (non woody) planta regardlass
10				of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
10				Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
12	10%		·	height.
20.6	10%	= Total Co	ver	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft r)	4.0			
1. Vitis aestivalis	10	\checkmark	FACU	
2			·	
3			·	Hydrophytic
4				Vegetation Present? Yes No ✓
	10%	= Total Co	ver	
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate	sheet.)			
The hydrophytic vegetation criterior	n has no	ot been	met.	

I

Profile Desc	cription: (Describe	to the depth	n needed to docu	ment the i	ndicator	or confirn	n the absence of in	dicators.)	
Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	x Features	s Type ¹		Texture	Remar	ks
0 - 6	10YR 4/3	100		/0	<u> </u>		Silt Loam	Remain	
6 - 19	10YR 5/6	100					Clay Loam		
		·							
							· · ·		
- ¹ Type: C=C	oncentration D-Den	letion RM-F	Reduced Matrix M	S-Masked	Sand Gr	aine	² Location: PL	-Pore Lining M-	Matrix
Hydric Soil	Indicators:					airið.	Indicators for P	roblematic Hyd	ric Soils ³ :
Histosol	(A1)	-	Polyvalue Belo	w Surface	(S8) (LRF	RR,	2 cm Muck ((A10) (LRR K, L ,	MLRA 149B)
Black Hi	oipedon (A2) istic (A3)		MLRA 149B Thin Dark Surfa) ace (S9) (L	.RR R. MI	LRA 149B) Coast Prairi	e Redox (A16) (L Peat or Peat (S	_RR K, L, R) 3) (LRR K. L. R)
Hydroge	en Sulfide (A4)	-	Loamy Mucky I	Mineral (F1	1) (LRR K	, L)	Dark Surfac	e (S7) (LRR K, L	-)
Stratified	d Layers (A5)	- (0.4.4)	Loamy Gleyed	Matrix (F2)		Polyvalue B	elow Surface (S8	3) (LRR K, L)
Depleted Thick Da	d Below Dark Surfac ark Surface (A12)	e (A11) _	Depleted Matrix Redox Dark Su	x (⊢3) irface (F6)			Inin Dark S	urrace (S9) (LRF nese Masses (F1	(K, L) (2) (LRR K. L. R)
Sandy M	/lucky Mineral (S1)	-	Depleted Dark	Surface (F	7)		Piedmont Fl	oodplain Soils (F	⁻ 19) (MLRA 149B)
Sandy G	Gleyed Matrix (S4)	_	Redox Depress	sions (F8)			Mesic Spod	ic (TA6) (MLRA	144A, 145, 149B)
Sandy F	Redox (S5)						Red Parent	Material (F21)	TE 40)
Stripped	l Matrix (S6) rface (S7) (I RR R II						Very Shallov Other (Expl	<i>N</i> Dark Surface (ain in Remarks)	IF12)
		149D)							
³ Indicators of Restrictive	f hydrophytic vegeta	tion and wetl	and hydrology mus	st be prese	ent, unless	s disturbed	l or problematic.		
Type:	Layer (il observeu).								
Depth (in	ches):						Hydric Soil Pres	ent? Yes	No
Remarks:									
No posit	ive indicatio	n of hyd	ric soils wa	s obse	rved.				
		-							

WEILAND DETERMINATION DAT	A FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region
Project/Site: 22028 Delfino	_ City/County: Summit County Sampling Date: 2022-06-10
Applicant/Owner: Daniel Delfino	State: Ohio Sampling Point: DP7
Investigator(s): Alexander Kozak, Melia DeJongh	_ Section, Township, Range:
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Terrace	.ocal relief (concave, convex, none): <u>Convex</u> Slope (%):
Subregion (LRR or MLRA); R 139 Lat: 41.258925	Long: -81.676239 Datum: WGS 84
Soil Map Unit Name: RsB - Rittman silt loam, 2 to 6 percent	slopes NWI classification
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of y	vear? Ves V No (If no evolain in Remarks)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology significant	ly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes _ ✓ No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally p	roblematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showin	g sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No _✓ Hydric Soil Present? Yes No _✓ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No _✓ Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate recommendation) Image: Commendation of the second s	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes No If yes, optional Wetland Site ID:
A non-wetland point lageted in a forested	habitat and near the southeastern partian of the
A non-wettand point, located in a forested	inabilat and hear the southeastern portion of the
Project Area.	
HYDROLOGY	
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required: check all that apply	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1) Water-Staine	d Leaves (B9) Drainage Patterns (B10)
High Water Table (A2) Aquatic Faun	a (B13) Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Saturation (A3) Marl Deposits	(B15) Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Water Marks (B1) Hydrogen Su	lfide Odor (C1) Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Sediment Deposits (B2) Oxidized Rhiz	zospheres on Living Roots (C3) Saturation Vis ble on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Drift Deposits (B3) Presence of F	Reduced Iron (C4) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Recent Iron F	Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) Geomorphic Position (D2)
Iron Deposits (B5) Thin Muck Su	urface (C7) Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain	n in Remarks) Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)	FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:	
Surface Water Present? Yes No Depth (inche	es):
Water Table Present? Yes No _✓_ Depth (inche	os):
Saturation Present? Yes No _ ✓ Depth (inche (includes capillary fringe)	es): Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No _✓
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial pho	tos, previous inspections), if available:
Remarks:	
No primary or cocondary indicators were r	procent: therefore, the hydrolegy criterion has not
hoon mot	bresent, mererore, me hydrology chterion has not

Sampling Point: DP7

Taxa Charters (Distaires 30 ft r	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Liriodendron tulinifera	<u>% Cover</u> 25	<u>Species</u> ?		Number of Dominant Species
	15		EACU	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)
	10	•		Total Number of Dominant
	<u> </u>			Species Across All Strata: <u>3</u> (B)
4. Fagus grandifolia	5		FACU	Percent of Dominant Species That Are ORL EACIAL or EAC: 0 (A/R)
5				$\frac{1}{1}$
6				Prevalence Index worksheet:
7				Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
	55%	= Total Co	ver	OBL species 0 x 1 = 0
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft r)				FACW species 0 $x 2 = 0$
1. Fagus grandifolia	10	✓	FACU	FAC species 0 $x_3 = 0$
2				FACU species 80 $x 4 = 320$
3.				UPL species 0 $x_5 = 0$
4.				Column Totals: (A) (B)
5				Prevalence Index = $B/A = 4.00$
6.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
				1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
/	10%		<u> </u>	2 - Dominance Test is >50%
E 64 -	10 %	= Total Co	ver	3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 Tt r)	5	1	EACU	4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
	<u> </u>	•	TACO	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
2				
3				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
4				be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
5				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
6				Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter
7				at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
8				Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH
9				and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
10				Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless
11	<u> </u>			of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
12				Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
	5%	= Total Co	ver	height.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft r)				
1 Vitis aestivalis	10	1	FACU	
2				
2				
S				Hydrophytic Vegetation
4	10%			Present? Yes No 🗸
	10%	= Total Co	ver	
Remarks: (include photo numbers here or on a separate	sneet.)			
The hydrophytic vegetation criterior	n has no	ot been	met.	

Profile Desc	ription: (Describe	to the depth	n needed to docu	ment the i	ndicator	or confirn	n the absence of indi	cators.)	
Depth (inches)	Color (moist)	0/	Color (moist)	ox Features		\log^2	Texture	Romark	e
<u>(incries)</u> 0 - 6	10YR 4/3	100		/0	Туре		Silt Loam		<u>></u>
6 - 19	10YR 5/6	100					Clay Loam		
							·		
					. <u> </u>				
							·		
-									
-									
-									
¹ Type: C=Co	oncentration, D=Dep	etion, RM=F	Reduced Matrix, M	S=Masked	Sand Gra	ains.	² Location: PL=F	ore Lining, M=N	Aatrix.
Hyaric Soli I Histosol	(A1)		Polyvalue Belo	w Surface	(S8) (I R F	R	2 cm Muck (A	10) (I RR K I	IC SOIIS : MI RA 149B)
Histic Ep	pipedon (A2)	-	MLRA 149B)	(00) (111	,	Coast Prairie	Redox (A16) (L	RR K, L, R)
Black Hi	stic (A3)	-	Thin Dark Surfa	ace (S9) (L		-RA 149B) 5 cm Mucky F	eat or Peat (S3)) (LRR K, L, R)
Stratified	d Layers (A5)	-	Loamy Gleved	Matrix (F2) (LKK K)	, L)	Polyvalue Bel	ow Surface (S8)	(LRR K, L)
Depleted	d Below Dark Surface	e (A11)	Depleted Matrix	x (F3)	,		Thin Dark Sur	face (S9) (LRR	K, L)
Thick Da	ark Surface (A12)	_	Redox Dark Su	Irface (F6)			Iron-Mangane	se Masses (F12	2) (LRR K, L, R)
Sandy N Sandy C	lucky Mineral (S1) Sleved Matrix (S4)	_	Depleted Dark Redox Depress	Surface (F	()		Piedmont Floo Mesic Spodic	(TA6) (MI RA 1	(MLRA 149B) 44A 145 149B)
Sandy R	Redox (S5)						Red Parent M	aterial (F21)	····, ····, ·····)
Stripped	Matrix (S6)						Very Shallow	Dark Surface (T	F12)
Dark Su	rface (S7) (LRR R, N	ILRA 149B)					Other (Explain	in Remarks)	
³ Indicators of	f hydrophytic vegetat	ion and wetl	and hydrology mu	st be prese	ent, unless	s disturbed	l or problematic.		
Restrictive I	_ayer (if observed):								
Type:								10 X	
Depth (ind	ches):						Hydric Soil Presei	it? Yes	No
Remarks:									
No posit	ive indication	n of hyd	ric soils wa	s obse	rved.				

APPENDIX C

SITE PHOTOGRAPHS



Photograph 1

View facing south showing the herbaceous lawn habitat at non-wetland data point DP1, located in the northwestern portion of the Project Area.



Photograph 2

View facing north showing the forested habitat at non-wetland data point DP2, located in the northern portion of the Project Area.



Photograph 3

View facing south showing the emergent habitat of Wetland A at data point DP3, located in the central portion of the Project Area.



Photograph 4

View facing west showing the forested habitat at non-wetland data point DP4, located in the eastcentral portion of the Project Area.



Photograph 5

View facing north showing the emergent habitat of Wetland B at data point DP5, located in the northern portion of the Project Area.



Photograph 6

View facing south showing the forested habitat at non-wetland data point DP6, located in the northeastern portion of the Project Area.


Photograph 7

View facing north showing the forested habitat at non-wetland data point DP7, located in the southeastern portion of the Project Area.



Photograph 8

View facing south showing Drainageway 1, located in the southwestern portion of the Project Area.



Photograph 9

View facing north showing Stream 1 flowing through Wetland A in the southern portion of the Project Area.



Photograph 10

View facing north showing Stream 1 flowing through the forested, central portion of the Project Area.







Background Information

Name:	Alexander Kozak, Melia DeJongh
Date:	June 10, 2022
Affiliation:	Land Solutions, LLC
Address:	34600 Chardon Road, Suite C, Willoughby Hills, OH 44094
Phone Number:	(330) 414-5865
e-mail address:	alexkozak@landsolutions-env.com
Name of Wetland:	Wetland A
Vegetation Communit(ies):	PEM
HGM Class(es):	N/A
	Perind Werken Bd
Lat/Lon or UTM Coordinate	41.259068, -81.676338
USGS Quad Name	Broadview Heights, Ohio
County	Summit County
Township	Richfield Twp
Section and Subsection	
Hydrologic Unit Code	04110001
Site Visit	Yes
National Wetland Inventory M	ap Yes
Ohio Wetland Inventory Map	No
Soil Survey	Yes
Delineation Report/Map	Yes



Scoring Boundaries Worksheet

INSTRUCTIONS: The initial step in completing the ORAM is to identify the "scoring boundaries" of the wetland being rated. In many instances this determination will be relatively easy and the scoring boundaries will coincide with the "jurisdictional boundaries." For example, the scoring boundary of an isolated cattail marsh located in the middle of a farm field will likely be the same as that wetland's jurisdictional boundaries. In other instances, however, the scoring boundary will not be as easily determined. Wetlands that are small and isolated from surface waters often form large contiguous areas or heterogeneous complexes of wetland and upland. In separating wetlands for scoring purposes, the hydrologic regime of the wetland is the main criterion that should be used. Boundaries between contiguous or connected wetlands should be established where the volume, flow, or velocity of water moving through the wetland changes significantly. *Areas with a high degree of hydrologic interaction should be scored as a single wetland*. In determining a wetland's scoring boundaries, use the guidelines in the ORAM Manual Section 5.0. In certain instances, it may be difficult to establish the scoring boundary for the wetland being rated. These problem situations include wetlands that form a patchwork on the landscape, wetlands divided by artificial boundaries like property fences, roads, or railroad embankments, wetlands that are contiguous with streams, lakes, or rivers, and estuarine or coastal wetlands. These situations are discussed below, however, it is recommended that rater contact Ohio EPA, Division of Surface Water, 401/Wetlands Unit if there are additional questions or a need for further clarification of the appropriate scoring boundaries of a particular wetland.

#	Steps in properly establishing scoring boundaries	done?	not applicable
Step 1	Identify the wetland area of interest. This may be the site of a proposed impact, a mitigation site, conservation site, etc.	Yes	
Step 2	Identify the locations where there is physical evidence that hydrology changes rapidly. Such evidence includes both natural and human- induced changes including, constrictions caused by berms or dikes, points where the water velocity changes rapidly at rapids or falls, points where significant inflows occur at the confluence of rivers, or other factors that may restrict hydrologic interaction between the wetlands or other parts of a single wetland.	Yes	
Step 3	Delineate the boundary of the wetland to be rated such that all areas of interest that are contiguous to and within the areas where the hydrology does not change significantly, i.e. areas that have a high degree of hydrologic interaction are included within the scoring boundary.	Yes	
Step 4	Determine if artificial boundaries, such as property lines, state lines, roads, railroad embankments, etc., are present. These should not be used to establish scoring boundaries unless they coincide with areas where the hydrologic regime changes.	Yes	
Step 5	In all instances, the Rater may enlarge the minimum scoring boundaries discussed here to score together wetlands that could be scored separately.	Yes	
Step 6	Consult ORAM Manual Section 5.0 for how to establish scoring boundaries for wetlands that form a patchwork on the landscape, divided by artificial boundaries, contiguous to streams, lakes, or rivers, or for dual classifications.	Yes	

Narrative Rating

INSTRUCTIONS: Answer each of the following questions. Questions 1, 2, 3, and 4 should be answered based on information obtained from the site visit or the literature *and* by submitting a Data Services Request to the Ohio Department of Natural Resources, Division of Natural Areas and Preserves, Natural Heritage Data Services, 1889 Fountain Square Court, Building F-1, Columbus, Ohio 43224, 614-265-6453 (phone), 614-265-3096 (fax), <u>http://www.dnr.state.oh.us/odnr/dnap/</u>. The remaining questions are designed to be answered primarily from the results of the field visit. Refer to the User's Manual for descriptions of these wetland types. Note: "Critical habitat" is legally defined in the Endangered Species Act and is the geographic area containing physical and biological features essential to the conservation of a listed species or as an area that may require special management considerations or protection. The Rater should contact the Region 3 Headquarters or the Reynoldsburg Ecological Services Office for updates as to whether critical habitat has been designated for other federally listed threatened or endangered species. "Documented" means the wetland is listed in the appropriate State of Ohio database.

#	Question	Circle One
1	Critical Habitat . Is the wetland in a township, section, or subsection of a United States Geological Survey 7.5 minute Quadrangle that has been designated by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service as "critical habitat" for any threatened or endangered plant or animal species? Note: as of January 1, 2001 of the federally listed endangered or threatened species which can be found in Ohio, the Indiana Bat has had critical habitat designated (50 CFR 17.95(a)) and the piping plover has had critical habitat proposed (65 FR 41812 July 6, 2000).	YES NO Wetland should be evaluated for possible Category 3 status Go to Question 2
2	Threatened or Endangered Species . Is the wetland known to contain an individual of, or documented occurrences of federally or state-listed threatened or endangered plant or animal species?	YES NO Wetland is a Category 3 Go to Question 3 wetland.
3	Documented High Quality Wetland. Is the wetland on record in Natural Heritage Database as a high quality wetland?	YES NO Wetland is a Category 3 Go to Question 4
4	Significant Breeding or Concentration Area . Does the wetland contain documented regionally significant breeding or non breeding waterfowl, neotropical songbird, or shorebird concentration areas?	YES NO Wetland is a Category 3 Go to Question 5 wetland.
5	Category 1 Wetlands. Is the wetland less than 0.5 hectares (1 acre) in size and hydrologically isolated and either 1) comprised of vegetation that is dominated (greater than eighty per cent areal cover) by <i>Phalaris arundunacea, Lythrum salicaria,</i> or <i>Phragmites australis,</i> or 2) an acidic pond created or excavated on mined lands that has little or no vegetation?	YES NO Wetland is a Category 1 Go to Question 6 Go to Question 6
6	Bogs. Is the wetland a peat-accumulating wetland that 1) has no significant inflows or outflows, 2) supports acidophilic mosses, particularly <i>Sphagnum</i> spp., 3) the acidophilic mosses have >30% cover, 4) at least one species from Table 1 is present, and 5) the cover of invasive species (see Table 1) <25%?	YES NO Wetland is a Category 3 Go to Question 7 Go to Question 7
7	Fens. Is the wetland a carbon accumulating (peat, muck) wetland that is saturated during most of the year, primarily by a discharge of free flowing, mineral rich, ground water with a circumneutral pH (5.5-9.0) and with one more plant species listed in Table 1 and the cover of invasive species listed in Table 1 is <25%?	YES NO Wetland is a Category 3 Go to Question 8a wetland. Go to Question 8a

#	Question	Circle One	
#	Question	Circle One	
8a 8b	"Old Growth Forest." Is the wetland a forested wetland and the forest is characterized by, but not limited to, the following characteristics: overstory canopy trees of great age (exceeding at least 50% of a projected maximum attainable age for a species); little or no evidence of human- caused understory disturbance during the past 80 to 100 years; an all-aged structure and multilayered canopies; aggregations of canopy trees interspersed with canopy gaps; and significant numbers of standing dead snags and downed logs?	YES (Wetland is a Category 3 wetland. Go to Question 8b	NO Go to Question 8b
00	or more of the cover of upper forest canopy consisting of deciduous trees with large diameters at breast height (dbh), generally diameters greater than 45cm (17.7in) dbh?	Wetland should be evaluated for possible Category 3 status. Go to Question 9a	Go to Question 9a
9a	Lake Erie coastal and tributary wetlands. Is the wetland located at an elevation less than 575 feet on the USGS map, adjacent to this elevation, or along a tributary to Lake Erie that is accessible to fish?	YES (Go to Question 9b	NO Go to Question 10
9b	Does the wetland's hydrology result from measures designed to prevent erosion and the loss of aquatic plants, i.e. the wetland is partially hydrologically restricted from Lake Erie due to lakeward or landward dikes or other hydrological controls?	YES Wetland should be evaluated for possible Category 3 status. Go to Question 9d	NO Go to Question 9c
9c	Are Lake Erie water levels the wetland's primary hydrological influence, i.e. the wetland is hydrologically unrestricted (no lakeward or upland border alterations), or the wetland can be characterized as an "estuarine" wetland with lake and river influenced hydrology. These include sandbar deposition wetlands, estuarine wetlands, river mouth wetlands, or those dominated by submersed aquatic vegetation.	YES Go to Question 9d	NO Go to Question 9d
9d	Does the wetland have a predominance of native species within its vegetation communities, although non-native or disturbance tolerant native plant species can also be present?	YES Wetland is a Category 3 wetland. Go to Question 10	NO Go to Question 9e
9e	Does the wetland have a predominance of non-native or disturbance tolerant native plant species within its vegetation communities?	YES Wetland should be evaluated for possible Category 3 status. Go to Question 10	NO Go to Question 10
10	Lake Plain Sand Prairies (Oak Openings). Is the wetland located in Lucas, Fulton, Henry, or Wood Counties and can the wetland be characterized by the following description: the wetland has a sandy substrate with interspersed organic matter, a water table often within several inches of the surface, and often with a dominance of the gramineous vegetation listed in Table 1 (woody species may also be present). The Ohio Department of Natural Resources Division of Natural Areas and Preserves can provide assistance in confirming this type of wetland and its quality.	YES (Wetland is a Category 3 wetland. Go to Question 11	NO Go to Question 11
11	Relict Wet Prairies. Is the wetland a relict wet prairie community dominated by some or all of the species in Table 1? Extensive prairies were formerly located in the Darby Plains (Madison and Union Counties), Sandusky Plains (Wyandot, Crawford, and Marion Counties), northwest Ohio, Erie County, and portions of western Ohio Counties (e.g. Darke, Mercer, Miami, Montgomery, etc.).	YES (Wetland is a Category 1 wetland. Go to Question 6	NO Complete Quantitative Rating

Table 1. Characteristic plant species.						
invasive/exotic spp.	fen species	bog species	Oak Opening species	wet prairie species		
Lythrum salicaria	Zygadenus elegans var. glaucus	Calla palustris	Carex cryptolepis	Calamagrostis canadensis		
Myriophyllum spicatum	Cacalia plantaginea	Carex atlantica var. capillacea	Carex lasiocarpa	Calamogrostis stricta		
Najas minor	Carex flava	Carex echinata	Carex stricta	Carex atherodes		
Phalaris arundinacea	Carex sterilis	Carex oligosperma	Cladium mariscoides	Carex buxbaumii		
Phragmites australis	Carex stricta	Carex trisperma	Calamagrotis stricta	Carex pellita		
Potamogeton crispus	Deschampsia caespitosa	Chamaedaphne calyculata	Calamagrotis canadensis	Carex sartwellii		
Ranunculus ficaria	Eleocharis rostellata	Decodon verticillatus	Quercus palustris	Gentiana andrewsii		
Rhamnum frangula	Eriophorum viridicarinatum	Eriophorum virginicum		Helianthun grosseserratus		
Typha angustifolia	Gentianopsis spp.	Larix laricina		Liatris spicata		
Typha xglauca	Lobelia kalmii	Nemopanthus mucronatus		Lysimachia quadriflora		
	Parnassia glauca	Schechzeria palustris		Lythrum alatum		
	Potentilla fruticosa	Sphagnum spp.		Pycnanthemum virginanum		
	Rhamnus alnifolia	Vaccinium macrocarpon		Silphium terebinthinaceum		
	Rhynchospora capillacea	Vaccinium corymbosum		Sorghastrum nutans		
	Salix candida	Vaccinium oxycoccos		Spartina pectinata		
	Salix myricoides	Woodwardia virginica		Solidago riddellii		
	Salix serissima	Xyris difformis		0		
	Solidago ohioensis					
	Tofieldia glutinos					
	Triglochin maritimum					
	Triglochin palustre					

End of Narrative Rating. Begin Quantitative Rating on next page.

-



4b.	Habitat Development. Select of
	Excellent (7)
	Very good (6)
	Good (5)
	Moderately good (4)
3	Fair (3)
	Poor to fair (2)
	Poor (1)
4c.	Habitat alteration. Score one of
	None or none apparent (9)
6	Recovered (6)

Recovering (3)

Recent or no recovery (1)



3

35.5

Subtotal this page

Site: E of Kings Ridge Drive-Wetland A Rater(s): ADK, MVD Date: 6/10/22 35.5 Subtotal first page 0 35.5 Metric 5. Special Wetlands. max 10 pts. Subtotal Check all that apply and score as indicated. Bog (10) Fen (10) Old growth forest (10) Mature forested wetland (5) Lake Erie coastal/tributary wetland-unrestricted hydrology (10) Lake Erie coastal/tributary wetland-restricted hydrology (5) Lake Plain Sand Prairies (Oak Openings) (10) Relict Wet Prairies (10) Known occurrence state/federal threatened endangered species (10) Significant migratory songbird/water fowl habitat or usage (10) Category 1 Wetland. See Question 1 Qualitative Rating (-10) 5 40.5 Metric 6. Plant communities, interspersion, microtopography. max 20 pts. Subtotal 6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities Score all present using 0 to 3 scale. **Vegetation Community Cover Scale** Absent or comprises <0.1ha (0.2471 acres) contiguous area Aquatic Bed 0 Emergent Present and either comprises small part of wetland's vegetation 1 and is of moderate quality, or comprises a significant part but is 0 Shrub Forest of low quality 0 Mudflats 2 Present and either comprises significant part of wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality or comprises a small part Open water Other: and is of high quality 3 Present and comprises significant part, or more, of wetland's vegetation and is of high quality 6b. horizontal (plan view) interspersion Select only one. Narrative Description of Vegetation Community High (5) low Low spp diversity and/or predominance of nonnative or Moderately high (4) disturbance tolerant native species Native spp are dominant component of the vegetation, although Moderate (3) mod 2 Moderately low (2) nonnative and/or disturbance tolerant native spp can be present, and species diversity moderate to moderately high, but Low (1) generally w/o presence of rare threatened or endangered spp None (0) A predominance of native species, with nonnative spp and/or high disturbance tolerant native spp absent or virtually absent, and 6c. Coverage of invasive plants. high spp diversity, and often, but not always, the presence of Refer to Table 1 ORAM long form for rare, threatened, or endangered spp List. Add or deduct points for coverage

Extensive >75% cover (-5) Mudflat and Open Water Class Quality Moderate 25-75% cover (-3) Sparse 5-25% cover (-1)

0

Microtopography Cover Scale

Absent

0	Absent <0.1ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 to <4ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4ha (9.88 acres) or more

6d. Microtopography.

Absent (1)

Score all present using 0 to 3 scale. Vegetated hummucks/tussucks 0 1 Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in) Standing dead >25cm (10in) dbh 1 0

Nearly absent <5% cover (0)

Amphibian breeding pools

1 Present very small amounts or if more common of marginal quality 2 Present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest qualities 3 Present in moderate or greater amounts and of highest gualities

40.5 **GRAND TOTAL (max 100 pts)**

-1

CATEGORY: Modified 2

Refer to the most recent ORAM Score Calibration Report for scoring breakpoints b/w wetland categories at the following address: http://www.epa.state.oh.us/dsw/401/401.html

ORAM Summary Worksheet

		Circle answe or insert score	er	
Narrative Rating	Narrative Rating Question 1. Critical Habitat		10)If yes, Category 3.
	Question 2. Threatened or Endangered Species	YES N	10)If yes, Category 3.
	Question 3. High Quality Natural Wetland	YES N	10	If yes, Category 3.
	Question 4. Significant bird habitat	YES	10	If yes, Category 3.
	Question 5. Category 1 Wetlands	YES 🔿	10	If yes, Category 1.
	Question 6. Bogs	YES	10	If yes, Category 3.
	Question 7. Fens	YES	10	If yes, Category 3.
	Question 8a. Old Growth Forest	YES	10	Af yes, Category 3.
	Question 8b. Mature Forested Wetland	YES	10	If yes, evaluate for Category 3: may be 1 or 2.
	Question 9b. Lake Erie Wetlands - Restricted	YES 🗘	10	If yes, evaluate for Category 3: may be 1 or 2.
	Question 9d. Lake Erie Wetlands – Unrestricted	YES (N	10	If yes, Category 3.
	Question 9e. Lake Erie Wetlands – Unrestricted	YES (N	10	If yes, evaluate for
	with invasive plants			Category 3: may be 1 or 2.
	Question 10. Oak Openings	YES		Af yes, Category 3.
	Question 11. Relict Wet Prairies	YES (10	At yes, evaluate for Category 3: may be 1 or 2.
Quantitative Rating	Metric 1. Size	2		
	Metric 2. Buffers and surrounding land use	8		
	Metric 3. Hydrology	15		
	Metric 4. Habitat	10.5		
	Metric 5. Special Wetland Communities	0		
	Metric 6. Plant communities, interspersion,	5		
				Cotoromy based on econo
	Consult most recent score calibration report at	10.5		breakpoints
	http://www.epa.state.oh.us/dsw/401/401.html to	-0.0		
	determine the wetland's category based on its quantitative score			Mod. 2

Complete Wetland Categorization Worksheet

Wetland Categorization Worksheet

Chaises	Cirolo ono		
Choices	Circle one		
Did you answer "Yes" to any of the following questions: Narrative Rating Nos. 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8a, 9d, 10	Yes (Wetland is categorized as a Category 3 wetland	No	Is quantitative rating score <i>less</i> than the Category 2 scoring threshold (<i>excluding</i> gray zone)? If yes, reevaluate the category of the wetland using the narrative criteria in OAC Rule 3745-1-54(C) and biological and/or functional assessments to determine if the wetland has been over-categorized by the ORAM.
Did you answer "Yes" to any of the following questions: Narrative Rating Nos. 1, 8b, 9b, 9e, 11	Yes (Wetland should be evaluated for possible Category 3 status	No	Evaluate the wetland using the 1) narrative criteria in OAC Rule 3745-1-54(C) and 2) the quantitative rating score. If wetland is determined to be a Category 3 wetland using either of these, it should be categorized as a Category 3 wetland. Detailed biological and/or functional assessments may also be used to determine the wetland's category.
Did you answer "Yes" to: Narrative Rating Nos. 5	Yes (Wetland is categorized as a Category 1 wetland	No	Is quantitative rating score <i>greater</i> than the Category 2 scoring threshold (<i>including</i> any gray zone)? If yes, reevaluate the category of the wetland using the narrative criteria in OAC Rule 3745-1-54(C) and biological and/or functional assessments to determine if the wetland ha been under-categorized by the ORAM.
Does the quantitative score fall within the scoring range of a Category 1, 2, or 3 wetland?	Wetland is assigned to the appropriate category based on the scoring range.	No	If the score of the wetland is located within the scoring range of a particular category, the wetland should be assigned to that category. In all instances however, the narrative criteria described in OAC Rule 3745-1-54(C) can be used to clarify or change a categorization based on a quantitative score.
Does the quantitative score fall within the " <i>gray zone</i> " for Category 1 or 2 or Category 2 or 3 wetlands?	Yes (Wetland is assigned to the higher of the two categories or assigned to a category based on detailed assessments and the narrative criteria.	No	Rater has the option of assigning the wetland to the higher of the two categories or to assign a category based on the results of the non-rapid wetland assessment method, e.g. functional assessment, biological assessment, etc, and a consideration of the narrative criteria in OAC Rule 3745-1- 54(C).
Does the wetland otherwise exhibit moderate OR superior hydrologic OR habitat, OR recreational functions AND the wetland was <i>not</i> categorized as a Category 2 wetland (in the case of moderate functions) or a Category 3 wetland (in the case of superior functions) by this method ?	Yes (Wetland was under- categorized by this method. A written justification for re-categorization should be provided on Background Information Form	No Wetland is assigned to category as determined by the ORAM.	A wetland may be under-categorized using this method, but still exhibit one or more superior functions, e.g. a wetland's biotic communities may be degraded by human activities, but the wetland may still exhibit superior hydrologic functions because of its type, landscape position, size, local regional significance, etc. In this circumstance, the narrative criteria in OAC Rule 3745-1-54(C)(2) and (3) are controlling, and the under-categorization should be corrected. A written justification with supporting reasons or information for this determination should be provided.

Final Category				
Choose one Category 1 Category 2 Category 3				

End of Ohio Rapid Assessment Method for Wetlands.

Background Information

Name:	Alexander Kozak, Melia DeJongh
Date:	June 10, 2022
Affiliation:	Land Solutions, LLC
Address:	34600 Chardon Road, Suite C, Willoughby Hills, OH 44094
Phone Number:	(330) 414-5865
e-mail address:	alexkozak@landsolutions-env.com
Name of Wetland:	Wetland B
Vegetation Communit(ies):	PEM
HGM Class(es):	N/A
	Beng Valley Park Beng Water Park Registery Tran Registery Tran
Lat/Lon or UTM Coordinate	41.259860, -81.676179
USGS Quad Name	Broadview Heights, Ohio
County	Summit County
Township	Richfield Twp
Section and Subsection	
Hydrologic Unit Code	04110001
Site Visit	Yes
National Wetland Inventory M	ap Yes
Ohio Wetland Inventory Map	No
Soil Survey	Yes
Delineation Report/Map	Yes



Scoring Boundaries Worksheet

INSTRUCTIONS: The initial step in completing the ORAM is to identify the "scoring boundaries" of the wetland being rated. In many instances this determination will be relatively easy and the scoring boundaries will coincide with the "jurisdictional boundaries." For example, the scoring boundary of an isolated cattail marsh located in the middle of a farm field will likely be the same as that wetland's jurisdictional boundaries. In other instances, however, the scoring boundary will not be as easily determined. Wetlands that are small and isolated from surface waters often form large contiguous areas or heterogeneous complexes of wetland and upland. In separating wetlands for scoring purposes, the hydrologic regime of the wetland is the main criterion that should be used. Boundaries between contiguous or connected wetlands should be established where the volume, flow, or velocity of water moving through the wetland changes significantly. *Areas with a high degree of hydrologic interaction should be scored as a single wetland*. In determining a wetland's scoring boundaries, use the guidelines in the ORAM Manual Section 5.0. In certain instances, it may be difficult to establish the scoring boundary for the wetland being rated. These problem situations include wetlands that form a patchwork on the landscape, wetlands divided by artificial boundaries like property fences, roads, or railroad embankments, wetlands that are contiguous with streams, lakes, or rivers, and estuarine or coastal wetlands. These situations are discussed below, however, it is recommended that rater contact Ohio EPA, Division of Surface Water, 401/Wetlands Unit if there are additional questions or a need for further clarification of the appropriate scoring boundaries of a particular wetland.

#	Steps in properly establishing scoring boundaries	done?	not applicable
Step 1	Identify the wetland area of interest. This may be the site of a proposed impact, a mitigation site, conservation site, etc.	Yes	
Step 2	Identify the locations where there is physical evidence that hydrology changes rapidly. Such evidence includes both natural and human- induced changes including, constrictions caused by berms or dikes, points where the water velocity changes rapidly at rapids or falls, points where significant inflows occur at the confluence of rivers, or other factors that may restrict hydrologic interaction between the wetlands or other parts of a single wetland.	Yes	
Step 3	Delineate the boundary of the wetland to be rated such that all areas of interest that are contiguous to and within the areas where the hydrology does not change significantly, i.e. areas that have a high degree of hydrologic interaction are included within the scoring boundary.	Yes	
Step 4	Determine if artificial boundaries, such as property lines, state lines, roads, railroad embankments, etc., are present. These should not be used to establish scoring boundaries unless they coincide with areas where the hydrologic regime changes.	Yes	
Step 5	In all instances, the Rater may enlarge the minimum scoring boundaries discussed here to score together wetlands that could be scored separately.	Yes	
Step 6	Consult ORAM Manual Section 5.0 for how to establish scoring boundaries for wetlands that form a patchwork on the landscape, divided by artificial boundaries, contiguous to streams, lakes, or rivers, or for dual classifications.	Yes	

Narrative Rating

INSTRUCTIONS: Answer each of the following questions. Questions 1, 2, 3, and 4 should be answered based on information obtained from the site visit or the literature *and* by submitting a Data Services Request to the Ohio Department of Natural Resources, Division of Natural Areas and Preserves, Natural Heritage Data Services, 1889 Fountain Square Court, Building F-1, Columbus, Ohio 43224, 614-265-6453 (phone), 614-265-3096 (fax), <u>http://www.dnr.state.oh.us/odnr/dnap/</u>. The remaining questions are designed to be answered primarily from the results of the field visit. Refer to the User's Manual for descriptions of these wetland types. Note: "Critical habitat" is legally defined in the Endangered Species Act and is the geographic area containing physical and biological features essential to the conservation of a listed species or as an area that may require special management considerations or protection. The Rater should contact the Region 3 Headquarters or the Reynoldsburg Ecological Services Office for updates as to whether critical habitat has been designated for other federally listed threatened or endangered species. "Documented" means the wetland is listed in the appropriate State of Ohio database.

#	Question	Circle One
1	Critical Habitat. Is the wetland in a township, section, or subsection of a United States Geological Survey 7.5 minute Quadrangle that has been designated by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service as "critical habitat" for any threatened or endangered plant or animal species? Note: as of January 1, 2001 of the federally listed endangered or threatened species which can be found in Ohio, the Indiana Bat has had critical habitat designated (50 CFR 17.95(a)) and the piping plover has had critical	YES NO Wetland should be evaluated for possible Category 3 status Go to Question 2
2	habitat proposed (65 FR 41812 July 6, 2000). Threatened or Endangered Species. Is the wetland known to contain an individual of, or documented occurrences of federally or state-listed threatened or endangered plant or animal species?	YES NO Wetland is a Category 3 Go to Question 3 wetland. Go to Question 3
3	Documented High Quality Wetland. Is the wetland on record in Natural Heritage Database as a high quality wetland?	YES NO Wetland is a Category 3 Go to Question 4
4	Significant Breeding or Concentration Area. Does the wetland contain documented regionally significant breeding or non breeding waterfowl, neotropical songbird, or shorebird concentration areas?	YES NO Wetland is a Category 3 Go to Question 5 wetland. Go to Question 5
5	Category 1 Wetlands. Is the wetland less than 0.5 hectares (1 acre) in size and hydrologically isolated and either 1) comprised of vegetation that is dominated (greater than eighty per cent areal cover) by <i>Phalaris arundunacea</i> , <i>Lythrum salicaria</i> , or <i>Phragmites australis</i> , or 2) an acidic pond created or excavated on mined lands that has little or no vegetation?	YES Wetland is a Category 1 Go to Question 6 Go to Question 6
6	Bogs. Is the wetland a peat-accumulating wetland that 1) has no significant inflows or outflows, 2) supports acidophilic mosses, particularly <i>Sphagnum</i> spp., 3) the acidophilic mosses have >30% cover, 4) at least one species from Table 1 is present, and 5) the cover of invasive species (see Table 1) <25%?	YES NO Wetland is a Category 3 Go to Question 7 Wetland.
7	Fens. Is the wetland a carbon accumulating (peat, muck) wetland that is saturated during most of the year, primarily by a discharge of free flowing, mineral rich, ground water with a circumneutral pH (5.5-9.0) and with one more plant species listed in Table 1 and the cover of invasive species listed in Table 1 is <25%?	YES NO Wetland is a Category 3 Go to Question 8a Go to Question 8a

#	Question	Circle One	
	×		
8a	"Old Growth Forest." Is the wetland a forested wetland and the forest is characterized by, but not limited to, the following characteristics: overstory canopy trees of great age (exceeding at least 50% of a projected maximum attainable age for a species); little or no evidence of human- caused understory disturbance during the past 80 to 100 years; an all-aged structure and multilayered canopies; aggregations of canopy trees interspersed with canopy gaps; and significant numbers of standing dead snags and downed logs?	YES (Wetland is a Category 3 wetland. Go to Question 8b	NO Go to Question 8b
8b	Mature forested wetlands . Is the wetland a forested wetland with 50% or more of the cover of upper forest canopy consisting of deciduous trees with large diameters at breast height (dbh), generally diameters greater than 45cm (17.7in) dbh?	YES (Wetland should be evaluated for possible Category 3 status. Go to Question 9a	NO Go to Question 9a
9a	Lake Erie coastal and tributary wetlands. Is the wetland located at an elevation less than 575 feet on the USGS map, adjacent to this elevation, or along a tributary to Lake Erie that is accessible to fish?	YES (Go to Question 9b	NO Go to Question 10
9b	Does the wetland's hydrology result from measures designed to prevent erosion and the loss of aquatic plants, i.e. the wetland is partially hydrologically restricted from Lake Erie due to lakeward or landward dikes or other hydrological controls?	YES Wetland should be evaluated for possible Category 3 status. Go to Question 9d	NO Go to Question 9c
9c	Are Lake Erie water levels the wetland's primary hydrological influence, i.e. the wetland is hydrologically unrestricted (no lakeward or upland border alterations), or the wetland can be characterized as an "estuarine" wetland with lake and river influenced hydrology. These include sandbar deposition wetlands, estuarine wetlands, river mouth wetlands, or those dominated by submersed aquatic vegetation.	YES Go to Question 9d	NO Go to Question 9d
9d	Does the wetland have a predominance of native species within its vegetation communities, although non-native or disturbance tolerant native plant species can also be present?	YES Wetland is a Category 3 wetland.	NO Go to Question 9e
9e	Does the wetland have a predominance of non-native or disturbance tolerant native plant species within its vegetation communities?	YES Wetland should be evaluated for possible Category 3 status. Go to Question 10	NO Go to Question 10
10	Lake Plain Sand Prairies (Oak Openings). Is the wetland located in Lucas, Fulton, Henry, or Wood Counties and can the wetland be characterized by the following description: the wetland has a sandy substrate with interspersed organic matter, a water table often within several inches of the surface, and often with a dominance of the gramineous vegetation listed in Table 1 (woody species may also be present). The Ohio Department of Natural Resources Division of Natural Areas and Preserves can provide assistance in confirming this type of wetland and its quality.	YES (Wetland is a Category 3 wetland. Go to Question 11	NO Go to Question 11
11	Relict Wet Prairies. Is the wetland a relict wet prairie community dominated by some or all of the species in Table 1? Extensive prairies were formerly located in the Darby Plains (Madison and Union Counties), Sandusky Plains (Wyandot, Crawford, and Marion Counties), northwest Ohio, Erie County, and portions of western Ohio Counties (e.g. Darke, Mercer, Miami, Montgomery, etc.).	YES (Wetland is a Category 1 wetland. Go to Question 6	NO Complete Quantitative Rating

Table I. Characteristic	plant species.			
invasive/exotic spp.	fen species	bog species	Oak Opening species	wet prairie species
Lythrum salicaria	Zygadenus elegans var. glaucus	Calla palustris	Carex cryptolepis	Calamagrostis canadensis
Myriophyllum spicatum	Cacalia plantaginea	Carex atlantica var. capillacea	Carex lasiocarpa	Calamogrostis stricta
Najas minor	Carex flava	Carex echinata	Carex stricta	Carex atherodes
Phalaris arundinacea	Carex sterilis	Carex oligosperma	Cladium mariscoides	Carex buxbaumii
Phragmites australis	Carex stricta	Carex trisperma	Calamagrotis stricta	Carex pellita
Potamogeton crispus	Deschampsia caespitosa	Chamaedaphne calyculata	Calamagrotis canadensis	Carex sartwellii
Ranunculus ficaria	Eleocharis rostellata	Decodon verticillatus	Quercus palustris	Gentiana andrewsii
Rhamnum frangula	Eriophorum viridicarinatum	Eriophorum virginicum		Helianthun grosseserratus
Typha angustifolia	Gentianopsis spp.	Larix laricina		Liatris spicata
Typha xglauca	Lobelia kalmii	Nemopanthus mucronatus		Lysimachia quadriflora
	Parnassia glauca	Schechzeria palustris		Lythrum alatum
	Potentilla fruticosa	Sphagnum spp.		Pycnanthemum virginanum
	Rhamnus alnifolia	Vaccinium macrocarpon		Silphium terebinthinaceum
	Rhynchospora capillacea	Vaccinium corymbosum		Sorghastrum nutans
	Salix candida	Vaccinium oxycoccos		Spartina pectinata
	Salix myricoides	Woodwardia virginica		Solidago riddellii
	Salix serissima	Xyris difformis		
	Solidago ohioensis			
	Tofieldia glutinos			
	Triglochin maritimum			
	Triglochin palustre			

End of Narrative Rating. Begin Quantitative Rating on next page.



33.5 Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development. max 20 pts. Subtotal 4a. Substrate disturbance. Score one or double check and average. None or none apparent (4) 3 Recovered (3) Recovering (2) Recent or no recovery (1) 4b. Habitat Development. Select only one and assign score. Excellent (7) Very good (6) Good (5) Moderately good (4) 3 Fair (3) Poor to fair (2) Poor (1) 4c. Habitat alteration. Score one or double check and average. None or none apparent (9) Check all disturbances observed 6 Recovered (6) Mowing Shrub/sapling removal Herbaceous/aquatic bed removal 3 Recovering (3) Grazing Recent or no recovery (1) Clearcutting Sedimentation Х selective cutting Dredging 33.5 woody debris removal Farming (historic) х Subtotal this page Last revised 1 February 2001 jjm toxic pollutants Nutrient Enrichment

Site: E of Kings Ridge Drive

Rater(s): ADK, MVD

Date: 6/10/22

33.5				
Subtotal first	page			
		_		
0	33.5			
		_ Metric 5. Special Wetlands.		
max 10 pts.	Subtotal	Check all that apply and score as indica	ted.	
		Bog (10)		
		Fen (10)		
		Mature forested wetland (5)		
		I ake Frie coastal/tributary wetland-	unrestrict	ed hydrology (10)
		Lake Erie coastal/tributary wetland-	restricted	hydrology (5)
		Lake Plain Sand Prairies (Oak Ope	nings) (10	
		Relict Wet Prairies (10)		
		Known occurrence state/federal thr	eatened e	ndangered species (10)
		Significant migratory songbird/wate	r fowl hab	Itat or usage (10)
E	20 5			live Raing (-10)
5	38.5	Matria 6 Diant communities	intoro	noroion miorotonography
max 20 pts	Subtotal	_ Wetland Vegetation Communities	, inters	persion, microtopography.
max 20 pts.	Subiolai	Score all present using 0 to 3 scale.	Vegetat	ion Community Cover Scale
		Aquatic Bed	0	Absent or comprises <0.1ha (0.2471 acres) contiguous area
		1 Emergent	1	Present and either comprises small part of wetland's vegetation
		0 Shrub		and is of moderate quality, or comprises a significant part but is
		Forest		of low quality
		Mudflats	2	Present and either comprises significant part of wetland's
		Open water		vegetation and is of moderate quality or comprises a small part
		Other:		and is of high quality
			3	vegetation and is of high guality
		6b. horizontal (plan view) interspersion		
		Select only one.	Narrativ	e Description of Vegetation Community
		High (5)	low	Low spp diversity and/or predominance of nonnative or
		Moderately high (4)	mod	Native spon are dominant component of the vegetation, although
		2 Moderately low (2)	mou	nonnative and/or disturbance tolerant native spp can be
		Low (1)		present, and species diversity moderate to moderately high, but
		None (0)		generally w/o presence of rare threatened or endangered spp
			high	A predominance of native species, with nonnative spp and/or
		6c. Coverage of invasive plants		disturbance tolerant native spp absent or virtually absent, and high spp diversity, and offen, but not always, the presence of
		Refer to Table 1 ORAM long form for		rare, threatened, or endancered spp
		List. Add or deduct points for coverage		·····, ·········
		Extensive >75% cover (-5)	Mudflat	and Open Water Class Quality
		Moderate 25-75% cover (-3)	0	Absent <0.1ha (0.247 acres)
		-1 Sparse 5-25% cover (-1)		Low 0.1 to $<$ that (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
		Absent (1)	3	High 4ha (9.88 acres) or more
			-	
		6d. Microtopography.	Mienet-	normanhy Cover Scale
		Score all present using 0 to 3 scale.		Degraphy Cover Scale
		1 Coarse woodv debris >15cm (6in)	1	Present very small amounts or if more common of marginal
		2 Standing dead >25cm (10in) dbh		quality
		0 Amphibian breeding pools	2	Present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in
				small amounts of highest qualities
			3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of highest qualities

38.5 **GRAND TOTAL (max 100 pts)**

CATEGORY: Modified 2

Refer to the most recent ORAM Score Calibration Report for scoring breakpoints b/w wetland categories at the following address: http://www.epa.state.oh.us/dsw/401/401.html last revised 1 February 2001 jjm

ORAM Summary Worksheet

		Circle ar or ins scor	nswer ert e	
Narrative Rating	Question 1. Critical Habitat	YES	NO)f yes, Category 3.
	Question 2. Threatened or Endangered Species	YES	NO)If yes, Category 3.
	Question 3. High Quality Natural Wetland	YES	NO)If yes, Category 3.
	Question 4. Significant bird habitat	YES	NO	If yes, Category 3.
	Question 5. Category 1 Wetlands	YES	NO	If yes, Category 1.
	Question 6. Bogs	YES	NO	If yes, Category 3.
	Question 7. Fens	YES	NO	If yes, Category 3.
	Question 8a. Old Growth Forest	YES	NO	If yes, Category 3.
	Question 8b. Mature Forested Wetland	YES	NO	Af yes, evaluate for Category 3: may be 1 or 2.
	Question 9b. Lake Erie Wetlands - Restricted	YES	NO	If yes, evaluate for Category 3: may be 1 or 2.
	Question 9d. Lake Erie Wetlands – Unrestricted	YES)f yes, Category 3.
	Question 9e. Lake Erie Wetlands – Unrestricted with invasive plants	YES	(NO	If yes, evaluate for Category 3: may be 1 or 2.
	Question 10. Oak Openings	YES	NO	If yes, Category 3.
	Question 11. Relict Wet Prairies	YES	(NO	If yes, evaluate for Category 3: may be 1 or 2.
Quantitative Rating	Metric 1. Size	1		
	Metric 2. Buffers and surrounding land use	8		
	Metric 3. Hydrology	14		
	Metric 4. Habitat	10.	5	
	Metric 5. Special Wetland Communities	0		
	Metric 6. Plant communities, interspersion,	5		
				Category based on score
	Consult most recent score calibration report at	38.	5	breakpoints
	http://www.epa.state.oh.us/dsw/401/401.html to			
	determine the wetland's category based on its quantitative score			Mod. 2

Complete Wetland Categorization Worksheet

Wetland Categorization Worksheet

Chaises	Cirolo ono		
Choices	Circle one		
Did you answer "Yes" to any of the following questions: Narrative Rating Nos. 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8a, 9d, 10	Yes (Wetland is categorized as a Category 3 wetland	No	Is quantitative rating score <i>less</i> than the Category 2 scoring threshold (<i>excluding</i> gray zone)? If yes, reevaluate the category of the wetland using the narrative criteria in OAC Rule 3745-1-54(C) and biological and/or functional assessments to determine if the wetland has been over-categorized by the ORAM.
Did you answer "Yes" to any of the following questions: Narrative Rating Nos. 1, 8b, 9b, 9e, 11	Yes (Wetland should be evaluated for possible Category 3 status	No	Evaluate the wetland using the 1) narrative criteria in OAC Rule 3745-1-54(C) and 2) the quantitative rating score. If wetland is determined to be a Category 3 wetland using either of these, it should be categorized as a Category 3 wetland. Detailed biological and/or functional assessments may also be used to determine the wetland's category.
Did you answer "Yes" to: Narrative Rating Nos. 5	Yes (Wetland is categorized as a Category 1 wetland	No	Is quantitative rating score <i>greater</i> than the Category 2 scoring threshold (<i>including</i> any gray zone)? If yes, reevaluate the category of the wetland using the narrative criteria in OAC Rule 3745-1-54(C) and biological and/or functional assessments to determine if the wetland ha been under-categorized by the ORAM.
Does the quantitative score fall within the scoring range of a Category 1, 2, or 3 wetland?	Wetland is assigned to the appropriate category based on the scoring range.	No	If the score of the wetland is located within the scoring range of a particular category, the wetland should be assigned to that category. In all instances however, the narrative criteria described in OAC Rule 3745-1-54(C) can be used to clarify or change a categorization based on a quantitative score.
Does the quantitative score fall within the " <i>gray zone</i> " for Category 1 or 2 or Category 2 or 3 wetlands?	Yes (Wetland is assigned to the higher of the two categories or assigned to a category based on detailed assessments and the narrative criteria.	No	Rater has the option of assigning the wetland to the higher of the two categories or to assign a category based on the results of the non-rapid wetland assessment method, e.g. functional assessment, biological assessment, etc, and a consideration of the narrative criteria in OAC Rule 3745-1- 54(C).
Does the wetland otherwise exhibit moderate OR superior hydrologic OR habitat, OR recreational functions AND the wetland was <i>not</i> categorized as a Category 2 wetland (in the case of moderate functions) or a Category 3 wetland (in the case of superior functions) by this method ?	Yes (Wetland was under- categorized by this method. A written justification for re-categorization should be provided on Background Information Form	No Wetland is assigned to category as determined by the ORAM.	A wetland may be under-categorized using this method, but still exhibit one or more superior functions, e.g. a wetland's biotic communities may be degraded by human activities, but the wetland may still exhibit superior hydrologic functions because of its type, landscape position, size, local regional significance, etc. In this circumstance, the narrative criteria in OAC Rule 3745-1-54(C)(2) and (3) are controlling, and the under-categorization should be corrected. A written justification with supporting reasons or information for this determination should be provided.

Final Category									
Choose one	Category 1	Category 2	Category 3						

End of Ohio Rapid Assessment Method for Wetlands.

ChieEPA Primary Head	water Habitat Evaluation Forn HHEI Score (sum of metric:	62
SITE NAME OCATION 22028 Daniel Delfino Ea	st of Kings Bidge Dr., Bichfield Twp, Ohio	
	BIVER BASIN Rocky River DRAIN	AGE ABEA (mi²) 0.12
LENGTH OF STREAM REACH (ft) 150 LAT. 4	1.25968 LONG -81.67634 BIVEB CODE	
DATE 06/10/22 SCORER ADK, MVD	COMMENTS	
NOTE: Complete All Items On This Form - Refe	r to "Field Evaluation Manual for Ohio's PHWH S	treams" for Instructions
MODIFICATIONS:	HANNEL MIRECOVERED MIRECOVERING MIRE	CENT OR NO RECOVERY
1. SUBSTRATE (Estimate percent of every type of	of substrate present. Check ONLY two predominant subst	rate TYPE boxes
(Max of 32). Add total number of significant subs	trate types found (Max of 8). Final metric score is sum of bo	DIXES A & B.
BLDR SLABS [16 pts]	SILT [3 pt]	40% Points
BOULDER (>256 mm) [16 pts] 15%	LEAF PACK/WOODY DEBRIS [3 pts]	10% Substrate
		Max = 40
COBBLE (65-256 mm) [12 pts] 0%		0%
SAND (<2 mm) [6 pts] 0%		0% 17
Total of Percentages of 15 00%	(A) Substrate Percentage	(B)
Bldr Slabs, Boulder, Cobble, Bedrock		TYPES 5
2. Maximum Pool Depth (Measure the maximum evaluation. Avoid plunge pools from road culverts	pool depth within the 61 meter (200 ft) evaluation reach s or storm water pipes) (Check ONI Y one box):	at the time of Pool Depti Max - 30
> 30 centimeters [20 pts]	> 5 cm - 10 cm [15 pts]	Max = 50
> 22.5 - 30 cm [30 pts]	< 5 cm [5 pts]	05
> 10 - 22.5 cm [25 pts]		pts] 25
COMMENTS	MAXIMUM POOL DEPTH (cent	imeters): 13
3. BANK FULL WIDTH (Measured as the average	of 3-4 measurements) (Check ONLY one box):	Bankfull
> 4.0 meters (> 13') [30 pts]	> 1.0 m - 1.5 m (> 3' 3" - 4' 8") [15 pts]	Width Max=30
> 1.5 m - 3.0 m (> 9' 7" - 4' 8") [20 pts]		Max=50
COMMENTS		(meters): 1.70 20
Sommerro	AVENAGE DANKFOLE WIDTH	
	This information <u>must</u> also be completed	
RIPARIAN ZONE AND FLOODFLAIN G	DPLAIN QUALITY	ig downstream 24
LR (Per Bank) LR	(Most Predominant per Bank)	
Wide >10m	Mature Forest, Wetland Cor	nservation Tillage
Moderate 5-10m	Field Urb	oan or Industrial
Narrow <5m	Residential, Park, New Field	en Pasture, Row Crop
	Fenced Pasture	ing or Construction
COMMENTS		
FLOW REGIME (At Time of Evaluation)	(Check ONLY one box):	
Stream Flowing	Moist Channel, isolated pools, r	no flow (Intermittent)
COMMENTS recent heavy rainfall	sutiai) LI Dry channel, no water (Ephem	eral)
SINI OSITY /Number of bonds per 61 m	(200 ft) of channel) (Check ONI Vana boy):	
None 1.0		3.0
0.5 1.5	2.5	-3
STREAM GRADIENT ESTIMATE	Ioderate (2 ft/100 ft)	Severe (10 ft/100 ft)

THE DESCRIPTION OF THE DATE OF THE	
	EAM DESIGNATED USE(S)
CWH Name:	Distance from Evaluated Stream
EWH Name:	Distance from Evaluated Stream
MAPPING:	ATTACH COPIES OF MAPS, INCLUDING THE ENTIRE WATERSHED AREA. CLEARLY MARK THE SITE LOCATION
ISGS Quedrangle Na	Broadview Heights NBCS Seil Man Bager NBCS Seil Man Stream Order
Cummit	Rich Steam Order
County: Summit	Township / City:
MISCELLAN	NEOUS
Base Flow Conditions	? (Y/N): Y Date of last precipitation: 06/09/22 Quantity: 0.58
Photograph Informatic	on: Yes
Elevated Turbidity? (Y	Y/N): N Canopy (% open): 40%
Were samples collect	ted for water chemistry? (V/N): N (Note lab sample no. or id, and attach results) Lab Number:
Field Measures: Te	emp (°C) Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l) pH (S.U.) Conductivity (µmhos/cm)
Is the sampling reach	representative of the stream (Y/N) If not, please explain:
Additional comments/	/description of pollution impacts:
Nutrient runoff from	n nearby lawns
	ID number. Include appropriate field data sheets from the Primary Headwater Habitat Assessment Manual)
Fish Observed? (Y/N) Frogs or Tadpoles Ob Comments Regarding	ID number. Include appropriate field data sheets from the Primary Headwater Habitat Assessment Manual) N Voucher? (Y/N) N Salamanders Observed? (Y/N) N Voucher? (Y/N) N bserved? (Y/N) Voucher? (Y/N) N Aquatic Macroinvertebrates Observed? (Y/N) N Voucher? (Y/N) N g Biology:
Fish Observed? (Y/N) Frogs or Tadpoles Ob Comments Regarding	ID number. Include appropriate field data sheets from the Primary Headwater Habitat Assessment Manual) N Voucher? (Y/N) N Salamanders Observed? (Y/N) N Voucher? (Y/N) N bserved? (Y/N) Voucher? (Y/N) N Aquatic Macroinvertebrates Observed? (Y/N) N Voucher? (Y/N) N g Biology: ING AND NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION OF STREAM REACH (This <u>must</u> be completed):
Fish Observed? (Y/N) Frogs or Tadpoles Ob Comments Regarding DRAW Include importa	ID number. Include appropriate field data sheets from the Primary Headwater Habitat Assessment Manual) N Voucher? (Y/N) N Salamanders Observed? (Y/N) N Voucher? (Y/N) N Vouche
Fish Observed? (Y/N) Frogs or Tadpoles Ob Comments Regarding DRAW	ID number. Include appropriate field data sheets from the Primary Headwater Habitat Assessment Manual) N Voucher? (Y/N) N Salamanders Observed? (Y/N) N Voucher? (Y/N) N Vouche
Fish Observed? (Y/N) Frogs or Tadpoles Ob Comments Regarding DRAW Include importa	ID number. Include appropriate field data sheets from the Primary Headwater Habitat Assessment Manual) N Voucher? (Y/N) N Salamanders Observed? (Y/N) N Voucher? (Y/N) N Vouche
Fish Observed? (Y/N) Frogs or Tadpoles Ob Comments Regarding DRAW Include importa	Do number. Include appropriate field data sheets from the Primary Headwater Habitat Assessment Manual) N Voucher? (Y/N) N Salamanders Observed? (Y/N) N Voucher? (Y/N) N Vouche
Fish Observed? (Y/N) Frogs or Tadpoles Ob Comments Regarding DRAW Include importa	ID number. Include appropriate field data sheets from the Primary Headwater Habitat Assessment Manual) N Voucher? (Y/N) N Salamanders Observed? (Y/N) N Voucher? (Y/N) N Vouche
Fish Observed? (Y/N) Frogs or Tadpoles Ob Comments Regarding DRAW Include importa	$\frac{ \mathbf{n} }{ \mathbf{n} $

C



Planning Commission Zoning Map Amendment O-R to I-1 Springfield Township

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Proposal: To rezone parcels 51-02670, 51-06600, 51-09804, 51-09833, 51-02273, 51-02281, 51-02280, 51-02275, 51-02276, 51-08482, 51-08483, and 51-03302 from O-R to I-1. This would allow for offices or research facilities in the I-1 district. The change will eliminate the need for variances for the existing businesses as they expand. This will allow the Zoning Department to require more stringent enforcement of screening and landscaping requirements.

Staff recommends APPROVAL

Meeting:	July 28, 2022	Proposed Zoning:	I-1
Item No.:	Old Business 2	Council Dist.:	District 8
Current Zoning:	O-R	Processor:	Stephen Knittel

Parcel Number: 51-02670, 51-06600, 51-09804, 51-09833, 51-02273, 51-02281, 51-02280, 51-02275, 51-02276, 51-08482, 51-08483, and 51-03302

Location: Located on Massillon Rd east of Boyer Pkwy.

Proposal: To rezone parcels 51-02670, 51-06600, 51-09801, 51-09833, 51-02273, 51-02281, 51-02280, 51-02275, 51-02276, 51-08482, 51-08483, and 51-03302 from O-R to I-1.

Allen Swift: "As Zoning Administrator, I recommend the Board pass a resolution to change the following parcels.

51-02670, 51-06600, [51-09804], 51-09833, 51-02273, 51-02281, 51-02280, 51-02275, 51-02276, 51-08482, 51-08483, and 51-03302.

From O-R (Office-Research) to I-1(Light Industrial).

When this district was first established, it was hoped that new professional offices/research facilities would be encouraged to locate there. This has not happened, and the nature of the district has continued to be more industrial with businesses like Ohio Edison, Pence Brothers and Treno, LLC occupying the majority of the district. The I-1 district is established to accommodate industrial uses in the fields of repair, storage, manufacturing, processing, wholesaling, and distribution, free from encroachment of residential, retail, and institutional uses. The uses allowed are those that because of their normally unobjectionable characteristics can be in proximity to residential districts. The proposed change will still allow for offices or research facilities in the I-1 district. The change will eliminate the need for variances for the existing businesses as they expand. This will allow the Zoning Department to require more stringent enforcement of screening and landscaping requirements."

Zoning:

Direction	Zoning	Jurisdiction			
North	R-2	Residential	Springfield Township		
East	R-2	Residential	Springfield Township		
South	R-2	Residential	Springfield Township		
West	PIPD	Planned Industrial Park	Springfield Township		

See attachments for zoning maps.

<u>Current Zoning:</u> From Springfield Township's Zoning Resolution, provided on Springfield Township's website: https://www.springfieldtownship.us/

O-R - Office and Research Park District

The Office and Research Park District (O-R) is established to provide for areas of the township conducive to the development and protection of modern administrative facilities and research institutions that are office-like in physical appearance and service requirements with allowance for limited light industrial uses that have similar operational characteristics. The regulations of the O-R District are designed to encourage new office/light industrial subdivisions with new streets to minimize curb cuts on existing public streets.

Proposed Zoning:

I-1 - Light Industrial

The Light Industrial District (I-1) is established to accommodate industrial uses in the fields of repair, storage, manufacturing, processing, wholesaling, and distribution, free from encroachment of residential, retail, and institutional uses. The uses allowed are those that because of their normally unobjectionable characteristics can be in relatively close proximity to residential districts.

(D) FERMITTED USE TABLE											
	T/	ABLE	5.03-A	: PER	MITTE	d Use	Тав	LE			
PERMITTED USES	RE	RESIDENTIAL ZONING NONRESIDENTIAL ZONING									
P = Permitted Use		DISTRICTS					DIST	RICTS			STANDARDS
PS = Permitted with Additional Use- Specific Standards C = Conditional Use Blank Cell = Prohibited	0.0	R -	R-2	R-3	ū	C-1	3	0-R	Ξ	1-2	SEE SECTION:
AGRICULTURAL USES											
Agricultural uses	PS	PS	PS	PS	PS	PS	PS	PS	PS	PS	Section 5.04(A)
Nurseries or greenhouses	PS	PS	PS	PS		Ρ	Ρ		Ρ	Ρ	Section 5.04(B)
RESIDENTIAL USES											
Adult family homes or small residential facilities	Ρ	Ρ	Ρ	Ρ							
Bed and breakfast establishments	С	С	С	С							Section 5.04(C)
Conservation subdivision	PS	PS	PS	PS							Section 5.04(D)
Conservation subdivision with attached dwellings	PS	PS	PS	PS							Section 5.04(D)
Dwellings, single-family	Р	Ρ	Ρ	Ρ							
Dwellings, two-family			Ρ	Ρ							
Institutional housing		С	С	С	PS						Section 5.04(E)
Permanently sited manufactured housing	PS	PS	PS	PS							Section 5.04(F)
		Pu	BLIC AN	ID INST	πυτιοι	NAL US	ES				
Active parks and recreation	С	С	С	С	С	Ρ	Ρ	Ρ	Ρ	Р	Section 5.04(G)
Campgrounds	С										Section 5.04(I)
Cemeteries		PS	PS	PS							Section 5.04(J)
Churches and places of worship	С	С	С	С	P	P	P	Р	Ρ	Р	0
Cultural institutions	С	С	С	С							0
Educational facilities (Primary and Secondary) ⁶		с	с	с	с	с					0
Educational facilities, higher							Ρ	Ρ	Ρ		
Hospitals						Ρ	Ρ	С			Section 5.04(M)
Passive parks, recreation, and open space	Ρ	Ρ	Ρ	Ρ	Р	Ρ	Ρ	Ρ	Ρ	Ρ	
Public safety and service facilities	С	С	С	С	PS	PS	PS	PS	PS	PS	Section 5.04(N)

(B) PERMITTED USE TABLE

TABLE 5.03-A: PERMITTED USE TABLE											
PERMITTED USES	RES	SIDENTI	AL ZON	ING		NON	RESIDEN				
P = Permitted Use		DIST	RICTS				DIST	USE-SPECIFIC			
PS = Permitted with Additional Use- Specific Standards											STANDARDS
C = Conditional Use	y y		2	2	- 5	3	3	۳.	Ξ	2	SEE SECTION
Blank Cell = Prohibited		-	-	-	–	Ŭ	Ŭ	, v	_	_	SEE SECTION:
COMMERCIAL AND OFFICE USES											
Adult entertainment establishments									С	С	Section 5.04(H)
Banks and financial institutions					Р	Ρ	Ρ	Р			
Bars, taverns, or restaurants					Р	Р	Р	Р			
Club					Р	Р	Р				
Commercial entertainment or						D	D		<u> </u>		
recreation (indoors)						r	r		· ·		
Commercial entertainment or						с					
Puilding and here form and a			<u> </u>								
establishments							Ρ		Ρ	Р	
Day care centers (adult or child)	PS	PS	PS	PS	Р	Р	Ρ	Р			Section 5.04(O)
Entertainment Device Arcades											Section 5.04(P)
Funeral homes						Ρ					
General offices (administrative, professional business)					Р	Р	Р	Р	Ρ	Ρ	
Hotels and motels						Р	Р				
Instructional studios					Р	P	P				
Kennels, commercial and animal day	с	с	с	с	-	-	с		PS	PS	Section 5.04(Q)
Medical and dental offices or clinics					P	P	P	P	P		
Outdoor dining areas					PS	PS	PS				Section 5 04(R)
Outdoor display and sales					PS	PS	PS	PS			Section 5.04(S)
Outdoor storage						c	c		PS	PS	Section 5.04(T)
Personal service establishments					Р	P	P				0000010101(1)
Retail commercial uses					Р	Р	Р				
Sales offices and showrooms						Р	Р	с			
Service commercial uses						Р	Р	с			
Veterinarian offices and animal hospitals						PS	PS	PS	PS	PS	Section 5.04(U)
VEHICLE AND TRANSPORTATION RELATED LISES											
Gasoline stations						C	PS			1	Section 5 04(V)
Farm implement sales and rental ^{2,7}						c	C				Section 5.04(W)
Motor vehicle sales ²						c	c				Section 5.04(W)
Parking lot or structure					с	c	c	с	с	с	Section 5.04(X)
Passenger transportation terminal					-	P	P	~	-	-	00000110101(74)
Truck services/truck stop facilities						-	c				Section 5.04(Y)
Truck/transfer facilities							-		с	с	Section 5.04(Y)
Vehicle repair garages (major repair)						с	PS		PS	PS	Section 5.04(Z)

	-			Deve							
		ABLE).U3-A	: PER	MITTE	D USE	IAB	E			
PERMITTED USES P = Permitted Use PS = Permitted with Additional Use- Specific Standards C = Conditional Use Blank Cell = Prohibited	RESIDENTIAL ZONING					Non	RESIDEN	USE-SPECIFIC			
	DISTRICTS				DISTRICTS						STANDARDS
	<u>о</u>	R.	R-2	S.	ថ	C.2	3	0-R	Ξ	1-2	SEE SECTION:
Vehicle service uses (minor repair)						PS	PS		PS	PS	Section 5.04(V)
Vehicle washing establishments						С	С				Section 5.04(AA)
INDUSTRIAL USES											
Distribution facilities									Р	Р	
Foundry									С	Р	
Industrial service uses									Р	Р	Section 5.04(BB)
Industrial uses, heavy										С	Section 5.04(CC)
Industrial uses, light								Р	Ρ	Р	Section 5.04(BB)
Laboratories								PS	PS	PS	Section 5.04(DD)
Research and development facilities								PS	PS		Section 5.04(DD)
Self-storage facilities									С	С	Section 5.04(EE)
Warehouses								Р	Р	Р	
OTHER USES											
Essential Services	Ρ	Ρ	Ρ	Ρ	Р	Р	Ρ	Р	Р	Р	
Gas and Oil Wells	PS	PS	PS	PS	PS	PS	PS	PS	PS	PS	Section 5.04(FF)
Mixed Use Development						PS	PS				Section 5.04(GG)
Radio and television stations (no towers or satellites)						Ρ	Ρ	Ρ	Ρ	Ρ	
Soil removal or mineral extraction									С	С	Section 5.04(HH)
Wireless telecommunication facilities	С	С	С	С	Р	Ρ	P	Ρ	Ρ	Ρ	Section 5.04(II)

STAFF REVIEW

- 1. *Is the proposed zoning change reasonable given the nature of the surrounding area?* The request is reasonable in that the property is adjacent to a Planned Industrial Park District.
- 2. Can the property reasonably be used as currently zoned? Yes.
- 3. Is the proposed Map Amendment consistent with the objectives and goals of the Comprehensive Plan? The Comprehensive Land Use Plan calls for this area to be Office and Research
- 4. *Is the proposed zoning change consistent with the stated purpose and intent of the zoning resolution and the applicable districts?* Yes.
- 5. *How will the proposed zoning change impact public services and facilities?* The proposed zoning is a greater intensity use than the current zoning however it should not impact public services and facilities.
- 6. *How will the proposed zoning change impact traffic, especially traffic safety?* The proposed change should not have an impact on traffic nor traffic safety.
- 7. Will the proposed zoning change adversely affect adjoining properties? The proposed change is a greater intensity use than the current zoning and may adversely affect adjoining properties.
- 8. *Is this an appropriate location for the proposed use or are there other available locations better suited for it?* The request is reasonable in that the property is adjacent to a Planned Industrial Park District.

- 9. *Will the proposed zoning change, change the character of the neighborhood?* The proposed change is a higher intensity use and has the potential to change the character of the neighborhood.
- 10. Has there been a change in conditions that renders the original zoning inappropriate? No.

Staff Comments:

- The site can be used as currently zoned.
- The request is reasonable in that the property is adjacent to a Planned Industrial Park District.
- The Future Land Use Plan calls for this area to be Office and Research "The office and research areas of Springfield Township provide for an area where office or research and development facilities may be located in a business park setting. These uses may be of varied scale from a small medical office to large, multi-floor office buildings and may include some commercial accessory uses. Beyond general research and development activities, the large-scale manufacturing or distribution of goods should not occur in the office and research area."

Recommendation: Staff recommends APPROVAL.



To the Springfield Board of Trustees:

As Zoning Administrator, I recommend the Board pass a resolution to change the following parcels.

51-02670, 51-06600, 51-09801, 51-09833, 51-02273, 51-02281, 51-02280, 51-02275, 51-02276, 51-08482, 51-08483, and 51-03302.

From **O-R** (Office-Research) to **I-1**(Light Industrial).

When this district was first established, it was hoped that new professional offices/research facilities would be encouraged to locate there. This has not happened, and the nature of the district has continued to be more industrial with businesses like Ohio Edison, Pence Brothers and Treno, LLC occupying the majority of the district. The I-1 district is established to accommodate industrial uses in the fields of repair, storage, manufacturing, processing, wholesaling, and distribution, free from encroachment of residential, retail, and institutional uses. The uses allowed are those that because of their normally unobjectionable characteristics can be in proximity to residential districts.

The proposed change will still allow for offices or research facilities in the **I-1** district. The change will eliminate the need for variances for the existing businesses as they expand. This will allow the Zoning Department to require more stringent enforcement of screening and landscaping requirements.

Recoverable Signature

X Allan Swift

Allan Swift Zoning Administrator Signed by: ee0e361d-1075-4891-9474-9f82c8ec5c62



Web AppBuilc Disclaimer: Users of this map accept all risk, not intended to serve as profes

Summit County Municipal Outlines
SPRINGFIELD TOWNSHIP ZONING COMMISSION MARCH 2, 2022 MINUTES

The Springfield Township Zoning Commission held a meeting on Wednesday, March 2, 2022 at the Springfield Township Town Hall, 2459 Canfield Road, Akron, Ohio at 5:30 p.m.

Board members In attendance: Gary Older, Tracy Cunningham, Gerard Michael. Nancy Dotson and David Lile were absent. Also present Alan Swift, Zoning Administrator and Patty Price, Secretary.

Purpose of the Meeting:

- **1.** Change Zoning District on Massillon Road from O-R to I-1 sent from Trustees.
- 2. Evaluate the current zoning regulations and look to update.
- 3. Begin work on a property maintenance code.
- 4. Set up committee to revise the comprehensive plan.

Alan Swift and Tracy Cunningham went over the changes to the Zoning Book in order to have a current up to date book.

Officers for 2022 were elected. Gary Older, Chairman. Gerard Michael, Vice Chairman.

Amendment to Zoning District:

Gerard Michael: I move to change the zoning district on Massillon Road including Parcel #'s: 51-02670, 51-06600, 51-09804, 51-09833, 51-02273, 51-02281, 51-02280, 51-02275, 51-02276, 51-08482, 51-08483, 51-03302 from O-R (Office-Research) to I-1 (Light Industrial) and set a public hearing for the Zoning Commission on April 6, 2022 at 5:30 p.m. Seconded by Gary Older. Roll Call: Gerard Michael (yes); Gary Older (yes); Tracy Cunningham (yes).

The Zoning members discussed work to initiate a Property Maintenance Code.

Comprehensive Plan (2002 – updated 2010). Discussed setting up a committee of ten individuals to look at 20 years in future.

SPRINGFIELD TOWNSHIP ZONING COMMISSION MARCH 2, 2022 MINUTES

Gary Older: I move to adjourn. Seconded by Gerard Michael. Roll Call: Gerard Michael (yes); Gary Older (yes); Tracy Cunningham (yes).

Gary Older, Chairman

Patty Price, Secretary

03022022zcmin



Planning Commission Zoning Text Amendment **Residential District, Garages** Sagamore Hills Township

Item No.:1Meeting:July 28, 2022Applicant:Sagamore Hills Zoning CommissionProposal:Residential District, GaragesProcessor:Stephen Knittel

Proposal: The applicant has proposed that the Sagamore Hills Township Zoning Resolution revise Section 3 Residential District to amend language of permitted maximum garage size.

Proposed Text Amendments:

Sagamore Hills Township

Section 3.0 Residential District

3.1 **Purpose**

The purpose of this district is to accommodate residential development that will promote the residential character of this zone.

3.6 Garages

All new garage constructions and/or modifications thereto shall be done with a minimum of four hundred (400) square feet and a maximum of eight hundred fifty (850) square feet <u>One Thousand Two Hundred (1,200) square feet</u>. Such garage shall have a separate exit other than through the garage door. A garage shall be required for all residential construction, and should be erected at time of construction of the dwelling.

Staff Comments: Proposing to increase maximum garage size from 850 sq ft to 1,200 sq ft.

Recommendation: Staff recommends to the Summit County Planning Commission that the proposed text amendments be **APPROVED**.



Planning Commission Zoning Text Amendment PUD Boundary Setback Sagamore Hills Township

Item No.:2Meeting:July 28, 2022Applicant:Sagamore Hills Zoning CommissionProposal:PUD Boundary SetbackProcessor:Stephen Knittel

Proposal: The applicant has proposed that the Sagamore Hills Township Zoning Resolution revise Section 14.6 PUD to add language about the PUD Boundary Setback.

Proposed Text Amendments: Proposed new text is underlined.

Sagamore Hills Township

6. PUD Boundary Setback

No building or structure shall be erected or placed nearer than one hundred (100) feet to any PUD <u>perimeter</u> boundary line.

Staff Comments: Adding language to clarify that no building or structure shall be erected or placed nearer than one hundred (100) feet to any PUD <u>perimeter</u> boundary line.

Recommendation: Staff recommends to the Summit County Planning Commission that the proposed text amendments be **APPROVED**.



Planning Commission Zoning Map Amendment 2934 S. Main St. Coventry Township

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Proposal: Requesting a change in zoning classification for 2934 S Main St., Akron, OH 44319 (Summit County Parcel # 1909823 & 1909824). Located on the west side of S Main St., parcel # 1909823 & 1909824 contains land currently zoned both B-2 Limited/Local Business and R-1 Residential to B-2 Limited/Local Business.

Staff recommends APPROVAL

Meeting:	July 28, 2022	Proposed	B/2
		Zoning:	
Item No.:	3	Council Dist.:	District 8
Current Zoning:	B-2 and R-1	Processor:	Stephen Knittel

Parcel Number: 1909823 & 1909824

Location: Along S. Main St west of the intersection with Kirby Dr.

Proposal: Requesting a change in zoning classification for 2934 S Main St., Akron, OH 44319 (Summit County Parcels 1909823 & 1909824). Located on the along S. Main St west of the intersection with Kirby Dr., currently zoned both B-2 Limited/Local Business and R-1 Residential to B/2

Zoning:

See attachments for zoning maps.

Direction	Zoning	Land Use	Jurisdiction
North	R-1 and B-2	Residential and Business	Coventry Township
East	B-2	Residential	Coventry Township
South	R-1 and B-2	Residential and Business	Coventry Township
West	R-1	Residential	Coventry Township

<u>Current Zoning:</u> From Coventry Township's Zoning Resolution, provided on Coventry Township's website: https://www.coventrytownship.us/

R-1 Residence District

SECTION 6.01 PERMITTED USES

In an "R-1" Residence District, no building, structure, lot, or land shall be used except for the following purposes.

A. PERMITTED USES

1. Single family dwelling.

2. Accessory buildings or structures customarily incidental to the foregoing permitted use,

including private boat house and dock facilities, roadside stands, and private garages.

3. Short Term Rentals. **

B. CONDITIONALLY PERMITTED USES

(Uses which may be permitted by issuance of a Conditional Zoning Certificate by the Board of Zoning Appeals that said Board finds that the proposed conditional use is listed in the conditional uses in the district and that the conduct of the use meets beyond any reasonable doubt, both the general and specific requirements thereto.)

1. Public owned and operated facilities such as, but not limited to, fire stations, township halls, community center buildings or areas, libraries, museums, parks, recreation, or conservation areas.

2. Public or parochial schools.

3. Churches and comparable buildings for religious worship, instruction, or devotion, but excluding tents temporarily erected for such purposes.

4. Golf courses or country clubs, but excluding miniature golf courses or practice driving ranges operated for business purposes.

5. Accessory buildings or structures customarily incidental to any of the foregoing conditionally permitted uses, including accommodations for personnel employed on the premises, private boat house and dock facilities, home occupation, and roadside stands.

6. Residential and non-residential alcohol, drug and related mental health treatment facilities and associated uses.

SECTION 6.02 HEIGHT REGULATIONS

No main building or structure shall exceed two and one-half (2-1/2) stories or thirty (30) feet in height. No accessory building or structure shall exceed one (1) story or fifteen (15) feet in height, whichever is less. (Same as Sections 7.02 and 8.02).

SECTION 6.03 AREA REGULATIONS

A. FRONT YARD

There shall be a front yard having a minimum depth of sixty (60) feet measured from the street right-of-way line to the building line.

B. FRONT YARD IN BUILT-UP BLOCKS

There shall be a front yard having a minimum depth of sixty (60) feet measured from the street right of way line to the building line, except on properties where immediately adjoining lots on either side of the subject have existing structures that are located at a setback less than the minimum set forth above. In that case the minimum setback shall be the average of those existing structures, provided, however the front setback established by this criteria shall in no event be less than twenty (20) feet.*

C. SIDE YARDS

There shall be provided a side yard on each side of a building or structure having a minimum width of fifteen (15) feet between the lot line and any structures.

D. SIDE YARDS - CORNER LOT

Corner lots shall maintain the required front setback on both abutting streets. (Same as Paragraph D, Section 7.03 and 8.03).

E. REAR YARD

There shall be provided a rear yard having a minimum depth of forty-five (45) feet to the building line.

F. MINIMUM AREA OF LOT OR PARCEL OF LAND

The minimum number of square feet of area of each lot or parcel of land shall be thirty thousand (30,000) square feet, unless the lot or parcel of land in question is a lot or parcel of land of record and meets all other zoning requirements of the Zoning Resolution of Coventry Township.

G. MINIMUM WIDTH AT BUILDING LINE

The minimum width which each lot or parcel of land must have at the building line is one hundred (100) feet, unless it is a lot or parcel of land of record and meets all other zoning requirements of the Zoning Resolution of Coventry Township.

H. MINIMUM FLOOR SPACE

Every one (1) story SINGLE FAMILY dwelling shall have a minimum floor space of not less than one thousand square feet.

Every one and one-half (1-1/2) story SINGLE FAMILY dwelling shall have a minimum first floor space of not less than eight hundred fifty (850) square feet.

In computing the required minimum floor space, the area of breezeways, garages and other similar accessory buildings shall be excluded.

Every type of dwelling constructed on a slab, or without a basement, shall have a minimum first floor space of at least two hundred (200) square feet in addition to the foregoing minimum floor space noted in H.

All attached or detached garages incidental to the occupancy of the main building must be for private use only. The combined area of all such garages cannot exceed seven hundred sixty-eight (768) square feet.

I. ACCESSORY BUILDINGS

The construction of any accessory building or buildings, except private garages, as defined in Article 1.01, which exceeds two hundred (200) square feet in area is subject to prior approval by the Township Board of Appeals and subject to issuance of the proper Zoning Certificate and Conditional Zoning Certificate. The combined area of any existing accessory building or buildings, except private garages, shall be included in the computation of the two hundred (200) square feet area requirement and limitations of this Section.

Accessory buildings which are not a part of the main building may be built in a rear yard within five (5) feet of the rear or side lot lines and may be no closer than ten (10) feet to the main building. An accessory building or buildings which are not part of the main building shall not occupy more than thirty percent of the required rear yard. No accessory buildings, except private garages, can be used for parking, storage, or keeping of any motor vehicle including but not limited to cars, trucks, motor homes, etc. The accessory building area of two hundred (200) square feet may be added to the floor space of a garage, provided no other accessory building is present, and the parcel of land is one acre or more.

B-2 Limited Local Business District

SECTION 11.01

This district is established to provide for single or planned and integrated groupings of stores which will retail convenience goods and provide personal and professional service for a neighborhood area. No buildings, structures, lots, or parcels of land shall be used except for the following purposes:

A. PERMITTED USES

1. All uses permitted and conditionally permitted in "B-1" Office Business District.

2. Limited retail businesses which supply merchandise on the premises to include drugs, dry goods,

clothing, notions, gifts, hardware, baked goods, florists, athletic goods.

3. Personal services including dry cleaning and laundry shops, barber shops and beauty shops, shoe

repair, tailor and dressmaker, repair shops for watches, radios, and televisions, photo studios,

photostatic and blueprinting.

4. Limited food sales of convenience store variety and or local grocery store, bakeries,

delicatessen, and meat market, drive thru beverage stores.

5. Residential occupancy in conjunction with a limited business, where business occupies less than

fifty (50) percent of structure.

6. Boat sales, minor service of boat and marine engines, and rentals.

B. CONDITIONAL USES

- 1. Restaurants catering to all age groups conditions.
 - a. The use must comply with Article 3.06.
 - b. No music or public address system shall be amplified to be heard on surrounding property.**
 - c. Parking must comply with Article 18.00.
 - d. Outside dining must comply with Section 23.20.**
 - e. Security and supervision shall be provided as required by the Board of Zoning Appeals.

C. All existing business uses and lands zoned for business use under the Coventry Zoning Resolution prior to August 23, 1970 are classified in this district.

SECTION 11.02 HEIGHT REGULATIONS

No building or structure shall exceed three (3) stories, or forty (40) feet in height, except with the approval of the Township Board of Zoning Appeals.

SECTION 11.03 AREA REGULATIONS

A. FRONT YARD

There shall be a front yard having a minimum depth of fifty (50) feet if on a County or State maintained roadway and a minimum depth of forty (40) feet for any other roads, from the street right-of-way line.

No part of a building, including awning, canopy, or sign shall extend or be placed between the building line and the street right-of-way line unless authorized by the Township Board of Zoning Appeals.

B. SIDE YARDS

There shall be provided a side yard having a minimum width of ten (10) feet, or twenty (20) feet if adjacent to residentially zoned property. On the side of corner lots or lands nearest the street, there shall be provided a side yard having the same width as the required front yard depth on such street.

C. REAR YARD

There shall be provided a rear yard having a minimum depth of twenty (20) feet. D. MINIMUM AREA OF LOT OR PARCEL OF LAND

The minimum square footage of each lot or parcel of land shall be no less than one half acre (21,780 square feet) provided centralized sanitary sewer is available and one acre (43,560 square feet) if centralized sewer is not available.*

SECTION 11.04 PARKING FACILITIES See Article 18.00.

Proposed Zoning:

B-2 Limited Local Business District

see above

STAFF REVIEW

- 1. *Is the proposed zoning change reasonable given the nature of the surrounding area?* Yes.
- 2. *Can the property reasonably be used as currently zoned?* The parcel is split between R-1 and B-2 zoning. Consolidating the zoning is recommended.
- 3. Is the proposed Map Amendment consistent with the objectives and goals of the Comprehensive Plan? The Township does not have a Comprehensive/Future Land Use Plan
- 4. Is the proposed zoning change consistent with the stated purpose and intent of the zoning resolution and the applicable districts? Yes.
- 5. *How will the proposed zoning change impact public services and facilities?* The proposed zoning should not impact public services and facilities.
- 6. *How will the proposed zoning change impact traffic, especially traffic safety?* The proposed change should not have an impact on traffic nor traffic safety.
- 7. *Will the proposed zoning change adversely affect adjoining properties?* The proposed change should not adversely affect adjoining properties.
- 8. Is this an appropriate location for the proposed use or are there other available locations better suited for it? There are adjoining properties that are also split zoning but look to have business uses along S. Main St.

- 9. *Will the proposed zoning change, change the character of the neighborhood?* The proposed change should not change the character of the neighborhood.
- 10. Has there been a change in conditions that renders the original zoning inappropriate? No.

Staff Comments:

- The parcel is split between R-1 and B-2 zoning. Consolidating the zoning is recommended.
- There are adjoining properties that are also split zoning but look to have business uses along S. Main St.

Recommendation: Staff recommends APPROVAL.





Planning Commission Zoning Map Amendment 3445 S. Main Street Coventry Township

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Proposal: Requesting a change in zoning classification for 3445 S. Main Street, Akron, OH 44319 (Summit County Parcel # 1909395). Located on the east side of S Main St. north of Killian Rd., parcel # 1909395 contains 66.17 acres of land currently zoned both B-2 Limited/Local Business and R-1 Residential to C/I Commercial Industrial.

Staff recommends DISAPPROVAL

Meeting:	July 28, 2022	Proposed	C/I
		Zoning:	
Item No.:	4	Council Dist.:	District 8
Current Zoning:	B-2 and R-1	Processor:	Stephen Knittel

Parcel Number: 1909395

Location: Located on the east side of S Main St. north of Killian Rd.

Proposal: Requesting a change in zoning classification for 3445 S. Main Street, Akron, OH 44319 (Summit County Parcel # 1909395). Located on the east side of S Main St. north of Killian Rd., parcel # 1909395 contains 66.17 acres of land currently zoned both B-2 Limited/Local Business and R-1 Residential to C/I Commercial Industrial.

Zoning:

See attachments for zoning maps.

Direction	Zoning	Land Use	Jurisdiction
North	R-1 and B-2	Residential and Business	Coventry Township
East	R-2	Residential	Coventry Township
South	R-1 and B-2	Residential and Business	Coventry Township
West	R-1	Planned Industrial Park	Coventry Township

<u>Current Zoning:</u> From Coventry Township's Zoning Resolution, provided on Coventry Township's website: https://www.coventrytownship.us/

R-1 Residence District

SECTION 6.01 PERMITTED USES

In an "R-1" Residence District, no building, structure, lot, or land shall be used except for the following purposes.

A. PERMITTED USES

1. Single family dwelling.

2. Accessory buildings or structures customarily incidental to the foregoing permitted use,

including private boat house and dock facilities, roadside stands, and private garages.

3. Short Term Rentals. **

B. CONDITIONALLY PERMITTED USES

(Uses which may be permitted by issuance of a Conditional Zoning Certificate by the Board of Zoning Appeals that said Board finds that the proposed conditional use is listed in the conditional uses in the district and that the conduct of the use meets beyond any reasonable doubt, both the general and specific requirements thereto.)

1. Public owned and operated facilities such as, but not limited to, fire stations, township halls, community center buildings or areas, libraries, museums, parks, recreation, or conservation areas.

2. Public or parochial schools.

3. Churches and comparable buildings for religious worship, instruction, or devotion, but excluding tents temporarily erected for such purposes.

4. Golf courses or country clubs, but excluding miniature golf courses or practice driving ranges operated for business purposes.

5. Accessory buildings or structures customarily incidental to any of the foregoing conditionally permitted uses, including accommodations for personnel employed on the premises, private boat house and dock facilities, home occupation, and roadside stands.

6. Residential and non-residential alcohol, drug and related mental health treatment facilities and associated uses.

SECTION 6.02 HEIGHT REGULATIONS

No main building or structure shall exceed two and one-half (2-1/2) stories or thirty (30) feet in height. No accessory building or structure shall exceed one (1) story or fifteen (15) feet in height, whichever is less. (Same as Sections 7.02 and 8.02).

SECTION 6.03 AREA REGULATIONS

A. FRONT YARD

There shall be a front yard having a minimum depth of sixty (60) feet measured from the street right-of-way line to the building line.

B. FRONT YARD IN BUILT-UP BLOCKS

There shall be a front yard having a minimum depth of sixty (60) feet measured from the street right of way line to the building line, except on properties where immediately adjoining lots on either side of the subject have existing structures that are located at a setback less than the minimum set forth above. In that case the minimum setback shall be the average of those existing structures, provided, however the front setback established by this criteria shall in no event be less than twenty (20) feet.*

C. SIDE YARDS

There shall be provided a side yard on each side of a building or structure having a minimum width of fifteen (15) feet between the lot line and any structures.

D. SIDE YARDS - CORNER LOT

Corner lots shall maintain the required front setback on both abutting streets. (Same as Paragraph D, Section 7.03 and 8.03).

E. REAR YARD

There shall be provided a rear yard having a minimum depth of forty-five (45) feet to the building line.

F. MINIMUM AREA OF LOT OR PARCEL OF LAND

The minimum number of square feet of area of each lot or parcel of land shall be thirty thousand (30,000) square feet, unless the lot or parcel of land in question is a lot or parcel of land of record and meets all other zoning requirements of the Zoning Resolution of Coventry Township.

G. MINIMUM WIDTH AT BUILDING LINE

The minimum width which each lot or parcel of land must have at the building line is one hundred (100) feet, unless it is a lot or parcel of land of record and meets all other zoning requirements of the Zoning Resolution of Coventry Township.

H. MINIMUM FLOOR SPACE

Every one (1) story SINGLE FAMILY dwelling shall have a minimum floor space of not less than one thousand square feet.

Every one and one-half (1-1/2) story SINGLE FAMILY dwelling shall have a minimum first floor space of not less than eight hundred fifty (850) square feet.

In computing the required minimum floor space, the area of breezeways, garages and other similar accessory buildings shall be excluded.

Every type of dwelling constructed on a slab, or without a basement, shall have a minimum first floor space of at least two hundred (200) square feet in addition to the foregoing minimum floor space noted in H.

All attached or detached garages incidental to the occupancy of the main building must be for private use only. The combined area of all such garages cannot exceed seven hundred sixty-eight (768) square feet.

I. ACCESSORY BUILDINGS

The construction of any accessory building or buildings, except private garages, as defined in Article 1.01, which exceeds two hundred (200) square feet in area is subject to prior approval by the Township Board of Appeals and subject to issuance of the proper Zoning Certificate and Conditional Zoning Certificate. The combined area of any existing accessory building or buildings, except private garages, shall be included in the computation of the two hundred (200) square feet area requirement and limitations of this Section.

Accessory buildings which are not a part of the main building may be built in a rear yard within five (5) feet of the rear or side lot lines and may be no closer than ten (10) feet to the main building. An accessory building or buildings which are not part of the main building shall not occupy more than thirty percent of the required rear yard. No accessory buildings, except private garages, can be used for parking, storage, or keeping of any motor vehicle including but not limited to cars, trucks, motor homes, etc. The accessory building area of two hundred (200) square feet may be added to the floor space of a garage, provided no other accessory building is present, and the parcel of land is one acre or more.

B-2 Limited Local Business District

SECTION 11.01

This district is established to provide for single or planned and integrated groupings of stores which will retail convenience goods and provide personal and professional service for a neighborhood area. No buildings, structures, lots, or parcels of land shall be used except for the following purposes:

A. PERMITTED USES

1. All uses permitted and conditionally permitted in "B-1" Office Business District.

2. Limited retail businesses which supply merchandise on the premises to include drugs, dry goods,

clothing, notions, gifts, hardware, baked goods, florists, athletic goods.

3. Personal services including dry cleaning and laundry shops, barber shops and beauty shops, shoe

repair, tailor and dressmaker, repair shops for watches, radios, and televisions, photo studios,

photostatic and blueprinting.

4. Limited food sales of convenience store variety and or local grocery store, bakeries,

delicatessen, and meat market, drive thru beverage stores.

5. Residential occupancy in conjunction with a limited business, where business occupies less than

fifty (50) percent of structure.

- 6. Boat sales, minor service of boat and marine engines, and rentals.
- B. CONDITIONAL USES
 - 1. Restaurants catering to all age groups conditions.
 - a. The use must comply with Article 3.06.
 - b. No music or public address system shall be amplified to be heard on surrounding property.**
 - c. Parking must comply with Article 18.00.
 - d. Outside dining must comply with Section 23.20.**
 - e. Security and supervision shall be provided as required by the Board of Zoning Appeals.

C. All existing business uses and lands zoned for business use under the Coventry Zoning Resolution prior to August 23, 1970 are classified in this district.

SECTION 11.02 HEIGHT REGULATIONS

No building or structure shall exceed three (3) stories, or forty (40) feet in height, except with the approval of the Township Board of Zoning Appeals.

SECTION 11.03 AREA REGULATIONS

A. FRONT YARD

There shall be a front yard having a minimum depth of fifty (50) feet if on a County or State maintained roadway and a minimum depth of forty (40) feet for any other roads, from the street right-of-way line.

No part of a building, including awning, canopy, or sign shall extend or be placed between the building line and the street right-of-way line unless authorized by the Township Board of Zoning Appeals.

B. SIDE YARDS

There shall be provided a side yard having a minimum width of ten (10) feet, or twenty (20) feet if adjacent to residentially zoned property. On the side of corner lots or lands nearest the street, there shall be provided a side yard having the same width as the required front yard depth on such street.

C. REAR YARD

There shall be provided a rear yard having a minimum depth of twenty (20) feet. D. MINIMUM AREA OF LOT OR PARCEL OF LAND

The minimum square footage of each lot or parcel of land shall be no less than one half acre (21,780 square feet) provided centralized sanitary sewer is available and one acre (43,560 square feet) if centralized sewer is not available.*

SECTION 11.04 PARKING FACILITIES See Article 18.00.

Proposed Zoning:

C/I – Commercial Industrial

SECTION 14.01

In a Commercial-Industrial District, no building, structure, lot, or land shall be used except to provide for certain commercial and industrial uses engaged in the storage, distribution and handling of large quantities of goods and materials, and the fabrication, manufacture and repair of goods of such nature that no objectionable by-products such as odors, smoke, dust, refuse, electromagnetic interferences, noise, etc. are noticeable, and when all work activities and storage are conducted indoors. (No outdoor manufacturing, assembly or storage is permitted.)

A. RESTRICTIONS AND REQUIREMENTS: General Requirements:

1. Central sewer and water.

2. All utilities including telephone, electric, cable television, etc. are required to be

underground.

3. All streets shall conform to the width restrictions of Summit County, and shall be of asphalt or concrete surface. Curbing and street lighting along all streets are required. All streets, driveways and parking areas shall consist of concrete or asphalt paving. All street lighting shall be attractive as well as useful.*(deleted sidewalk requirement 6/9/02)

4. At least thirty percent (30%) of all land must be used for open or Agreen@ space. All open space must be landscaped and well-maintained, and may be used

for parks and recreational uses such as pools, tennis courts, and athletic fields. Roadways and parking areas may not be used in calculating the amount of land dedicated to open space. The percentage of required open space may be reduced by developing and dedicating some of the land for use by the general public on a one-to-one basis, but the area required to be dedicated to open space may not be less than twenty percent (20%).

Example #1:	Land To Be DevelopedB Required Open SpaceB	100 acres 30 acres	
Example #2:	Land To Be DevelopedB	100 acres	
	Land dedicated for community use (Youth athletic fields, developed walking trails, tennis courts, etc.B)	5 acres*	
	(*This reduces the overall open space requirement by an equal amount.)		
	Required Open SpaceB	20 acres	

5. LOT SIZE

Land may be subdivided into parcels of no less than one and one-half acres, and expanded in one-half acre increments.

6. STRUCTURES

No more than forty-five percent (45%) of the area of each building site may be covered with buildings or other structures.

7. SITE COVERAGE

No more than seventy percent (70%) of the area of each building site may be covered with buildings, structures, street right-of-way paved areas, off street loading area, driveways, walkways parking areas and other paved areas, and the remaining area shall be devoted to open space, except as otherwise permitted under General Requirements.

8. HEIGHT OF BUILDINGS

No building shall exceed forty-five (45) feet in height.

a. SETBACKS

- 1. Front: Sixty (60) feet (as measured from the street right-of-way)
- 2. Rear: Twenty-five (25) feet (as measured from the rear property line)
- 3. Sides: Twenty-five (25) feet

b. PARKING AREAS

All designated parking areas or driveways must be separated fromproperty lines or street right of way line by a minimum 20 foot landscaped buffer strip.*

B. CONDITIONALLY PERMITTED USES

1. Well drilling.

2. Excavation, extraction, removal or stripping of topsoil, subsoil, gravel, sand, etc.,

from lands (see Article 22.00).

3. Sexually oriented businesses.

a. A sexually oriented business may be located and shall be permitted only in accordance with the following restrictions:

1. No such business shall be located on any parcel within five hundred (500) feet of any residential dwelling or any residentially zoned district within Coventry Township or any neighboring political subdivision.

2. No such business shall be located on any parcel within one thousand (1,000) feet of any public library, private or public elementary or secondary school, day care center, preschool, public park, recreation area or church.

3. No such business shall be located on any parcel within one thousand (1,000) feet of another sexually oriented business.

4. Such businesses shall only be located in a Commercial-Industrial zoned district within Coventry Township.

5. Must comply with all conditions of Article 3.06 General Conditions of Conditional Zoning.

6. That the proposed use shall not enlarge or encourage the development of a blighting influence.

7. That the establishment of an additional regulated use in the area shall not be contrary to any program of neighborhood conservation or rehabilitation.

8. Any display, device or sign that depicts or describes specified sexual activities or specified anatomical areas shall be out of view of the public way and surrounding property;

9. No adult cabaret or theater shall be established in the same building with another adult cabaret or adult bookstore or adult motion-picture theater.

10. Adult cabarets, adult bookstores, and adult motion-picture theaters shall only operate during hours reasonably designated by the Commission.

11. Businesses authorized under this section shall have entrances to the establishment shielded in such a way that individuals outside the business building will not be able to see the entertainment area inside the building. Additionally, said shielding shall not consist of curtain alone shall not obstruct any exit sign or panic hardware for any exit, nor shall the shielding be constructed in such a way as to block any exit. All shielding shall be approved by the Coventry Fire Department.

12. All entertainment shall be conducted on a stage, or upon an open floor in an area such as a dance floor. While entertainment is being conducted, the entertainment area shall be separated from the areas occupied by customers or patrons.

b. For the purposes of subdivision (A), measurement shall be made in a straight line, without regard to intervening structures or objects, from the nearest portion of the building or structure used as a part of the premises where a sexually oriented business is conducted, to the nearest property line of the premises of a church or public or private elementary or secondary school, or to the nearest boundary of an affected public park, residential district, or residential lot.

c. For the purposes of subdivision (A), the distance between any two

sexually oriented businesses shall be measured in a straight line, without regard to intervening structures or objects from the closest exterior wall of the structure in which each business is located.

d. No person shall establish, operate or cause the establishment or operation of any sexually oriented business in violation of the provisions

of this section. Nothing in this section shall be construed to prohibit or limit the display, sale or rental of descriptive, printed, film or video material or any live performance which, taken as a whole, contains serious literary, artistic, political, medical, educational or scientific value.

4. All permitted and conditionally permitted uses set forth in Article 12.00 shall be conditionally permitted in the "C" – Commercial Industrial District, subject to the following terms and conditions and all other conditional zoning requirements:**

a. Any such use shall be conditionally permitted only in existing C-1 structures as of the date of this amendment.

b. Such structures must be adjacent to an existing "B-3" General/Regional Business District.

c. Such use shall comply with the sign requirements for the "C" Commercial Industrial District.

d. Such use shall meet the parking requirements for a "B-3" General Regional/Business District.

STAFF REVIEW

- 1. *Is the proposed zoning change reasonable given the nature of the surrounding area?* There are no C/I zoned parcels adjacent to the subject property.
- 2. Can the property reasonably be used as currently zoned? The current parcel is split between B-2 and R-1 zoning. If consolidated into a single zoning district the property could be reasonably used.
- 3. *Is the proposed Map Amendment consistent with the objectives and goals of the Comprehensive Plan?* The Township does not have a Comprehensive/Future Land Use Plan
- 4. Is the proposed zoning change consistent with the stated purpose and intent of the zoning resolution and the applicable districts? Yes.
- 5. *How will the proposed zoning change impact public services and facilities?* The proposed zoning is a greater intensity use than the current zoning however it should not significantly impact public services and facilities.
- 6. *How will the proposed zoning change impact traffic, especially traffic safety?* The proposed change should not have an impact on traffic nor traffic safety, as the site is currently not used any development would increase traffic on access streets.

- 7. Will the proposed zoning change adversely affect adjoining properties? The proposed change is a greater intensity use than the current zoning and may adversely affect adjoining properties.
- 8. Is this an appropriate location for the proposed use or are there other available locations better suited for it? There are no adjacent C/I zoned properties.
- 9. Will the proposed zoning change, change the character of the neighborhood? The proposed change is a higher intensity use and has the potential to change the character of the neighborhood as there is no C/I in the neighborhood currently.
- 10. Has there been a change in conditions that renders the original zoning inappropriate? No, although the current parcel is split between B-2 and R-1 zoning. If consolidated into a single zoning district the property could be reasonably used.

Staff Comments:

- The current parcel is split between B-2 and R-1 zoning. If consolidated into a single zoning district the property could be reasonably used.
- The proposed change is a higher intensity use and has the potential to change the character of the neighborhood as there is no C/I in the neighborhood currently.

Recommendation: Staff recommends DISAPPROVAL.

