

District 8 Applicant Manual Program Year 39 (2024-2025) Fiscal Year 26

Table of Contents

SECTION	PAGE #
Application Guidelines	1
2024-2025 Schedule	2
District 8 Program Year 39 Allocations	3
State Capital Improvement Program (SCIP)	<u>Link</u>
Local Transportation Improvement Program (LTIP) Fact Sheet	<u>Link</u>
Ohio Public Works Commission Application Instructions & Support Docs	<u>Link</u>
Ohio Public Works Commission WorksWise	<u>Link</u>
District 8 Evaluation Form	4
Essential Elements of a Project Application	10
Community Per Capita Income in District 8	12
Community Population Totals in District 8	13
Ohio Public Works Commission Small Government Application	<u>Link</u>

APPLICATION DEADLINE:

To be considered for financial assistance, applications must be received by:

Friday, August 16, 2024, at 12:00pm

For the 2024-2025 OPWC Infrastructure SCIP/LTIP project submittals will be digital through the OPWC Works Wise site. Information about the Works Wise site and assistance can be found at: https://link.edgepilot.com/s/5225d74e/ fnUy37dt1UC-7jTaWT9ZmQ?u=https://publicworks.ohio.gov/.

Summit County Department of Community and Economic Development
Attn: Dennis Tubbs

175 S. Main Street, Room 103
Akron, OH 44308
(330) 643-7440

APPLICATION MATERIALS

The following materials must be submitted:

- 1. OPWC Application for Financial Assistance An OPWC Application must be completed and submitted for each project. The instructions and application form can be downloaded from the OPWC website, https://link.edgepilot.com/s/5225d74e/fnUy37dt1UC-7jTaWT9ZmQ?u=https://publicworks.ohio.gov/.
- **2.** District Evaluation Form District 8 Evaluation Form must be included with each OPWC Application. The application can also be downloaded from the District 8 website.
- 3. Attachments such as maps, pictures, and other applicable supporting documents for project application
- 4. Engineering Plans

Application Submittal Guidelines

For the 2024-2025 OPWC Infrastructure SCIP/LTIP project submittals will be digital through the OPWC Works Wise site. Information about the Works Wise site, training, and assistance can be found at: https://pwc.ohio.gov/WorksWise-Training.

For projects submitted under both SCIP and LTIP, applicants should submit only one application in WorksWise. Applicants can upload both SCIP and LTIP funding scenarios as attachments to the application using a pdf - ie applicants for both SCIP and LTIP would submit the included District 8 Evaluation form, one for SCIP and one for LTIP, as attachments to a single WorksWise project application. The applicant can add a project note in WorksWise specifying there are two scenarios.

In the event of two or more projects receiving the same score, the tie-breaker system shall be:

1st – Awarded to the community that has not received funding recently

2nd – Lowest Income per Capita

3rd - Largest Local share contributor

CONTACTS

District 8 Public Works Integrating Committee

Dennis Tubbs, District 8 Liaison 330-643-7440, dtubbs@summitoh.net

District 8 Website: https://co.summitoh.net/committee-pages/District-8-Public-Works-Integrating-Committee.html#nav-about

Ohio Public Works Commission

Jennifer Kline, District 8 Program Representative 614-752-8118,

jennifer.kline@pwc.ohio.gov

OPWC Website: https://www.pwc.ohio.gov/



2024-2025 Schedule - PY 39



~		\sim
Date	Meeting	Key Agenda Item(s)
Monday, May 20, 11:00 am	IC	Elect District 8 officers; Approve 2024-2025 Administrative Work Plan, 2024-2025 budget, 2024-2025 schedule, District 8 Bylaws, PY 39 methodology
Friday, May 31, 2024		PY 39 application packages available
Friday, August 16, 2024		PY 39 SCIP/LTIP applications due to Workswise
Monday, September 9, 2024	TAC	TAC receives projects for evaluation
September - October		TAC teams review project applications
Monday, October 15, 2024		TAC teams submit project scores to District 8 Liaison
Tuesday, October 21, 2024	TAC	TAC project score review meeting
Tuesday, October 21, 2024		Preliminary project scores received by applicants
Friday, October 25, 2024		TAC appeals deadline
Tuesday, October 30, 2024	TAC	TAC appeals hearings (if necessary)
Monday, November 4, 2024		IC appeals deadline; D8PWIC receives PY 39 TAC Recommended Project List
Friday, November 15, 2024	IC	D8PWIC appeals hearings; D8PWIC approves PY 39 Recommended Project List
Friday, November 22, 2024		Applicants receive final scores; PY 39 Recommendations and applications submitted to OPWC

*IC = District 8 Public Works Integrating Committee; TAC = District 8 Technical Advisory Committee

Additional Integrating Committee meetings may be necessary for CORF project prioritization, Ohio Job Ready Sites project prioritization, or other reasons.

All meetings will be held in the Summit County Department of Development Conference Room (Ohio Building, Room 103, 175 S. Main Street, Akron) unless noted otherwise. For additional information contact Dennis Tubbs, District 8 Liaison, at 330-643-7440 or dtubbs@summitoh.net.

Visit the District 8 Web site for document downloads and program updates at https://co.summitoh.net/committee-pages/District-8-Public-Works-Integrating-Committee.html#nav-about.

OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISION STATE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM LOCAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROGRAM YEAR THIRTY-NINE (FY 2026) ALLOCATIONS *

DISTRICT	TOTAL ALLOCATION	LTIP ALLOCATION	SCIP ALLOCATION	MINIMUM SCIP LOAN/ CREDIT (10%)	MAXIMUM SCIP GRANTS (90%)	RLP ALLOCATION (LOAN)
1	20.747.000	6 647 000	17.050.000	1 705 000	16 155 000	5 150 000
	29,747,000	6,647,000	17,950,000	1,795,000	16,155,000	5,150,000
2	19,297,000	4,397,000	11,874,000	1,187,400	10,686,600	3,026,000
3	31,370,000	7,251,000	19,582,000	1,958,200	17,623,800	4,537,000
4	12,973,000	2,849,000	7,695,000	769,500	6,925,500	2,429,000
5	10,550,000	2,467,000	6,697,000	669,700	6,027,300	1,386,000
6	10,400,000	2,256,000	6,093,000	609,300	5,483,700	2,051,000
7	13,424,000	3,079,000	8,315,000	831,500	7,483,500	2,030,000
8	11,997,000	2,846,000	7,687,000	768,700	6,918,300	1,464,000
9	12,492,000	2,909,000	7,856,000	785,600	7,070,400	1,727,000
10	19,332,000	4,616,000	12,465,000	1,246,500	11,218,500	2,251,000
11	14,346,000	3,365,000	9,086,000	908,600	8,177,400	1,895,000
12	11,148,000	2,265,000	6,117,000	611,700	5,505,300	2,766,000
13	9,663,000	2,212,000	5,974,000	597,400	5,376,600	1,477,000
14	9,853,000	2,209,000	6,062,000	606,200	5,455,800	1,582,000
15	10,530,000	2,388,000	6,566,000	656,600	5,909,400	1,576,000
16	11,732,000	2,707,000	7,310,000	731,000	6,579,000	1,715,000
17	14,370,000	3,447,000	9,311,000	931,100	8,379,900	1,612,000
18	10,327,000	2,110,000	6,012,000	601,200	5,410,800	2,205,000
19	9,009,000	1,980,000	5,348,000	534,800	4,813,200	1,681,000
Emergency	12,106,000	_	12,000,000	-	12,000,000	106,000
Small Government	23,334,000	_	20,000,000		20,000,000	3,334,000
			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		, ,	, , ,
TOTALS	\$308,000,000	\$62,000,000	\$200,000,000	\$16,800,000	\$183,200,000	46,000,000

^{*} All programs are subject to appropriation by the General Assembly.



PROJECT EVALUATION FORM



2024-2025 (Revised 7/27/2021)

This form is to be used for <u>both_SCIP</u> and LTIP projects. Remember that <u>only_transportation projects</u> (roads, bridges, and culverts) are eligible under LTIP.

PROJECT NAME:	
APPLICANT:	DATE:

POINTS: Please self-score points in space provided.

1. For projects other than resurfacing or ditches, determine the readiness of this project to proceed. If an engineer has been hired, what is the status of plans as of project submittal date?

For Non-ODOT Projects:

- 15 Plans 100% complete All plans have been signed, dated, stamped by all appropriate authorities, all permits have been received or applied for, and all right-of-way has been purchased or is in the process of being purchased (submit supporting documentation)
- 13 Plans 90% to less than 100% complete Final plans, detailed specifications, and final construction cost estimates complete. When improvement plans are not required for the project (i.e. resurfacing, etc,) one (1) contract bid document is complete and submitted.
- 9 Plans 60% to less than 90% complete Final plans, including all detail sheets complete
- **5** Plans 30% to less than 60% complete Preliminary plans complete, including design calculations and survey work required for plan preparation

For ODOT Projects:

- 15 Plans 100% complete Final stamped, dated, and signed project final tracings have been sent to ODOT
- 13 Plans 90% to less than 100% complete Stage 3 plan submittal has been sent to ODOT for review
- 9 Plans 60% to less than 90% complete Stage 2 plan submittal has been sent to ODOT for review
- 5 Plans 30% to less than 60% complete Stage 1 plan submittal has been sent to ODOT for review

Is the Engineer's Progress Certification attached? Yes No

Plans must be submitted with the application, even if similar plans have been submitted with past applications. Submit one (1) complete copy of contract bid documents when plans are not required. Follow the directions at https://pwc.ohio.gov/WorksWise-Training for submital information. An application without submitted plans (or contract bid documents, as applicable) on the day the application is due will receive 0 points for Question

1. Plans cannot be submitted after the application due date for any reason.

For Design Build Projects:

- **9-** Full scope completed; alignment or service area chosen with map; conceptual plan to preliminary plan included; if necessary geotechnical services must be completed; R/W cleared, environmental cleared and utilities relocated
- **5-** Full scope completed; alignment or service area chosen with map; conceptual plan to preliminary plan included

2. What type of project is proposed?

15 - Repair/replacement only

12 - 80% to less than 100% repair/replacement

9 - 60% to less than 80% repair/replacement

6 - 40% to less than 60% repair/replacement

6 - Design Build (maximum)

3 - 20% to less than 40% repair/replacement

0 - 0% to less than 20% repair/replacement

All new attributes are to be considered "new" in calculating Question 2: additional through lanes to an existing road are "new"; additional sewer capacity to serve development is "new"; construction of new storm sewers where none existed is "new". The replacement of septic systems with sanitary sewer is considered "new" in District 8. Note: Smart growth items shall be excluded from calculation.

The replacement of infrastructure that is not intended to serve additional area or increase capacity but that results in an increase in size due to current design standards is considered "repair/replacement". For example, if an existing road has 10' wide lanes and it is to be widened to 12' to meet current standards, the additional 2' is "repair/replacement". The addition of turn lanes to an existing road is "repair/replacement". A Roundabout is considered replacement as well as the removal of at-grade crossings. A traffic signal installation in lieu of traffic stop signs (flashers) is considered a replacement.

3. What is the age of the infrastructure (weighted by cost)?

12 - 50 years or greater **8** - 25 to less than 50 years **4** - less than 25 years **0** - new project

This refers to time since the last like repair. For example, if a road is to be reconstructed, the age would be to when the road was originally built or reconstructed. If the road were to be re-surfaced, the age would be to when the existing road surface was installed. "New/expansion" appurtenances that do not total more than 10% of the total construction cost of the project shall not be used to determine the age of the existing infrastructure. If the project is greater than 10% new/expansion (per Question 2) then the % of the project that is new/expansion must be prorated into the calculation at 0 years age. Documentation of the age of the infrastructure is required at time of submittal. (This question meets the ORC 164.06 (B)(2) requirement for age)

- 4. Is the existing infrastructure designated a risk to health or safety by an independent government agency (state, federal, etc.)?
- **12** Use of infrastructure is currently banned
- **6** Use of infrastructure is currently restricted
- **O** No

This requires a letter or ordinance from a federal, state or appropriate county official with regulatory authority enforcing a ban or limitation on the infrastructure. For example, an EPA letter that places a hook-up ban on a sewage treatment plant that was originally designed for more flow, or a ban on water hook ups in a system that does not have adequate pressure, or a weight limit on a road that was originally designed to handle more traffic. If the restriction is a load limit placed on a road or bridge by a local government, the applicant shall include the ordinance documenting that the load limit was imposed because of a structural or design problem and the proposed project will eliminate the problem resulting in the load limit being lifted. A classification of inadequate given to a road by the Akron Metropolitan Traffic Study (AMATS) is not acceptable for this question but may be considered in Question 17.

5. Estimated useful life of project in years? (minimum of 7 years useful life for project)

Prorate if multiple items are included in the improvement project.

15 · 12 · 9 ·		50 years & over 40 to less than 50 years 30 to less than 40 years	6 - 3 - 0 -	20 to less than 30 years 7 to less than 20 years less than 7 years
•	Brid	ge		
	•	New or total reconstruction		50 years
	•	Deckreplacement		25 years
•	Ro	ad		, and the second
	•	Total reconstruction including base		40 years
	•	Underdrains, curbs		30 years
	•	Sidewalks		30 years
	•	Traffic signals		25 years
	•	Soil Stabilization (9" min. base) plus res	surfacing	20 years
	•	Asphalt recycling (4 1/2" min. base) plus	resurfa	cing 15 years
	•	Road resurfacing or re-ditching		10 years
•	Un	derground piping		
	•	New water or sewer pipes		50 years
	•	Sewer lining		45 years
	•	Corrugated pipe		20 years
•	Wa	ater/Wastewater		

6. Will the project generate additional direct user fees or specific project assessments equal to a percentage of the total project costs as expressed below?

50 years

25 years

25 years

10 - 30% or greater **2** - 5% to less than 10%

7 - 20% to less than 30% **0** - less than 5%

4 - 10% to less than 20%

Plant structure

Plant internal equipment

Pump station and internal equipment

User fees must be directly connected to the improvements. They cannot be a "blanket" fee or assessment. In order to receive points for this question, applications for projects which are to be financed with specific assessments or user fees must include an ENDORSED ordinance or resolution from the applicant's governing body from the current year that states the intent to assess or impose user fees and the rate at which they will be imposed. The ordinance or resolution cannot be submitted after the application due date.

7. What is the number of persons benefiting from this project per one thousand dollars of OPWC funds? [Number of Persons Benefitting X 1,000/OPWC funds] Show your calculation.

x1,000/ =

15 - 100 or more persons
12 - 40 to less than 100 persons
9 - 15 to less than 100 persons
6 - 5 to less than 15 persons
1 to less than 5 persons
0 - less than one person.

For road and bridge projects use the current Average Daily Traffic (ADT). For water or sewer projects, use the number of persons directly affected by the project (1 house = 3 persons). This information must be submitted at the time of application.

8. Are federal or state funds appropriated/approved for a

portion of this project? 5 - 20% or greater 3 - 5% to less than 20% 0 -

less than 5%

9. Is this project a joint application with other District 8 jurisdictions (resolutions and financial participation)? List other jurisdictions here:

20 - 4 or more jurisdictions involved
10 - 2 jurisdictions involved
15 - 3 jurisdictions involved
0 - Not a joint application

An <u>ENDORSED</u> ordinance or resolution from the current year from each applicant in a joint application is required at the time the application is due. Each joint applicant must contribute either 20% of the local cash share or a minimum of \$50,000, whichever is less. In-kind services are not credited as part of a local share. All joint applicants must demonstrate a substantive benefit from the project. To be considered as an eligible joint applicant, the applicant must be eligible under OPWC rules.

10. What is the community's per capita income? (see attached table)

- 15 Less than 82% of median for Summit County
- 12 82% to less than 96% of median for Summit County
- 9 96% to less than 106% of median for Summit County
- **6** 106% to less than 130% of median for Summit County
- 3 Greater than or equal to 130% of median for Summit County

For joint applications, prorate the points by the % of local share. For County projects, use the income of the local community where the project is located.

11. What is the local revenue as portion of total project costs? (see Section 1.2 of OPWC Application) [(Local In-Kind Contribution + Local Revenues)/Total Financial Resources] Show your calculation:

20 - 50% or more **10** - 10% to less than 30%

15 - 30% to less than 50% **0** - less than 10%

The designated local revenue portion shall be committed by ordinance or resolution and shall state the project local share and the source of the local share, or authorize the chief financial officer to certify the local share amount and source. Local Revenue does not include outside grant sources. (This question meets the ORC 164.14(E)(6))

12. Preferred form of funding for project? (LTIP is for grants ONLY)

10 - Credit enhancement/debt support **6** - Direct loan, 0% interest

8 - Direct loan, 3% interest **0** - Grant

Combined funding requests get 3 points.

13. Other factors relevant to a particular project. Has the applicant entered into the County of Summit Economic Development and Job Preservation Agreement?

- **12-** Yes
- **0-** No
- (-12)- Applicant (signatory community) found to have provided economic incentive(s) or other financial assistance to employer or business from another signatory community (poaching). Applicant will be penalized 12 points (or 5% of the total possible points) on their applications for this year and for the next year.

14. Does the project promote new Smart Growth? (10 points maximum)

Sidewalks (2 points)

Bike lanes (2 points)

Utilization of LEED certified construction practices (2 points)

Traffic calming project (2 points)

Innovative storm water best management practices ("daylighting" storm sewers, bio-swales, bio-retention cells, etc.) (2 points)

New sidewalks and bike lanes must represent a significant portion of the total project and span at least 50% of the project length. Applicant shall submit appropriate back-up documentation to support Smart Growth points.

15. Other factors relevant to a particular project. Does the project have a significant effect on employment in District 8?

Formula: Committed Jobs + 25% of Potential Jobs = Adjusted Jobs

- **30-** 5,000 or more adjusted jobs
- **20-** 2,500 to less than 5,000 adjusted jobs
- 10- 500 to less than 2,500 adjusted jobs
- **0-** less than 500 adjusted jobs

Committed jobs must be documented by a written statement from the employer or applicant and include both retained jobs (those that would be otherwise lost from the region) plus new jobs. (Awarding of points is discretionary and requires TAC approval.)

16. Amount of OPWC funding requested?

- 10- \$250,000 or less
- 8- \$250,001 \$500,000
- 6- \$500,001 -\$750,000
- 4- \$750,001 \$1,000,000
- 2- \$1,000,001 \$1,250,000
- 0- \$1,250,001 or more

17. Discretionary Points – (10 points maximum for all factors except significant regional impact, which can be awarded a maximum of 40 total points)

These points will be awarded after review by the Technical Advisory Committee and approval by the Integrating Committee. Applicants shall submit appropriate back-up documentation to support all requests for discretionary points.

The following list includes examples of possible discretionary point factors but should not be considered an all-inclusive list:

- Capacity enhancement/level of service
- Flooding resulting in property damage
- Undersized underground utilities or numerous breaks in underground utilities and infrastructure resulting in interruptions in service or inadequate fire service
- Dangerous intersection/road section (see AMATS Traffic Crash Report)
- Catastrophic event
- Emergency disruptions in infrastructure
- Failing household sewage treatment systems (such as septic systems) and/or wells that contribute to or create significant public health concerns
- Elimination of combined sewer
- Significant reduction in infiltration/inflow to sanitary sewers
- Significant regional impact

(This question meets the ORC 164.06 (B)(2) requirement for condition)

Total possible SCIP points - **266** (including discretionary points)

Total possible LTIP points - 256 (including discretionary points)

Total points for this project:

For additional assistance, please contact:

Dennis Tubbs
District 8 Liaison
(330) 643-7440
(330) 643-2886 fax
dtubbs@summitoh.net

Jennifer Kline
Ohio Public Works Commission
(614) 752-8118
Jennifer.kline@pwc.ohio.gov

For more information visit the District 8 Web site,

http://www.co.summit.oh.us/index.php/departments/community-a-economic-development/district-8, or the Ohio Public Works Commission Web site, www.pwc.ohio.gov.

Submitting a Project Application for Financial Assistance to the OPWC:

The Essential Elements of a Project Application

- 1) Project Name (Title): Try to avoid the names "Paving Various Roads" or "Replacing Various Bridges." Better Examples: "Wake County Multi Road Repair Project #2" or "Durham County Bridge Replacement Project #1."
- 2) Maintenance of Local Effort: Detail prior two years of infrastructure expenditures for subdivision on Roads, Bridges, Sewers, Water Systems, etc. Identify the project, local amount of monies put into the project and source of local funds for that capital improvement. For example: "1992----Cane Creek Water Treatment Plant and Water Tank----\$315,000 from Water Revenue Fund." State Issue Two or Local Transportation Improvement Program eligible infrastructure only. Per OAC 164-1-12
- 3) Capital Improvements Report: Five-year plan for Capital Infrastructure expenditures. Detail next five years of infrastructure expenditures for Roads, Bridges, Sewers, Water Systems, etc. State Issue Two or Local Transportation Program eligible infrastructure only. Per ORC 164.06 and OAC 164-1-31

4) Project Description:

- Specific Location: Locate the project termini (where the project begins and ends). For example: "South Market Street, from Wendover Street to Guilford Avenue."
- Project Components: Describe the kind of project and the type of improvement. For example: "Storm Sewer Repair", "Road Replacement" or "New Water System", "Solid Waste System Expansion". Then, give a general description of each project component (No numbers yet).
- Physical Dimensional Characteristics: Provide the project specifics; should be a shortened derivative of the Engineer's Estimate. Provide Quantity and Quality of material to be used. For example: "2,000 s.y. of 404 Asphalt." or "500 L.F. of SPX-567 Guardrail." Provide Dimensions of Project to be constructed. For example: "East Deborah Drive: 1,229'l x 22'w (3,004 s.y.)" or "Orange Road Water Tank: 50,000 gallon capacity."
- 5) Project Engineer's Estimate/Statement of Useful Life: The Engineer's Estimate must specify the types and quantities of materials to be used. For example: "247 C.Y.----Asphalt Concrete (402)----\$24,700" or "500 L.F.---- Guardrail, Type 5----\$5,000". A Lump Sum Only Estimate of the Total Project Cost should be avoided. A Statement of Useful Life can be included at the bottom of the Engineer's Estimate. Per OAC 164-1-16 and OAC 164-1-13.
- 6) Status of Funds Report: The following statement should be submitted by the Clerk, Treasurer, Clerk-Treasurer, Auditor, or Finance Director. "I, (name), hereby certify that the (Name of the Subdivision) has the amount of (\$ Local Share Amount) in the unencumbered (Name of Subdivision Account) and that this amount will be used to pay the (Name of the Sub division)'s Local Share for the (Name of the Project)."
- 7) Cooperative Agreement(s): Needed when two or more subdivisions are cooperatively participating in the development and construction of the project.

- 8) Sources and Uses of Funds: Sources must always equal Uses. Total Financial Resources can never be more than amount in the Engineer's Estimate. All project source and use categories will be printed in the first of the four Columns of Appendices D and E of the Project Agreement.
- 9) Evidence of Prepaid Invoices: Required before or with the receipt of the first Request for Disbursement. A "Prepaid" is an item or items paid for by the subdivision after the receipt of the Project Agreement. The vast majority of "Prepaids" represent Preliminary Engineering. Anything paid for by the subdivision after the receipt of the Project Agreement is not a "Prepaid" but, depending on the circumstances, may be either reimbursed or credited towards the subdivision's local share.
- **10) Authorization Legislation:** A certified copy of the legislation by the governing body of the applicant authorizing a designated official to submit this application and to execute contracts.
- **11) Assessed Projects:** For projects where a direct assessment is planned to finance the project, legislation (r esolution or ordinance) from the applicant's trustees of city/village council is required that states the intention to pass an assessment specifically for this project. The Authorization and assessment legislation can be combi

PER CAPITA INCOME IN DISTRICT 8

Based on a ACS 5-year estimate (2016-2020)

Per Capita Income		Percent of Median	
130% of Median or Greater		3 points	
Bath township	\$77,211	190.3%	
Boston Heights village	\$72,092	177.7%	
Richfield township	\$61,382	151.3%	
Hudson city	\$60,821	149.9%	
Silver Lake village	\$60,160	148.3%	
Peninsula village	\$53,316	150.9%	
106% to less than 130% of Media	an	6 points	
Macedonia city	\$48,772	120.2%	
Boston township	\$47,784	117.8%	
Richfield village	\$47,392	116.8%	
Copley township	\$45,653	112.5%	
Twinsburg city	\$45,326	111.7%	
Twinsburg township	\$45,050	111.0%	
Reminderville city	\$44,388	109.4%	
Sagamore Hills township	\$44,296	109.2%	
Fairlawn city	\$44,075	108.6%	
96% to less than 106% of Media	n	9 points	
<u>Green city</u>	<u>\$40,572</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>MEDIAN</u>
Northfield Center township	\$39,307	96.9%	
82% to less than 96% of Media	2	12 points	
	•	12 points	
Stow city	\$38,921	95.9%	
Stow city Clinton village		•	
	\$38,921	95.9%	
Clinton village	\$38,921 \$36,990	95.9% 91.2%	
Clinton village Norton city	\$38,921 \$36,990 \$36,184	95.9% 91.2% 89.2%	
Clinton village Norton city New Franklin city	\$38,921 \$36,990 \$36,184 \$35,341	95.9% 91.2% 89.2% 87.1%	
Clinton village Norton city New Franklin city Tallmadge city	\$38,921 \$36,990 \$36,184 \$35,341 \$34,552	95.9% 91.2% 89.2% 87.1% 85.2%	
Clinton village Norton city New Franklin city Tallmadge city Coventry township	\$38,921 \$36,990 \$36,184 \$35,341 \$34,552 \$34,146	95.9% 91.2% 89.2% 87.1% 85.2% 84.2%	
Clinton village Norton city New Franklin city Tallmadge city Coventry township Munroe Falls city	\$38,921 \$36,990 \$36,184 \$35,341 \$34,552 \$34,146 \$33,904	95.9% 91.2% 89.2% 87.1% 85.2% 84.2% 83.6% 15 points	
Clinton village Norton city New Franklin city Tallmadge city Coventry township Munroe Falls city Less than 82% of Median	\$38,921 \$36,990 \$36,184 \$35,341 \$34,552 \$34,146 \$33,904	95.9% 91.2% 89.2% 87.1% 85.2% 84.2% 83.6% 15 points	
Clinton village Norton city New Franklin city Tallmadge city Coventry township Munroe Falls city Less than 82% of Median Cuyahoga Falls city	\$38,921 \$36,990 \$36,184 \$35,341 \$34,552 \$34,146 \$33,904	95.9% 91.2% 89.2% 87.1% 85.2% 84.2% 83.6% 15 points	
Clinton village Norton city New Franklin city Tallmadge city Coventry township Munroe Falls city Less than 82% of Median Cuyahoga Falls city Springfield township Northfield village Mogadore village	\$38,921 \$36,990 \$36,184 \$35,341 \$34,552 \$34,146 \$33,904 \$32,517 \$28,100 \$27,810 \$27,627	95.9% 91.2% 89.2% 87.1% 85.2% 84.2% 83.6% 15 points 80.1% 69.3%	
Clinton village Norton city New Franklin city Tallmadge city Coventry township Munroe Falls city Less than 82% of Median Cuyahoga Falls city Springfield township Northfield village Mogadore village Lakemore village	\$38,921 \$36,990 \$36,184 \$35,341 \$34,552 \$34,146 \$33,904 \$32,517 \$28,100 \$27,810 \$27,627 \$26,692	95.9% 91.2% 89.2% 87.1% 85.2% 84.2% 83.6% 15 points 80.1% 69.3% 68.5%	
Clinton village Norton city New Franklin city Tallmadge city Coventry township Munroe Falls city Less than 82% of Median Cuyahoga Falls city Springfield township Northfield village Mogadore village	\$38,921 \$36,990 \$36,184 \$35,341 \$34,552 \$34,146 \$33,904 \$32,517 \$28,100 \$27,810 \$27,627	95.9% 91.2% 89.2% 87.1% 85.2% 84.2% 83.6% 15 points 80.1% 69.3% 68.5% 68.5%	

Summit County projects (\$34,684 per capita) would use the income of the city, village or township in which the project is located.

SUMMIT COUNTY, OHIO POPULATION DATA

CITIES	POPULATION		
Akron city	197,375		
Barberton city	26,030		
Cuyahoga Falls city	49,191		
Fairlawn city	7,536		
Green city	25,737		
Hudson city	22,249		
Macedonia city	11,942		
Munroe Falls city	5,069		
New Franklin city	14,143		
Norton city	11,984		
Stow city	34,770		
Remindeville city	6,151		
Tallmadge city	17,681		
Twinsburg city	18,754		
VILLAGES	POPULATION		
Boston Heights village	1,194		
Clinton village	1,283		
Lakemore village	3,070		
Mogadore village	3,724		
Northfield village	3,667		
Peninsula village	654		
Reminderville city	6,151		
Richfield village	3,767		
Silver Lake village	2,496		
TOWNSHIPS	POPULATION		
Bath township	9,645		
Boston township	1,325		
Copley township	17,291		
Coventry township	10,903		
Northfield Center township	5,854		
Richfield township	4,384		
Sagamore Hills township	10,928		
Springfield township	14,504		
Twinsburg township	3,196		
TOTAL POPULATION			
Summit County	540,810		

Based on a ACS 5-year estimate (2016-2020)